

---

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XIX:**

## **An Analysis of 2021 Data from The New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**



*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.*

Funded by:

New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office,  
Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Dept. of Justice; State of New Mexico: Human Services Department -  
Behavioral Health Services Division, Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

**October 2022**

---

## **New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

3909 Juan Tabo NE, Suite 6

Albuquerque, NM 87111

Phone (505) 883-8020

Fax (505) 883-7530

email: [info@nmcsap.org](mailto:info@nmcsap.org)

### *Letter from the Director...*

In 1996, with the use of first-time Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) funds, I conducted a statewide assessment to find out how prevalent sexual assault and domestic violence were in New Mexico. At that time, there were no statewide sources of interpersonal violence crime data, except the Uniform Crime Report, which captured male-to-female vaginal rape. While two national victimization surveys had been conducted by then to get a baseline of the incidence and prevalence of sexual assault, domestic violence, and stalking, there were no states, including New Mexico, that had conducted a victimization survey to get a baseline rate of *all* these crimes – reported and unreported.

My 1997 recommendations resulting from the assessment, were to: 1) standardize interpersonal violence data collection among relevant agencies – law enforcement, service providers, district attorney's offices, courts, emergency rooms, tribal lands, and college campuses; 2) establish a central repository; 3) collect the standardized data on a regular basis throughout the year; and 4) produce annual statewide sexual assault and domestic violence reports for public and professional use.

For the next two years, I worked with professionals from numerous agencies to standardize the data among law enforcement, service providers and the courts. By January 1999, the NM Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository was established. By December 1999, we had our first full year of domestic violence data. By December 2000, we had our first full year of sexual assault data, which included all forms of criminal sexual penetration and most non-penetration sex crimes. The first ever *Incidence and Nature of Domestic Violence in NM* report was published in June 2000; and the first ever *Sex Crime in NM* report was published in September 2001.

While it was a good start to have statewide data annually, on reported interpersonal violence crimes, we only touched the surface. We had no idea how many of these crimes went unreported each year. That would change in 2005, when we conducted our first statewide victimization survey.

The findings from this survey opened our eyes to the real prevalence of sexual assault, domestic violence, intimate partner violence, and stalking in our state. It spearheaded changes in policy to address how we identify victims of these crimes. It provided data to justify requests for funding to expand services for victims, provide training for counselors, advocates, law enforcement investigative units, prosecution units, court monitoring programs, stalking protocols, sex offender treatment, rape crisis centers, SANE units, and Child Advocacy programs, and led to multi-disciplinary teams in communities throughout the state to share resources to help prevent and comprehensively respond to interpersonal violence crimes.

Over the years, the data quality has evolved and other excellent sources of data have become available. Even now, for the first time, efforts are underway to collect data among SANE programs that serve Native Americans on tribal lands. Yet, there is much left to do. We still do not have an established means of collecting domestic violence and sexual assault data from law enforcement and service providers on tribal lands, or college campuses, or hospital emergency rooms, or long-term living

facilities, or among persons with disabilities. And, as we so painfully learned in 2020, much training needs to be done to address how to prevent and respond to interpersonal violence crimes among those most vulnerable in a pandemic and other times of mass trauma.

It is bittersweet for me to tell you that I will not be a part of this very important work going forward. This is my last *Sex Crimes in NM* report. I have decided to begin the next step in my own evolution, as I embark on the next phase of my life's journey. It has been the honor and privilege of my life to serve you and the State of New Mexico for the last 26 years, while you were doing the hardest work of all – keeping us safe and restoring healing for all of us; and for that, I will be forever grateful.

Warmest regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Betty Caponera". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Betty Caponera, Ph.D.  
Director

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XIX:**

## **An Analysis of 2021 Data from The New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.  
For the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.*

“This project was supported by Grant No. 2016-WF-AX-0010 or awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.”

*Funded by:*

New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Dept. of Justice; State of New Mexico: Human Services Department - Behavioral Health Services Division, Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

**October 2022**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

**ON BEHALF OF THE NEW MEXICO INTERPERSONAL VIOLENCE DATA CENTRAL REPOSITORY, WE WOULD LIKE TO THANK ALL THE DEDICATED PROFESSIONALS WHO WORK TO IDENTIFY AND SUPPORT VICTIMS OF VIOLENCE AND THEIR FAMILIES; WHO PROVIDE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE TO VICTIMS; WHO WORK WITH YOUNG OFFENDERS TO CHANGE UNHEALTHY SEXUAL BEHAVIORS; AND WHO WORK TIRELESSLY TO INVESTIGATE, PROSECUTE AND ADJUDICATE ADULT OFFENDERS.**

### **Program Guidance and Funding:**

- Kim Alaburda, Executive Director, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Shantih Bisland, SANE Program Statewide Coordinator
- MaryEllen Garcia, State of New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission, VAWA Program Manager
- Frank Zubia, Director, State of New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission

### **Data Systems and Analysis:**

- Daniel Cannon, Iterative Consulting
- Joseph Vigil, Administrative Office of the Courts, Judicial Information Division

### **Report Publication:**

- Kim Alaburda, Distribution, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Lisa Meyer, Graphics and Design, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Kathleen Donlin, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs

*\* A special thanks to the chiefs, sheriffs, marshals, and records department staff of all the participating law enforcement agencies; executive directors and staff of the sexual assault service providers and SANE programs; and all data entry staff of the individual law enforcement agencies and district courts without whom this report would not be possible.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
➤ LETTER FROM THE DIRECTOR	
➤ ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
➤ FACT SHEET	
➤ EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
➤ SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO REPORT:	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
<b>SECTION ONE: Analysis of 2021 Sex Crimes Data from the Central Repository</b>	
I. ABOUT THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY	4
II. LAW ENFORCEMENT-REPORTED SEX CRIMES	
A. Definitions	4
B. Law Enforcement-Reported Incidence of Sexual Assault	6
C. Characteristics of Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases	7
1. Victim and Offender Gender	7
2. Victim and Offender Age	7
3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity	8
4. Victim/Offender Relationship	9
5. Weapon Use and Injury	10
6. Alcohol and Drug Use	10
7. Children Witnesses to Criminal Sexual Penetration	11
8. Suspect Arrest in Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases	11
D. Characteristics of Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	11
1. Victim and Offender Gender	12
2. Victim and Offender Age	12
3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity	13
4. Weapon Use and Injury	13
5. Alcohol/Drug Use	14
6. Children Witnesses to Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	14
7. Suspect Arrest in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	14
III. SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICE PROVIDERS AND NEW CLIENTS SERVED	14
A. Survivor Demographics	
1. Gender of Survivor	15
2. Age of Survivor at Time of Current Sexual Assault	15
3. Age of Survivor at Presentation for Therapy	17
4. Survivor History of Prior Sexual Assault/Abuse	18
5. Race/Ethnicity of Survivor	18
6. Survivor Disability	20
B. Offender Demographics	
1. Gender of the Offender	20
2. Age of Offender	20
3. Offender Race/Ethnicity	21

	<u>Page</u>
C. Sexual Offense Characteristics	
1. Type of Sexual Offense	22
2. Survivor/Offender Relationship	23
3. Number of Offenders Involved Per Sexual Assault	25
4. Type of Coercion Used	25
5. Survivor Injury	26
6. Use of Alcohol/Drugs	28
7. Location of Sexual Offenses	29
8. Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault	30
9. Sexually Transmitted Disease and Pregnancy	30
10. Reported Sexual Assault	30
11. Medical Treatment Sought	31
12. Forensic Evidence Collection	32
13. Accessing Services	34
14. Reasons for Seeking Services	34
IV. SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINER (SANE) PROGRAMS	
A. Overview of SANE	35
B. SANE Program Findings	
1. Patient Gender	36
2. Patient Age	36
3. Patient Race/Ethnicity	37
4. Patient Disability	38
5. Offender Gender and Age	38
C. Offense Characteristics	
1. Victim/Offender Relationship	38
2. Number of Offenders	40
3. Type of Coercion	40
4. Location of Sexual Offenses	43
5. Patient Injury	45
D. SANE Programs Service Characteristics	
1. Referral Source	47
2. Evidence Collection	47
3. Assessment Services	48
4. Reports to Law Enforcement	49
5. SANE Referrals to Other Services	49
V. DISTRICT COURTS	
A. New Sexual Assault Charges and Cases Filed in 2021	51
B. Sexual Assault Charges and Cases Disposed in 2021	52
1. Sexual Assault Charges Disposed	52
2. Sexual Assault Cases Disposed	53
<b>SECTION TWO: IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS</b>	<b>54</b>
REFERENCES	58
TABLES	59
APPENDICES	77
<b>SECTION THREE: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES, 2021</b>	<b>99</b>

## FIGURES

<b><u>Figures</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
1      Percent Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes	7
2      Victim and Offender Age as Reported by Law Enforcement	8
3      Comparison of Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity in Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases as Reported by Law Enforcement to State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	8
4      Victim/Offender Relationship in Criminal Sexual Penetration Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement	9
5      Using Party in Rapes that Involve Alcohol/Drug Use	10
6      Victim and Offender Age in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County, as Reported by Law Enforcement	13
7      Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement	13
8      Age of Survivor at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault as Reported by Service Providers	15
9      Age of Survivors at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault, by Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	15
10     Comparison of Survivors' Ages by Gender in Criminal Sexual Penetration Crimes as Reported by Service Providers	16
11     Comparison of Survivors' Ages by Gender in Non-Criminal Sexual Penetration Sexual Assaults as Reported by Service Providers	16
12     Age of Survivor at Presentation of Therapy	17
13     Age of Survivor at Presentation of Therapy, by Gender	17
14     Time Lapse from Time of Victimization to Time Seeking Therapy Among Sexual Assault Victims, by Gender	18
15     A Comparison of Age at Time of Prior Assault Between Victims of Ongoing Sexual Abuse and Victims Who Experienced an Isolated Prior Event, as Reported by Service Providers	18
16     Survivor Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers and State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	19
17     Female Criminal Sexual Penetration Victims by Age and Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	19
18     Sexual Assault Among Persons with Disability as Reported by Service Providers	20



<b><u>Figures</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
19 Offender Age as Reported by Service Providers	20
20 Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers Compared to State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	21
21 Percent Offenders of Each Race/Ethnicity Who Are the Same Race/Ethnicity as Their Sexual Assault Victims	21
22 Type of Sexual Offense as Reported by Service Providers	22
23 Type of Criminal Sexual Penetration as Reported by Service Providers	22
24 Type of Offense, by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	23
25 Stranger-Perpetrated Sexual Assaults by Survivor Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	23
26 Percent Known "Related" Offenders by Relationship Category, as Reported by Service Providers	24
27 Percent Known "Non-Related" Offenders as Reported by Service Providers	25
28 Type of Coercion Used as Reported by Service Providers	26
29 Type of Coercion Used by Survivor Age as Reported by Service Providers	27
30 Type of Coercion by Survivor Gender as Reported by Service Providers	27
31 Part of the Body with Sustained Injury Among Survivors as Reported by Service Providers	28
32 Survivor Use of Alcohol/Drugs by Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	29
33 Location of Sexual Offenses as Reported by Service Providers	29
34 Reported Sexual Assaults by Type of Agency Notified, as Reported by Service Providers	31
35 Percent of Sexual Assaults Not Reported, by Race/Ethnicity of Survivor, as Reported by Service Providers	31
36 Medical Treatment Sought by Victim Age as Reported by Service Providers	32
37 Percent Seeking Medical Treatment by Survivor Race/Ethnicity, as Reported by Service Providers	32
38 Percent Forensic Evidence Collection by Survivor Race/Ethnicity, as Reported by Service Providers	33
39 Forensic Evidence Collection Among Female Rape Victims, as Reported by Service Providers	33

<b><u>Figures</u></b>		<b><u>Page</u></b>
40	How Survivors Hear About Available Sexual Assault Services	34
41	Reasons Survivors Seek Services	35
42	Patients Served by SANE Units by Age and Gender	36
43	SANE Programs' Patient Race/Ethnicity Compared to State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition	37
44	Percent SANE Patients, by Race/Ethnicity and Age	37
45	Percent Offender Relationship Among Child (<13) SANE Patients	39
46	Percent Offender Relationship Among Adolescent and Adult SANE Patients	39
47	Type of Coercion in SANE Sexual Assault Cases	40
48	Type of Coercion Used in SANE Sexual Assault Cases, by Patient Age	41
49	Comparison of Type of Coercion Used by Victim/Offender Relationship on SANE Patients	42
50	Location of Sexual Offenses Among SANE Patients	43
51	Location of Sexual Offenses by Victim Age	44
52	Percent SANE Patients with Each Type of Injury by Gender, Among Patients with Injury	45
53	Percent SANE Patients with Each Type of Injury by Age, Among Patients with Injury	46
54	Referrals to SANE Programs	47
55	Evidence Collection by SANE Programs, by Patient Age	48
56	Assessment Services Provided by SANE Programs, by Patient Age	48
57	SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services	49
58	SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services, by Patient Age	50
59	Percent Sexual Assault Charges Filed in District Courts	51
60	Percent Sexual Assault Charges Disposed in District Courts	52

## TABLES

<u>Tables</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Law Enforcement-Reported Sex Crimes by Agency, 2021	59
2. Law Enforcement-Reported Sex Crimes by County, 2021	63
3. Percent Criminal Sexual Penetration (CSP) Incidents with a Suspect Arrest by Law Enforcement Agency	65
4. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by Participating Agencies, 2021	66
5. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County, 2021	67
6. Number of Sex Crimes Survivors Served by Rape Crises/Mental Health Centers and Number of Sex Crimes Victims Reported to Law Enforcement by County, 2021	68
7. Survivors Served by Gender, by Agency 2021	69
8. Percent SANE Patients Served by SANE Program, 2021	70
9. Number of New Sexual Assault Cases Filed by District Court, 2021	71
10. Percent of Sexual Assault Cases Filed in District Courts in 2021, by County	72
11. Percent Sexual Assault Cases Disposed in District Courts by County, 2021	73
12. Number of Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed, Convicted, and Acquitted for Each District Court, 2021	74
13. Percent Disposed Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed, by District Court, 2021	75
14. Percent Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases with a Guilty Plea/Conviction, 2021	76

## APPENDICES

<b><u>Appendices</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
A. New Mexico Sex Crimes Statutes	77
B. Participating Law Enforcement Agencies	84
C. Law Enforcement Data Collection Form	87
D. Rate of Law Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Victimizations for Counties with Complete Reporting	88
E. Rate and Rank of Law Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Victimizations for Counties with Complete Reporting, by Rank	89
F. Rate and Rank of Law Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Victimizations for Counties with Complete Reporting-Alphabetically, 2021	90
G. Participating Sexual Assault Service Providers	91
H. Sexual Assault History Form	92
I. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Programs	95
J. SANE Programs Patient Data Collection Form	96
K. Participating District Courts	98

### SECTION THREE: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES, 2021

<b><u>County</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Bernalillo	99
Catron	103
Chaves	107
Cibola	111
Colfax	115
Curry	119
De Baca	123
Dona Ana	127
Eddy	131
Grant	135
Guadalupe	139
Hidalgo	143
Lea	147
Lincoln	151
Los Alamos	155
Luna	159
McKinley	163
Mora	167
Otero	171
Quay	175
Rio Arriba	179
Roosevelt	183
San Juan	187
San Miguel	191
Sandoval	195
Santa Fe	199
Sierra	203
Socorro	207
Taos	211
Torrance	215
Union	219
Valencia	223

# FACT SHEET 2021

## Sex Crimes in New Mexico Compared to the United States<sup>1</sup>

<b>Women</b>	<b>U.S.</b>	<b>New Mexico</b>	<b>National Ranking</b>
Lifetime <i>Contact Sexual Violence</i> (Rape and unwanted sexual contact involving touching but not penetration)	36.3%	37.8%	18 <sup>th</sup>
Lifetime Completed Rape and Attempted Rape	19.1%	20.4%	20 <sup>th</sup>
Lifetime Drug-facilitated Rape	9.0%	9.3%	21 <sup>st</sup>
Lifetime Sexual Coercion	13.2%	11.8%	38 <sup>th</sup>
Lifetime Unwanted Sexual Contact	27.5%	30.2%	10 <sup>th</sup>
Lifetime Non-contact Unwanted Sexual Experiences	32.1%	39.2%	2 <sup>nd</sup>

<b>Men</b>	<b>U.S.</b>	<b>New Mexico</b>	<b>National Ranking</b>
Lifetime <i>Contact Sexual Violence</i> (Rape and unwanted sexual contact involving touching but not penetration)	17.1%	16.0%	30 <sup>th</sup>
Lifetime Completed Rape and Attempted Rape	1.5%	*	
Lifetime Drug-facilitated Rape	0.8%	*	
Lifetime Sexual Coercion	5.8%	*	
Lifetime Unwanted Sexual Contact	11.0%	10.1%	33 <sup>rd</sup>
Lifetime Non-contact Unwanted Sexual Experiences	13.2%	11.8%	38 <sup>th</sup>

\*Estimate Not Statistically Reliable

## Annual Estimates of Sex Crimes Involving Men and Women in the United States<sup>1</sup>

	U.S.	
<b>12-Month Period</b>	<b>Women</b>	<b>Men</b>
<i>Contact Sexual Violence</i> (Rape and unwanted sexual contact involving touching but not penetration)	4.0%	3.7%
Completed Rape and Attempted Rape	1.2%	0.2%
Drug-facilitated Rape	0.7%	*
Sexual Coercion	2.0%	*
Unwanted Sexual Contact	2.1%	1.7%
Non-Contact Unwanted Sexual Experiences	3.2%	2.6%

\*Estimate Not Statistically Reliable

\*Note: Although the NISVS did report annual estimates for some sex crimes involving men and women for some individual states, estimates for New Mexico were not provided as they were not statistically reliable.

<sup>1</sup>National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS) 2010-2012 State Report, April 2017

## Reported Sexual Assaults, 2021

► Law Enforcement-Reported Sexual Assault Incidents,	3,183
► Total Number of Law Enforcement-Reported Sexual Assault Victims	3,452
► Law Enforcement-Reported Rape Incidents	1,419
► Total Number of Law Enforcement-Reported Rape Victims	1,521
► Law Enforcement Reported Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	1,764
► Total Number Law Enforcement-Reported Non-Penetration Victims	1,931
► Service Provider Sexual Assault Victims Served	1,587
► SANE Sexual Assault Patients	1,317

## Selected Rape Findings by Data Source

### Victim Gender, 2021

	Law Enforcement	Service Providers	SANE
Females	88%	92%	89%
Males	12%	8%	11%

### Victim Ages, 2021

	Adults (ages >17)	Adolescents (ages 13-17)	Children (ages <13)
Law Enforcement	50%	28%	22%
Service Providers	63%	20%	17%
SANE	49%	18%	32%

### Victim Race/Ethnicity, 2021

	White (non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Native American	Black	Asian	Other	Mixed
Law Enforcement	36%	47%	13%	3%	1%	2%	-
Service Providers	29%	45%	15%	4%	2%	1%	6%
SANE	26%	49%	14%	3%	<1%	1%	6%

### Offender Gender, 2021

Gender	Law Enforcement	Service Providers	SANE
Males	94%	96%	96%

### Offender Ages, 2021

	Adults (ages >17)	Adolescents (ages 13-17)	Children (ages <13)
Law Enforcement	82%	14%	4%
Service Providers	86%	12%	2%
SANE	84%	10%	6%

### Offender Race/Ethnicity, 2021

	White (non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Native American	Black	Asian	Mixed	Other
Law Enforcement	28%	48%	12%	10%	1	-	2%
Service Providers	28%	52%	8%	7%	1%	3%	1

## Selected Rape Findings by Data Source (continued)

### Victim/Offender Relationship, 2021

	Law Enforcement	Service Providers	SANE
Stranger	14%	18%	16%
Known Offender	86%	78%	84%
Family	39%	27%	32%
Current or Former Intimate Partner	34%	16%	10%

### Victim Injury, 2021

	Law Enforcement	SANE	Service Providers
Percent Rape Incidents with Victim Injury	28%	52%	58%

### Alcohol/Drug Use, 2021

	Law Enforcement	Service Providers
Percent of Rape Cases Involving Alcohol/Drugs	39%	41%
Victim	-	34%
Offender	-	71%

### Suspect Arrests, 2021

	Percent Rapes with a Suspect Arrest
Law Enforcement	8%

### Rape Survivors with a Disability, 2021

	Percent Rape Survivors with a Disability
Service Providers	38%
SANE	30%

### Selected Findings from Service Providers, 2021

▶ Percent Sexual Assault Victim Reporting to Law Enforcement	33%
▶ Percent Sexual Assault Victims with a Prior Sexual Assault	53%
▶ Percent Rape Victims Who Sought Medical Treatment	46%
▶ Percent Rape Victims Who Had Forensic Evidence Collected	48%

▶ Percent SANE cases reported to law enforcement	69%
--	-----

### ▶ District Courts, 2021

New Sexual Assault Cases Filed	586
Disposed Sexual Assault Cases	594

### ▶ Case Disposition Outcomes in 2021

Disposed Sexual Assault Cases		594
Sexual Assault Cases with a Guilty Plea/Conviction	28%	169
Sexual Assault Cases Acquitted	3%	19
Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed	49%	290



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

#### **A. UNDERSTANDING THE DATA**

The annual Central Repository data collected from statewide law enforcement and service provider agencies, SANE programs and the district and magistrate courts are beset with the same flaws experienced in all of social research. While great pains were taken to standardize the data being collected and to provide instruction to those collecting the data, there are many problems inherent in the realities of data collection of this scope. Examples of these problems include: the discretion of individuals completing data collection forms, the room for subjective interpretation during data entry, inconsistencies in staff training, and the quality, extent, and timing of reporting caused by staff turnover, inconsistent agency' participation due to funding changes from year-to-year, data management abilities, and confidentiality concerns and verification of summary data reports. Additionally, there remain critical gaps in sources of data needed to provide a more accurate estimate of reported sex crimes in New Mexico: (a) Native American victimizations on tribal lands; b) victimizations on New Mexico college campuses; c) victimized patients who present in hospital emergency rooms; d) prosecuted victimizations in statewide district attorney's offices; e) victimizations among immigrant communities; f) victimizations in long-term living/healthcare facilities; and g) victimizations among people with disabilities.

For all the above stated reasons, all the data presented in this report annually, represent the bare minimum of sexual assaults that have taken place in the covered time frame. They are simply the assaults that were reported to an agency, which in turn, reported to the Central Repository. As there are inherent flaws in data collection and many gaps in the data, the gold standard to obtain a much more reliable estimate of the incidence and prevalence of sexual assault in New Mexico is to conduct a statewide victimization survey.

#### **B. DATA SOURCES**

The Central Repository is supported by the State of New Mexico Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention and Behavioral Health Services Division and the Violence Against Women Act. It was established in 1998 to house data submitted from a variety of agencies statewide (law enforcement, district and magistrate courts, and domestic violence service providers) that deal with the issue of domestic violence. In 2000, the Central Repository began capturing statewide sexual assault data, as well. To this end, sexual assault data from law enforcement agencies and the courts, as well as data from rape crisis centers, mental health centers, and SANE Programs that provide services to sexual assault victims, are submitted to the Central Repository.

Currently, standardized data from law enforcement are submitted to the Central repository on a quarterly basis, and data from service provider agencies and SANE programs are submitted monthly. The sexual assault data analyzed for this report covers statewide law enforcement, service provider, SANE, and district court data from 1/1/21 – 12/31/21.

## II. SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

### A. IN 2021, A YEAR AFTER THE COVID-19 RESTRICTIONS IN OPERATIONS EXPERIENCED BY STATEWIDE LAW ENFORCEMENT AND SERVICE PROVIDERS AGENCIES, THERE WAS A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN SEX CRIMES REPORTED TO LAW ENFORCEMENT BUT A MODEST INCREASE IN SURVIVORS WHO RECEIVED SERVICES

There were 108 law enforcement agencies that submitted sexual assault data to the Central Repository during 2021. Presently, these agencies represent 96% of the New Mexico population. There were 3,183 sex crimes reported by participating law enforcement agencies, a 20% increase over the 2,654 reported in 2020. During the same calendar year, service providers from rape crisis centers and mental health centers served 1,587 victims of sexual assault (as reported on the standardized *Sexual Assault History Form*), a 2.6% increase over the number served in 2020 (1,547). SANE Programs served 1,317 sexual assault victims/patients in 2021, one more than were served in 2020 (1,316).

In 2021, law enforcement responded to 1,419 criminal sexual penetration crimes and 1,764 non-penetration crimes including criminal sexual contract, criminal sexual contact of a minor, child enticement, sexual exploitation, and indecent exposure. This represents a 36% increase in the number of non-penetration sex crimes reported to law enforcement in 2020 (1,294). This increase in large part, can be explained by a return to pre-COVID-19 operations, and a change in the capture of non-penetration crimes. Most law enforcement agencies have transitioned to NIBRS reporting to the FBI from the former UCR reporting, and have stopped reporting on non-penetration crimes beyond criminal sexual contact and criminal sexual contact of a minor. In counties where law enforcement were not reporting on other non-penetration crimes, data on newly filed cases of non-penetration sex crimes in statewide municipal and district courts were used to obtain counts on these crimes for each county. The number of criminal sexual penetration crimes increased 4% over the 1,360 reported in 2020. The rate of rape victimizations reported to statewide law enforcement agencies in 2021, is 0.74 per 1000, a 7.2% increase from the 0.69 per 1000, in 2020.

Findings from the *NISVS 2010-2012 State Report* demonstrated that the lifetime rate of rape and attempted rape in New Mexico for women (20.4%) was slightly higher than the national rate (19.1%) for women. While the 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape for New Mexico was not statistically reliable, the 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape for women nationally was 1.2%. Based on the lifetime rate comparison, we can logically assume the 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape for New Mexico adult women would be slightly higher than the rate for adult women nationally. However, if we conservatively use the national 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape (1.2%) to estimate the rate of rape and attempted rape for New Mexico adult women, an estimated 9,973 adult women (ages >17) were victims of rape and attempted rape in 2021. This number is 16.1 times the number of total adult rapes (including men and women) actually reported to law enforcement in the same year (619) and 9.5 times the number of raped females of *any age* (1,052) who reported to law enforcement in 2021.

## **B. MORE SEXUALLY ABUSED MALES THAN FEMALES ARE ABUSED AS CHILDREN**

In 2021, children (<13 years) comprised 24% of the sexual assault victims assisted by service providers, an average 32% (22% rape victims and 42% victims of non-penetration sex crimes) that came to the attention of statewide law enforcement agencies, and 32% of those patients served at statewide SANE units.

Service provider records in 2021 demonstrate that when examined by gender, significantly more males (38%) than females (22%) were children (ages <13) at the time of their sexual assault. There is significant disparity between the rates of victimized male and female children in criminal sexual penetration crimes. In 2021, of the males that were raped, 29% were children (ages <13), compared to 16% of females.

## **C. THE TIME GAP BETWEEN MALES AND FEMALES SEEKING THERAPEUTIC SERVICES IS NARROWING**

For the first time in New Mexico, an examination of service provider data in 2021 found that males and females were equally likely (76%, respectively) to obtain therapeutic services in the year of their victimizations. However, among those who waited to seek services beyond the year they were assaulted, the average delay for males was 4.1 years compared to 2.4 years for female victims; and among those who delayed, more males (7%) than females (4%) waited over 20 years to seek services.

## **D. RAPE IS A CRIME OF OPPORTUNITY.**

### **1. OFFENDERS ARE OLDER THAN THEIR VICTIMS**

It is clear that rape is a crime of opportunity and that opportunity presents itself most often among the vulnerable. In 2021, while 50% of rape victims in law enforcement cases were children and adolescents (<18), over three-quarters (82%) of offenders were adults (>18). To emphasize this point, in 2021, service providers reported that 53% of their clients experienced a sexual victimization prior to the one for which they presented for services. Almost three-quarters (71%) of the victims that experienced a prior sexual assault were victims of on-going abuse, 79% of which occurred by age 12. Likewise, 29% were victims of a prior isolated sexual assault, and over half (58%) of these occurred by age 12.

## **2. OFFENDERS TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THOSE WITH DISABILITIES**

In 2021, one-third (38%) of service-provider cases and SANE patients (30%) had a disability. Among SANE patients, more adult (46%) rape victims had a disability than adolescent (23%) and child victims (10%). There were more Native American survivors with a disability (49%), than survivors of mixed race (36%), White (non-Hispanic) survivors (32%), Black survivors (27.5%) and Hispanic survivors (22%).

## **3. OFFENDERS ARE OVERWHELMINGLY MALE**

Overwhelmingly, offenders of sexual offenses are males. In 2021, the offender in 94% of law enforcement rape cases, and 96% respectively, of service provider and SANE cases were male. Additionally, data from the NISVS found that nationally, 98% of female rape victims and 93% of male rape victims, had a male offender.

### **E. MOST SURVIVORS ARE SEXUALLY ASSAULTED BY SOMEONE OF THE SAME RACE/ETHNICITY**

In 2021, service providers reported that in 68% of their cases, the offender was the same race/ethnicity as the client. When examined by race/ethnic group, more Hispanic victims (76%) were victimized by someone of their own race, than White (non-Hispanic) victims (59%), Native American victims (53%) and Black victims (51%).

### **F. SURVIVORS OF RAPE ARE MORE LIKELY TO SEEK THERAPEUTIC SERVICES THAN SURVIVORS OF NON-PENETRATION SEX CRIMES**

It is evident that sexual assault victims that are raped are more likely to seek therapeutic services than victims of other (non-penetration) sex crimes. Of those that sought therapeutic services in 2021, 63% were rape victims. Another 24% of victims seeking services were victims of criminal sexual contact. An examination of 2021 service-provider data found that significantly more females (65%) than males (54%) who presented for services, were rape victims.

### **G. MOST SURVIVORS WHO SEEK SERVICES ARE VICTIMS OF INCEST**

Overwhelmingly, victims that seek services are incest victims. In 54% of service-provider cases that identified the nature of the rape, the survivors were victims of incest. This is not surprising given the earlier discussion on the rate of clients that had experienced a prior victimization before age 12, the rate victimized by someone of the same race/ethnicity, and the rate victimized by a family member.

### **H. MOST SEXUAL ASSAULT SURVIVORS KNOW THEIR OFFENDERS**

In law enforcement-reported rapes in 2021, the offender was known to the victim in 86% of the rapes perpetrated. Of the known offenders, 39% were family members. Similarly, of the rape victims that sought therapeutic services, 78% of the victims of rape were victimized by someone known to them, 16% of which were family members.

**I. RATES FOR STRANGER-PERPETRATED SEXUAL ASSAULTS VARY MORE BY RACE/ETHNICITY THAN BY GENDER OF THE SURVIVOR**

As reported by service providers, when stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by gender, 16% of the cases with a female survivor and 11% of cases with a male survivor were perpetrated by a stranger. When stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by race/ethnicity, a significantly greater proportion of Native American survivors (31%), than White (non-Hispanic) survivors and survivors of mixed race (15%, respectively), Black survivors (13%), and Hispanic survivors (12%) were assaulted by a stranger.

**J. ALCOHOL/DRUG USE IS GREATER AMONG FEMALE RAPE VICTIMS AND INCREASES VULNERABILITY TO STRANGER-RAPE**

In 2021, law enforcement reported that 39% of rape cases involved the use of alcohol or drugs. Service providers reported that 34% of their rape cases involved alcohol or drug use. When alcohol/drug use was examined by gender among rape victims, more (35%) female survivors of rape than male (9%) survivors of rape who sought services, used alcohol or drugs at the time of the sexual assault. When examined by age, 37% of adult rape survivors, 21% of adolescent rape survivors, and 3% of child rape survivors used alcohol or drugs during the reported sexual assault.

Stranger rape was twice as likely (26%) to be experienced by survivors using alcohol/drugs, than survivors who did not use alcohol/drugs (12%).

**K. TYPES OF COERCION USED IN SEXUAL ASSAULTS, SUCH AS PHYSICAL FORCE, VERBAL THREAT, WEAPONS, MANIPULATION, AND INTENTIONAL DRUGGING DIFFER BY VICTIM AGE**

Service providers document the type of coercion that was involved in the sexual offenses experienced by their clients. Physical force (35%) was the type of coercion reported most in 2021, followed by verbal threat (20%) and manipulation (17%). Weapons were involved in 7% of sexual assault cases. Similarly, physical force (48%) was involved in most SANE cases, followed by authority over the victim (33%), alcohol/drugs (29%), and physical intimidation (28%). Weapons were involved in 8% of SANE cases.

When examined by age, service providers reported that physical force was used slightly more on adolescent victims (ages 13-17) (38%), than adult victims (ages 18 and older) and child victims (<13) (35%, respectively) and more than any other type of coercion with adolescent and adult victims. Manipulation was used more on child victims (ages <13) (33%) than adolescent and adult victims (26% and 11%, respectively). Intentional drugging of the victim by the perpetrator was used more often on adults (10.5%) and adolescents (6%), than children (3%). Firearms were used equally as often on adults and adolescents (3%, respectively). Knives were used more on adult victims (2%) and equally (1% respectively) among adolescent and child victims.

Similarly, most adult (63%) and adolescent SANE patients (48%) experienced physical force, while most children were coerced by someone in authority (71%).

## **L. SANE PROGRAMS BEST CAPTURE SURVIVOR INJURIES**

In 2021, law enforcement reported that 28% of rapes involved an injury. The SVV found that 27% of female rape victims and 16% of male rape victims reported being injured. In 2021, statewide SANE programs reported that 52% of their patients incurred injury from their assault. When examined by gender, 55% of females and 35% of males were injured during their sexual assault.

When examined by age, victim injury occurred in 83% of adult (18 and older) SANE patients, 39% of adolescent (ages 13 -17) SANE patients, and 22% of SANE child patients (ages 12 and under).

## **M. THE RATE OF SEEKING MEDICAL TREATMENT DIFFERS BY SURVIVOR GENDER, AGE, AND RACE**

In 2021, service providers reported that 46% of their clients sought medical treatment as a result of their sexual assault. More female survivors (47.5%) than male survivors (41%) sought medical treatment. Similarly, significantly more adult survivors (ages >17) (66%) sought medical treatment compared to adolescent survivors (13-17) (30%); and more than twice as many child survivors <6 years old (39%) as those 6-12 years old (17%) sought medical treatment.

Of survivors who sought therapeutic services, significantly more Native American survivors (85%) than survivors from all other races sought medical treatment: White (non-Hispanic) survivors (49%), and Hispanic survivors (36%), and survivors of mixed race (35%).

## **N. THE RATE OF OBTAINING FORENSIC EVIDENCE DIFFERS SIGNIFICANTLY BY SURVIVOR GENDER, AGE, AND RACE**

Almost half (48%) of survivors seeking therapeutic services in 2021, had forensic evidence collected. Among rape survivors, more adult survivors (63.5%), than adolescent (34%) and child survivors (29%) had forensic evidence collected. Among female rape survivors, more adult (66.5%) and adolescent survivors (32%), than child survivors (30%) had forensic evidence collected. There were too few male survivors to examine by age regarding forensic evidence collection.

In 2021, Native American survivors (80%) were significantly more likely to obtain forensic evidence collection than White (non-Hispanic) survivors (42%), Hispanic survivors (30%), and survivors of mixed race (29%).

## **O. REPORTING SEXUAL ASSAULT DIFFERS SIGNIFICANTLY BY GENDER AND RACE**

In 2021, 9% of survivors seeking therapeutic services did not report their victimization to anyone. Of those that did report their victimization, 33% reported to law enforcement, 32% reported to a rape crises center, and 20% to an emergency department or SANE unit. Another 11% of survivors reported to social service agencies. The SVV found that three times more females (19%) than males (6%) reported their victimization to law enforcement.

When examined by race/ethnicity, only 3% of Native American survivors did not report their victimization to law enforcement compared to 8% of White (non-Hispanic) survivors, 10% of Hispanic survivors, and 14% of survivors of mixed race.

**P. THE RATE OF SUSPECT ARRESTS IN SEXUAL ASSAULT CASES REMAINS LOW AND DIFFERS SIGNIFICANTLY BY VICTIM GENDER**

Law enforcement reported a suspect arrest in 8% of rape cases in 2021. The proportion of sexual assault cases with a suspect arrest in non-penetration cases is captured by the Albuquerque Police Department and the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office. There was a suspect arrest in 7% of law enforcement non-penetration crimes in Bernalillo County.

The SVV found that 47% of reported female rapes and 3% of reported male rapes had a suspect arrest.

**Q. MOST SEXUAL ASSAULT SURVIVORS GET HELP TO ADDRESS MENTAL HEALTH CONCERNS AND PTSD SYMPTOMS**

There were 757 sexual assault survivors who reported one or more reasons why they decided to seek help. Of all the reasons for seeking assistance, most survivors (51%) did so for mental health problems/concerns or symptoms from the assault (such as nightmares/PTSD), or because of physical health concerns or it was now safe to do so (30%, respectively). One-quarter (24%, respectively) of survivors sought help because they were encouraged to get help by others or because of family concerns.

**R. TOO MANY SEXUAL ASSAULT CASES ARE DISMISSED IN DISTRICT COURTS**

There was a 20% increase in new sexual assault cases filed in statewide district courts in 2021 over the number filed in 2020, due to restricted operations during COVID-19 in 2020. Similarly, there was a 43% increase in the number of sexual assault cases disposed in statewide district courts in 2021. Of 594 sexual assault cases disposed in 2021, 49% (290) were dismissed, 28% (169) obtained a guilty plea/conviction, 3% (19) were acquitted, and 19% (116) had prosecution proceedings that resulted in other dispositions (conditional discharges, remands, and consent decrees).

**III. IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS**

Findings from the *NISVS 2010-2012 State Report* demonstrated that the lifetime rate of rape and attempted rape in New Mexico for women (20.4%) was slightly higher than the national rate (19.1%) for women. While the 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape for New Mexico was not statistically reliable, the 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape for women nationally was 1.2%. Based on the lifetime rate comparison, we can logically assume the 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape for New Mexico adult women would be slightly higher than the rate for adult women nationally. However, if we conservatively use the national 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape (1.2%) to estimate the rate of rape and attempted rape for New Mexico adult women, an estimated 9,973 adult women (ages >17) were victims of rape and attempted rape in 2021. This number is 16.1 times the number of total adult rapes (including men and women) (619) actually reported to law enforcement in the same year.

Recommendation: Conduct a statewide victimization survey and update every five years to capture reported and unreported criminal penetration and non-penetration sex crimes to provide for a more accurate estimate of the rates of statewide sex crimes.

Findings from the NISVS demonstrate that victims of rape in one's lifetime are overwhelmingly female (1 in 5) compared to males (1 in 14). Annual reports of rape in New Mexico also demonstrate that victims of sex crimes are overwhelmingly female. In 2021 in New Mexico, 88% of law enforcement rape cases, 88% of service-provider rape cases, and 89% of SANE rape cases involved a female victim. Conversely, offenders of rape are overwhelmingly male. In New Mexico in 2021, 94% of victimizations reported by law enforcement, and 96% respectively, of victimizations reported by statewide service providers and SANE Programs were perpetrated by a male offender.

Gender socialization involves messaging about expected behavior of males and females in one's family, one's racial/ethnic culture, religious culture, work culture, social class, and in the society in which one lives, through media messaging. As a result of gender socialization messaging, females are more vulnerable to sexual, physical and emotional victimization and males are more likely to offend, whether the victim is female or male. The American Psychological Association states that changing gender norms requires working with males ("Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Boys and Men") and females ("Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Girls and Women") to make women and men more aware of how they are socialized to be and how that can impact their relationships. This education should start early in school curricula because messaging that makes females more vulnerable to victimization and males more likely to offend are harmful to their health and well-being.

Recommendation: A review of 82 studies by Amin, A., Kagesten, A., et. Al (J of Adolescent Health, 2018 Mar) found that boys and girls experience distinctly different pressures and sources of gender socialization; and working with both adolescent boys and girls through "participatory and emotionally engaging curricula to stimulate discussions about gender roles and unequal power relations" is critical. It is recommended that culturally sensitive curricula similar to the Gender Equity Movement in Schools program (India), the Gender Roles, Equality and Transformation project (Uganda) or the Choices intervention project (Nepal) that have proven successful in changing gender attitudes, communication between adolescent boys and their partners, and stereotypical behavior, be adopted. These programs include "small group participatory curricula to generate critical reflection about unequal power relations" and not only target the adolescent boys and girls, but also peers, parents, and schools, and mobilize entire communities.

A significant proportion of males and females in New Mexico are victimized by age 12 as reported by law enforcement (23%), service providers (23%), and SANE Programs (32%). Nationally, the NISVS found that 42% of females were raped before age 18, and 28% of males before age 10.

Recommendation: Since parents, step-parents, and other family members are responsible for much of the sexual abuse of males and females, it is imperative that parents, guardians, and extended family be targeted for prevention education and outreach to compliment the training of other professionals (teachers, clergy, law enforcement, prosecutors, and judges) who must recognize and respond to a suspected sexual assault of a child or a child's disclosure.

The negative effects of sexual violation during childhood cannot be overstated. Data from statewide service providers reveal that sexual assault during childhood is a precursor to experiencing a sexual assault in the future. Over half (53%) of all those who sought assistance for a sexual assault in 2021, had experienced a prior sexual assault.



Recommendation: 1. Sexual abuse education (circumstances, how to report, and how to get help) is recommended for elementary and high school students; and when developmentally appropriate, a necessary component of such education must address the reality that children who are sexually abused are at greater risk of becoming pregnant as a teen, than children who are not sexually abused. Education on self-esteem, self-respect, components for healthy relationships, and normal sexual development must be addressed to reduce the likelihood of early pregnancy among sexually violated children. 2. Train school counselors and nurses to recognize symptoms of sexual assault and the importance of obtaining treatment.

In 2021 in New Mexico, service provider data found that over two-thirds (71%) of rape victims victimized by a *stranger* sought medical treatment and forensic evidence collection (65%) compared to 41.5% of rape victims who sought medical treatment and forensic evidence collection (37%) who were victimized by someone they knew. These findings demonstrate that victims of stranger-perpetrated rape are more likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation of their victimizations; and that victims who are victimized by a *relative* are less likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation regarding their victimizations. By extension, this means that successful prosecution of sexual assaults perpetrated by family members is less likely, and victims of these sex crimes are less likely to access needed services and protections.

Recommendation: Reduce the number of sexual assaults by: a) increasing outreach in schools and communities to identify families at risk; b) educating family members on appropriate sexual development and setting appropriate boundaries; and c) teaching parents and children how to obtain help, how and where to disclose sexually inappropriate behavior, and what services are available to them.

In 2021, approximately one-third of service-provider survivors (38%) and SANE patients (30%), had some type of disability before the assault. Most of these sexual assault survivors identified by service providers (51%) and SANE (64%) had a mentally/cognitive disability.

Recommendation: 1. The New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs and community disability advocates should provide education programs to promote greater awareness among families and communities regarding the vulnerability of people with disabilities to being sexually assaulted; and the need for sexuality education and personal safety for individuals with disabilities. 2. Train CYFD to assess and interview limited and non-verbal clients with disability.

Only one-third (33%) of the sex crimes that came to the attention of service providers in 2021 were reported to law enforcement. Reporting rates to law enforcement among victims who do not seek services are lower. Findings from the SVV demonstrated that only 16% of adult victims, 15% of adolescent victims, and 9% of child victims reported their victimizations to law enforcement. Further, the SVV found that females report to law enforcement (19%) three times the rate of males (6%). In 2016, 33.9% of rape victims nationally reported their victimization to law enforcement (Criminal Victimization, 2016). Moreover, to date, no data exist that capture referrals to law enforcement from healthcare providers who treat patients who present with injuries as a result of sexual assault.

Recommendation: a) provide training to healthcare providers to effectively respond to patient disclosures of sexual assault and to law enforcement officers to respond with sensitivity to the needs of sexual assault victims and initiate advocacy for the victim; and b) provide accessible legal advocacy to assist victims through the legal process.

In 2021, law enforcement reported that 28% of criminal sexual penetration cases and 7% of non-penetration sex crimes involved injury to the victim. Conversely, SANE practitioners found that 52% of their sexual assault patients incurred one or more injuries during their assault. The reasons for the great disparity in injury reporting between law enforcement and SANE practitioners can be explained in part, by the fact that SANE practitioners are specifically trained to identify and document sexual assault injuries; and beyond observable injuries to the head/neck or extremities of the victim, law enforcement officers are not likely to detect injury. Secondly, sexual assault victims who are injured may be more likely to seek SANE services than sexual assault victims who are not injured. Therefore, SANE Programs would naturally have a higher rate of victims who experienced injury.

Recommendation: While law enforcement should provide officer training regarding the documentation of observable victim injury in sexual assaults and a more accurate way to report injury on law enforcement offense incident reports, responding officers and sexual assault advocates should refer victims to SANE Programs for proper injury assessment and forensic evidence collection.

Most (74%) survivors of sexual assault seek treatment within the first year of the assault. However, many survivors delay seeking treatment for many years. The average delay for males and females is 4.1 years and 2.4 years, respectively. Most survivors sought treatment because of *mental health problems* (51%), because of *physical health concerns* or because *it was safe to do so now* (30%, respectively) or were *encouraged to do so by others* or because of *family concerns* (24%, respectively).

Recommendation: Conduct greater outreach, community training, and training of professionals to increase understanding of the prevalence of mental health concerns among sexual assault survivors, and the power and importance of seizing all opportunities to encourage survivors to get help.

Half (49%) of all sexual assault cases disposed in statewide district courts were dismissed in 2021 and these dismissals do not include cases bound over/transferred, conditional discharges, remands, or other dispositions that resulted from some prosecution actions. Greater oversight is warranted to: 1) examine the reasons for the dismissals of these cases (especially those perpetrated against children) at the prosecution and judicial levels; and 2) implement steps necessary to address identified problem areas.

Recommendation: 1) Provide greater oversight of prosecution and judicial practices regarding sexual assault crimes to identify the reasons for the dismissals of sexual assault cases; 2) implement steps necessary to address identified problem areas; and 3) support increased funding from the State general fund to increase the number of investigators, prosecutors, and judges trained in the unique characteristics of sexual assault cases.

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XIX:**

## **An Analysis of 2021 Data from The New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.  
For the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.*

“This project was supported by Grant No. 2016-WF-AX-0010 or awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.”

*Funded by:*

New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Dept. of Justice; State of New Mexico: Human Services Department - Behavioral Health Services Division, Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

**October 2022**

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

### **A. UNDERSTANDING THE DATA**

The annual Central Repository data collected from statewide law enforcement and service provider agencies, SANE programs and the district and magistrate courts are beset with the same flaws experienced in all of social research. While great pains were taken to standardize the data being collected and to provide instruction to those collecting the data, there are many problems inherent in the realities of data collection of this scope. Examples of these problems include:

1) the discretion of individuals completing data collection forms – e.g. when an officer responds to a reported sexual assault does he/she have enough training to know whether to document it as a criminal sexual penetration crime or criminal sexual contact? Or when an officer responds to a domestic violence case, do they have enough knowledge of the law to identify a victim at the crime scene and document it correctly?

2) data entry – when data entry personnel enter data are they restricted by the data program software to ensure that what is entered would be entered the same by anyone entering the data, or is there too much room for interpretation that could result in one person documenting a different circumstance than another, when faced with the same case report?

3) staff turnover – is there training of new staff regarding the data forms to be completed and how to complete them? Data is completely lost or can lose validity when one staff leaves without properly training a replacement.

4) inconsistent participation – at times, agencies have the funding and personnel to assign data collection and documentation to one or more individuals and other times they lack this luxury. This is particularly true for service provider agencies with limited budgets, with personnel dedicated to helping survivors in need. Providers are forced to wear many hats, and reluctantly, as a practical matter of the demands of providing essential services, data collection must take a back seat. Therefore, some years an agency will participate fully, completing required report forms in a timely manner. Other years, they will submit the bare minimum of data, and less frequently, or not at all. This results in many more victims being helped than are actually reported.

5) data management – many large agencies have software that accommodates the data collected and can produce requested reports, at will. Many smaller agencies lack this time saving software and must devote significantly more time to review their records to enable them to report to the Central Repository. These reviews can often times be subjective; and as they are done less frequently or even once a year, some crimes may be missed and therefore, are not counted and reported.

6) confidentiality concerns and data verification – those who work in sexual assault and domestic violence know that keeping the identity of their clients confidential is of the highest importance. For this reason, most data collected by the Central Repository is summary in nature, rather than based on individual records, and is not submitted on-line. The Central Repository does not have personnel that can go to every statewide agency and check their data collection personnel, software, and data entry procedures; and summary data makes data verification for all practical purposes, impossible. Therefore, the Central Repository must accept that the data submitted is true.

7) data demands – statewide law enforcement and service provider agencies, SANE Programs and the district and magistrate courts rely heavily on state and federal funds to exist and maintain their operations. Each funder has data collection and reporting requirements and worse, the requirements are in a constant state of evolution. The volume of these data reporting requirements is prohibitive and is not accompanied by additional funding to adequately meet the demand. This “data overload” results in inconsistent reporting, at best.

8) data gaps – in social research there are always data gaps. In New Mexico, sexual assault and domestic violence data from several sources must be improved to have a more accurate estimate of the annual incidence and prevalence of these crimes. These sources include obtaining sexual assault and domestic violence incidents among: a) Native Americans on tribal lands; b) New Mexico college campuses; c) hospital emergency rooms; d) district attorney’s offices; e) immigrant communities; f) long-term living healthcare facilities; and g) organizations that assist people with disabilities.

For all the above stated reasons, all the data presented in this report annually, represent the bare minimum of sexual assaults that have taken place in the covered time frame. They are simply the assaults that were reported to an agency, which in turn, reported to the Central Repository. As there are inherent flaws in data collection and many gaps in the data, the gold standard to obtain a much more reliable estimate of the incidence and prevalence of sexual assault in New Mexico is to conduct a statewide victimization survey.

In 2005, the Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention obtained funds to conduct the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico (SVV). The purpose of the survey was to obtain state estimates of the prevalence and nature of victimization among adults in New Mexico. Preliminary findings from the SVV were published in the report, *Sex Crimes In New Mexico V*, January 2007. Additional findings from the SVV on the prevalence and nature of rape victimizations among children and adolescents in New Mexico were published in the *Sex Crimes In New Mexico VI*, October 2007 report.

The Central Repository has been publishing the baseline rates of domestic violence, stalking, and sexual assault for New Mexico every year since 2006, on the FACT SHEET of its annual *Sex Crimes in New Mexico* report. As it has been 17 years since the first statewide victimization survey, the original findings are outdated and have lost their relevancy. It is past time to conduct a follow-up survey to measure changes in incidence and prevalence rates in interpersonal crimes in New Mexico. Fortunately this year, state funds were designated for this purpose. Hopefully data from this new statewide victimization survey will be available next year.

In the meantime...The National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS) funded by the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, is an on-going survey of sexual violence, stalking, and intimate partner violence among adult women and men in the United States. Fortunately, data collected from 2010-2012 were analyzed to determine estimated lifetime rates of specific interpersonal violence victimizations for all 50 states and the District of Columbia and the findings published in the NISVS 2010-2012 State Report. While annual incidence rates are not available for most states, this report is invaluable to provide lifetime estimates of these reported and unreported interpersonal violence crimes that could otherwise not be obtained unless each state had the funds to conduct its own victimization survey.

Current estimates of each of the specified interpersonal violence crimes presented on the FACT SHEET of this report are based on the *NISVS 2010-2012 State Report*, hereafter referred to as the NISVS State Report. As even these data are now 10 years old, the recent allocation of funds to conduct a new statewide victimization survey in New Mexico could not have come at a better time.

This report includes findings from calendar year 2021 sexual assault data from the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository. *Section One*, includes data from law enforcement, service providers, statewide Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) units, and the analysis of sexual assault cases and dispositions from statewide district court data obtained from the Administrative Office of the Courts. *Section Two* presents a discussion of the implications of the findings and recommendations; and *Section Three* offers county tables that present important trends information specific to each county.

## **SECTION ONE: ANALYSIS OF 2021 SEX CRIMES DATA FROM THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY**

### **I. ABOUT THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY**

The Central Repository is supported by the State of New Mexico Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention and Behavioral Health Services Division and the Violence Against Women Act. It was established in 1998 to house data submitted from a variety of agencies statewide (law enforcement, district and magistrate courts, and domestic violence service providers) that deal with the issue of domestic violence. In 2001, the Central Repository began capturing statewide sexual assault data, as well. To this end, sexual assault data from law enforcement agencies and the courts, as well as data from rape crisis centers, mental health centers, and SANE Programs that provide services to sexual assault victims, are submitted to the Central Repository.

Currently, standardized data from law enforcement are submitted to the Central repository on a quarterly basis, and data from service provider agencies and SANE programs are submitted monthly. The data analyzed for this report covers sexual assault law enforcement, service provider, and SANE data for the period 1/1/21 – 12/31/21.

### **II. LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED SEX CRIMES**

#### **A. DEFINITIONS**

Sexual assault incidents captured in New Mexico include the following statutes regarding sexual offenses. These statutes are presented in brief. Full definitions are found in **Appendix A**.

#### **30-9-11 Criminal sexual penetration**

- A. Criminal sexual penetration is the unlawful and intentional causing of a person to engage in sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse or the causing of penetration, to any extent and with any object, of the genital or anal openings of another, whether or not there is any emission.

#### **30-9-12 Criminal sexual contact**

- A. Criminal sexual contact is the unlawful and intentional touching of or application of force, without consent, to the unclothed intimate parts of another who has reached his eighteenth birthday, or intentionally causing another who has reached his eighteenth birthday to touch one's intimate parts.

#### **30-9-13 Criminal sexual contact of a minor**

- A. Criminal sexual contact of a minor is the unlawful and intentional touching or applying force to the intimate parts of a minor or the unlawful and intentional causing of a minor to touch one's intimate parts. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

### **30-9-14 Indecent exposure**

- A. Indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view. As used in this section, “primary genital area” means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.

### **30-10-3 Incest**

- A. Incest consists of knowingly intermarrying or having sexual intercourse with persons within the following degrees of consanguinity: parents and children including grandparents and grandchildren of every degree, brothers and sisters of the half as well as of the whole blood, uncles and nieces, aunts and nephews.

### **30-9-1 Enticement of child**

Enticement of child consists of:

- A. Enticing, persuading or attempting to persuade a child under the age of sixteen years to enter any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 (30-9-1 to 30-9-9 NMSA 1978) of the Criminal Code; or
- B. Having possession of a child under the age of sixteen years in any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 of the Criminal Code.

### **30-6A-2 Sexual exploitation of children**

- A. and B. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally *possess* or *distribute* any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.
- C. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally cause or permit a child under eighteen years of age to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows, has reason to know or intends that the act may be recorded in any obscene visual or print medium or performed publicly.
- D. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.

### **30-4-1 Kidnapping**

- A. Kidnapping is the unlawful taking, restraining, transporting or confining of a person, by force, intimidation or deception, with intent: 1) that the victim be held for ransom; 2) that the victim be held as a hostage or shield and confined against his will; 3) that the victim be held to service against the victim’s will; or 4) to inflict death, physical injury or a sexual offense on the victim.



### 30-52-1 Human trafficking

- A. Human trafficking consists of a person knowingly: 1) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means another person with the intent or knowledge that force, fraud or coercion will be used to subject the person to labor, services or commercial sexual activity; 2) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means a person under the age of eighteen years with the intent or knowledge that the person will be caused to engage in commercial sexual activity; or 3) benefiting, financially or by receiving anything of value, from the labor, services or commercial sexual activity of another person with the knowledge that force, fraud or coercion was used to obtain the labor, services or commercial sexual activity.

### 30-37-3.2 Child solicitation by electronic communication device

- A. Child solicitation by electronic communication device consists of a person knowingly and intentionally soliciting a child under sixteen years of age, by means of an electronic communication device, to engage in sexual intercourse, sexual contact or in a sexual or obscene performance, or to engage in any other sexual conduct when the perpetrator is at least three years older than the child.

## B. LAW ENFORCEMENT-REPORTED INCIDENTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT

There were 108 law enforcement agencies that submitted sexual assault data to the Central Repository during 2021 (see **Appendix B**). Presently, these agencies represent 96% of the New Mexico population. Data from each participating agency was extracted from police offense incident reports that were submitted in aggregate form on the standardized *Law Enforcement Sexual Violence Data Collection Form* (see **Appendix C**).


### 1. All Law Enforcement-Reported Sex Crimes

In 2021, there were 3,183 sex crimes reported by participating law enforcement agencies. While this represents a 20% increase over that reported in 2020 (2,654) during COVID 19 restrictions, it is 11.5% fewer than that reported pre-COVID-19, in 2019 (3,601). For a list of sex crime reports by law enforcement agency, see **Table 1**. For a list of sex crime reports by county, see **Table 2**. Of the reported sex crimes, 45% (1,419) were cases of criminal sexual penetration, 26% (827) criminal sexual contact of a minor, 14% (448) criminal sexual contact, 5.5% (175), indecent exposure, 4% respectively, sexual exploitation of children (134) and kidnapping (121), 1% respectively, child enticement (21) and solicitation by electronic device (27), and <1% respectively, prostitution (3) and human trafficking (8). See **Figure 1**.

### 2. Law Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration (Rape) Victimitizations

The number of criminal sexual penetration (rape) victims per county that were reported to law enforcement in 2021 is shown in **Appendix D**. The rate of law enforcement-reported criminal sexual penetration (LER-CSP) victimizations in New Mexico was calculated based on counties with complete reporting (those counties with the law enforcement agency from the largest city reporting). The rate of law-enforcement reported criminal sexual penetration for New Mexico is 0.74 per 1000 persons, which is a 7.3% increase from the 0.69 per 1000 rate reported in 2020. A ranking of law enforcement-reported

criminal sexual penetration rates for counties with complete reporting is found in **Appendix E**, and alphabetically by county in **Appendix F**.



## C. CHARACTERISTICS OF CRIMINAL SEXUAL PENETRATION CASES


### 1. Victim and Offender Gender

There were 1,521 criminal sexual penetration (rape) victims identified from the 1,419 law enforcement sexual assault reports in 2021. Victim gender was documented for 1,205 victims. Of these, 1,060 (88%) were female victims, and 145 (12%) male victims.

Of the 1,419 cases of criminal sexual penetration, 1,492 offenders were identified. Offender gender was documented in 1,149 reports. Of these, 94% (1,080) had a male offender.


### 2. Victim and Offender Age

Of the 1,237 reports of criminal sexual penetration that identified victim age, the greatest proportion of all victims was in the age group 13-18 (28%), followed by victims ages 26-35 and 19-25 (16%, respectively), 7-12 (14%), 36-45 (9%), <7 (8%), 46-55 (5%), 56-65 (3%) and >65 (2%). Conversely, of the 729 reports that identified offender age, the greatest proportion of all offenders was in the age group 26-35 (23%), followed by offenders ages 36-45 (22%), 19-25 (17%), 13-18 (14%), 46-55 (11%), 56-65 (7%), 7-12 (4%) and >65 (2%). See **Figure 2**.




### 3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity

Of the 1,145 criminal sexual penetration cases that identified victim race/ethnicity, 47% were Hispanic, 36% White (non-Hispanic), 13% Native American, 3% Black, 1% Asian and 0.3% “other race”. Likewise, of the 866 criminal sexual penetration cases that identified offender race/ethnicity, 48% were Hispanic, 28% White (non-Hispanic), 12% Native American, 10% Black, 2% “other race” and 0.5% Asian. For a comparison of victim and offender race/ethnicity to racial/ethnic compositions in New Mexico for 2021, see **Figure 3**.



#### 4. Victim/Offender Relationship

The victim/offender relationship was documented in 669 of the 1,419 reported cases of criminal sexual penetration. Of these, 14% (94) were perpetrated by a stranger to the victim and 86% (575) were perpetrated by someone known to the victim. Over one-third (39%) of all offenders were relatives (260) of the victims. While law enforcement agencies report whether the offender was a stranger or known to the victim, they do not further report the type of relationship among known offenders. However, such documentation is available from Bernalillo County in the reported cases of criminal sexual penetration submitted by the Albuquerque Police Department and the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office. The victim/offender relationship was documented in 249 cases. Relatives comprised 57% (142) of all victim/offender relationships documented. Boyfriends/girlfriends comprised the largest category of known-specified-non-family offenders, 17% (42), followed by ex-spouses, 13% (327), and friends, 8% (21). Parents or guardians comprised the largest category of known-specified-family members, 17% (43), followed by siblings, 6% (15). **Figure 4** illustrates the number and percent of each type of victim/offender relationship.




## 5. Weapon Use and Injury

There is a parallel between weapon use in sexual assault incidents and its use in domestic violence incidents, though there is reluctance among professionals in the field of sexual violence prevention and prosecution to report this observation. Because the intent in a domestic assault or battery is to physically harm the victim, an offender's fists and feet used in kicking, slapping, or punching a victim are considered "personal weapons". Applying this definition of a weapon to criminal sexual penetration crimes would result in 69% or 604 of 873 cases in New Mexico, involving a weapon. However, while this demonstrates comprehensive and accurate reporting, there is great reluctance in reporting such high rates of weapon use in sexual assault incidents. Sexual assault advocates and prosecutors have been working for years to dispel the long held social myth that unless there is a "non-personal" or deadly weapon involved, the victim consented too easily and must have freely engaged in the sexual activity. In truth, a very small proportion of criminal sexual penetration cases nationally, involve a "non-personal" weapon, such as a gun, knife, bat, etc. The same is true in New Mexico, as only 7% or 36 of 505 cases documenting *type of weapon used* involved firearms (2.2%) or knives (1.7%) or "non-personal" (3%) weapons.

Of the 1,012 cases that documented whether the victim was injured, victim injury occurred in 28% (283) of the cases.

## 6. Alcohol and Drug Use

There were 1,059 cases of criminal sexual penetration where alcohol/drug use was documented. Of these, alcohol and/or drugs were used in 39% (401) of cases. Of the 401 cases where alcohol or drugs were used, 126 documented the using party(s). Offender-only use of alcohol/drugs was reported in 24% (30) of cases. Victim-only use was found in 29% (36) of cases, and both the victim and offender used alcohol/drugs in 48% (60) of cases. See **Figure 5**. Therefore, offenders used alcohol/drugs in 72% of the cases involving alcohol/drug use and victims used alcohol/drugs in 77% of the cases involving alcohol/drug use. These percentages should be viewed with caution as only 126 reports out of 1,419 incidents, reported on the using party.



## 7. Children Witnesses to Criminal Sexual Penetration

Data provided from statewide law enforcement agencies regarding the presence of child witnesses in criminal sexual penetration crimes could not be verified and were therefore, omitted from this report.

## 8. Suspect Arrests for Criminal Sexual Penetration Incidents

There were 1,018 cases of criminal sexual penetration that documented whether there was a suspect arrest. Of these, 79 (8%) cases had a suspect arrest. Among agencies with 10 or more reported criminal sexual penetration cases, the San Juan County Sheriff's Department had the most (33%) cases with a suspect arrest, followed by the Las Vegas Police Department (23%). Conversely, the Rio Rancho and Hobbs Police Departments had the fewest criminal sexual penetration cases with a suspect arrest (0%), followed by the Albuquerque Police Department (2%), Farmington Police Department (5%) and Clovis Police Department (10%). See **Table 3**.

## D. CHARACTERISTICS OF OTHER (NON-PENETRATION) LAW ENFORCEMENT-REPORTED SEX CRIMES

There are many types of sexual victimization that do not include sexual penetration. These are non-penetration sex crimes that are captured by the National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey. As defined by the survey, there are two categories of non-penetration sex crimes:

**Unwanted sexual contact** is defined as unwanted sexual experiences involving touch but not sexual penetration, such as being kissed in a sexual way, or having sexual body parts fondled, groped, or grabbed.

**Non-contact unwanted sexual experiences** are those unwanted experiences that do not involve any touching or penetration, including someone exposing their sexual body parts, flashing, or masturbating in front of the victim, someone making a victim show his or her body parts, someone making a victim look at or participate in sexual photos or movies, or someone harassing the victim in a public place in a way that made the victim feel unsafe.

In New Mexico we have numerous statutes defining non-penetration sex crimes. These crimes are outlined in *Appendix A. Definitions* and include such crimes as criminal sexual contact, criminal sexual contact of a minor, indecent exposure, aggravated indecent exposure, sexual exploitation of children, solicitation of a minor, child solicitation by electronic communication device, and voyeurism.

The Albuquerque Police Department and a significant number of other law enforcement agencies statewide are now only reporting *criminal sexual contact* and *criminal sexual contact of a minor* data.

The change in reporting is precipitated by more law enforcement agencies transitioning from the federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) to the National Incident Based Reporting System (NIBRS). The NIBRS system, developed in the 1970's, began being implemented by individual law enforcement agencies in the 1990s according to each agency's ability to acquire the hardware, software, and training needed to use the system. Today, most agencies are utilizing the NIBRS system. NIBRS is a much more

comprehensive reporting system than the UCR. It gathers information on single incidents, (as well as separate offenses within the same incident), victims, offenders, relationships between victims and offenders, arrestees, and property involved in crimes. However, there are no codes for reporting non-penetration sex crimes other than fondling, i.e. criminal sexual contact/criminal sexual contact of a minor. This is unfortunate, since the rate of lifetime unwanted sexual contact for women in New Mexico is 30.2%, ranking NM 10<sup>th</sup> in the U.S. Similarly, the rate of lifetime non-contact unwanted sexual experiences for women in New Mexico is 39.2%, ranking NM 2<sup>nd</sup> in the U.S.

The UCR reporting did not capture non-penetration crimes, but law enforcement agencies statewide were willing to collect their own data regarding criminal sexual contact, criminal sexual contact of a minor, indecent exposure, sexual exploitation, and child enticement cases,. However, since the NIBRS reporting is so extensive and more labor intensive, few agencies are willing to do additional data collection not required by NIBRS. In the state of New Mexico, all agencies using the NIBRS system report to the State Department of Public Safety, which house the NIBRS data and report to the federal government.

Fortunately, many law enforcement agencies, including the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office still reports data on criminal sexual contact, criminal sexual contact of a minor, indecent exposure, sexual exploitation, and child enticement cases; and most agencies, including the Albuquerque Police Department reports on criminal sexual contact and criminal sexual contact of a minor. Because tracking non-penetration crimes is so important, data on non-penetration crimes filed as new cases in 2021, from New Mexico municipal and district courts were examined to obtain a count for each county where law enforcement did not report. These data make possible the presentation of the following analysis of the nature and characteristics of non-penetration sex crimes in New Mexico.

## **1. Victim and Offender Gender**


There were 1,764 non-penetration sex crimes in New Mexico. There were 1,931 victims identified in these crimes. Victim gender was documented in 562 of the victims identified. Of these, 81% (457) were female. This is 7% fewer than the 88% of female victims in law enforcement-reported criminal sexual penetration (CSP) crimes. There were 810 offenders identified in the non-penetration sex crimes. The suspect gender was documented in 492. Of these, 95% (467) were male. This is similar to the 94% of male offenders in CSP crimes.

## **2. Victim and Offender Age**

Victim age was documented in 561 victims identified in non-penetration sex crimes. Of these, 42% were not yet adolescents: 15% (86), ages <7, and 27% (151) ages 7-12. One-third (34%) of victims were ages 13-18 (189). Of the 24% adult victims, 8% (45), were ages 19-25; 7% (40), ages 26-35; 4% respectively, ages 36-45(23) and 46-55 (20). Adults ages >65 composed 1% (7) of victims. See **Figure 6**. Offender age was documented for 381 of the 810 offenders. Of these, 27% (101) were ages 36-45, followed by offenders ages 26-35, 25% (96); 13-18, 14% (52); 19-25, 11% (42); 46-55, 10% (37); 56-65, 6% (24); >65, 4% (16); 7-12, 3% (11); and <7, 1% (2). Refer to Figure 6.




**Figure 6. Victim and Offender Age in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County, as Reported by Law Enforcement, 2021**



### 3. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity

Victim race/ethnicity was documented for 538 of the 635 victims. Of these, most victims, 60% (324) were Hispanic, followed by White (non-Hispanic) victims, 23% (125), victims of “other” races, 7% (36), Native American victims (25) and Black victims (25) (5%, respectively), and Asian victims, 1% (3). Similarly, of the 810 offenders, race/ethnicity was identified for 447. Of these, most offenders, 51% (229) were Hispanic, followed by White (non-Hispanic) offenders, 26% (115), offenders of “other” races, 13% (56), Black offenders, 6% (25), and Native American offenders, 5% (21). See **Figure 7**.

**Figure 7. Victim and Offender Race/Ethnicity in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement, 2021**



### 4. Weapon Use and Injury

Bernalillo County law enforcement agencies reported that 62% (335) of cases involved a weapon. Of these, 8% (28) involved a deadly weapon, 4% (13) a firearm, 2% (8) a knife), and 2% (7) non-personal weapons (blunt object, bat, etc.).



Seven percent (39) of non-criminal sexual penetration cases involved injury, which is significantly less than the 28% of injury-involved criminal sexual penetration cases.

#### **5. Alcohol/Drug Use**

Offender alcohol/drug use was reported in 12.5% (68) of non-criminal sexual penetration cases.

#### **6. Children Witnesses to Non-Penetration Sex Crimes**

Data provided for the presence of child witnesses in non-penetration sex crimes in Bernalillo County could not be verified and was therefore, omitted from this report.

#### **7. Suspect Arrest in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes**

Of the 543 non-penetration sex crimes in Bernalillo County, there was an arrest made in 7% (39). This is similar to the 8% of criminal sexual penetration crimes with a suspect arrest.

### **III. SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICE PROVIDERS AND NEW CLIENTS SERVED**

There were 19 sexual assault service provider agencies that submitted data to the Central Repository in 2021 (see **Appendix G**). Therapists from participating agencies complete the *Sexual Assault History* form, a standardized data collection instrument used by mental health and rape crisis centers throughout New Mexico to capture information on each client who presents for therapy for a recent or past sexual offense (see **Appendix H**). The data presented herein represent clients who presented for therapy between 1/1/21 and 12/31/21 for a recent or past sexual assault. Completed *Sexual Assault History* forms are submitted to the Central Repository on a monthly basis. There were 1,587 clients who received services for a sexual assault victimization. Completed forms on these survivors were analyzed for this report.

The Rape Crisis Center of Central New Mexico served 45% (712) of all sex crimes survivors seen in 2021, followed by La Pinon (Las Cruces), 11% (178), Sexual Assault Services of Northern New Mexico, 8% (125), and Arise Sexual Assault Services (Portales), 7% (104). See **Table 4**. For an examination of survivors served by county, see **Table 5**.

**Table 6** shows the number of sexual assault victims served by statewide service providers by county and the number of sex crime victims reported by law enforcement for each county. However, it is difficult to make a meaningful comparison between the number of sexual assault victims reported by service providers per county and the number of sex crime victims reported by law enforcement per county because only 74% (1,169) of all survivors (1,587) who sought treatment in 2021, experienced the sexual assault in 2021. The number of survivors that were assaulted in 2021, as reported by service providers (1,169), represent only 34% of the number of sexual assault victims identified by law enforcement in 2021 (3,452).



## A. SURVIVOR DEMOGRAPHICS

### 1. Gender of Survivor

Of the 1,587 sexual offense reports, 1,532 documented the gender of the survivor, 88% (1,347) of which were female, 10% (159) male, 1% (16) gender-nonconforming, and 1% (10) transgender. See **Table 7** for the gender of survivors served by agency.


### 2. Age of Survivor at Time of Current (Presenting) Sexual Assault

Of the 1,534 reports where *age of survivor at the time of the current assault* was documented, the greatest percentage of victimizations occurred between ages of 18-24 and 25-34 (19%, respectively), followed by victimizations occurring between ages 13-17 (17%), and ages 6-12 (15%). See **Figure 8**. A comparison of *age at the time of the current sexual assault* between males and females reveals that more assaulted males (38%) were victimized by age 12, compared to the percent of females who were assaulted by age 12 (22%). See **Figure 9**.




Since there is a significant difference between genders with regard to the age of the survivors at the time of the most recent assault when examining all types of sexual assault, an analysis was conducted to compare the age of survivors for males and females between criminal sexual penetration crimes and non-penetration sex crimes.

In criminal sexual penetration crimes, the proportion of male children (<13) raped (29%) among all males who were raped is significantly more than the proportion of female children raped (16%) among all females who were raped. See **Figure 10**.




In non-penetration sex crimes (criminal sexual contact, criminal sexual contact of a minor, sexual exploitation, child enticement, and indecent exposure) the proportion of male children (ages <13) victimized (57%) among all males victimized, is significantly greater than the proportion of female children victimized (37%), among all females victimized. See **Figure 11**.




### 3. Age of Survivor at Presentation for Therapy

The age of the survivor when presenting for therapy was documented in 1,573 of the sexual offenses reported. Of these cases, the age group with the most presentations was 25-34 (22%), followed by 18-24 (20%), and 13-17 (16%). See **Figure 12**.




When examined by gender, more males presented for therapy during the ages of 6-12 (15%) and ages <6 (12%), than females, ages 6-12 (9%) and ages <6 (6%). See **Figure 13**.

The sexual assault reports from service providers in 2021, were analyzed to determine the proportion of victims who sought therapeutic services for their sexual assault within one year of the assault and the proportion of victims who waited longer than one year. Three-quarters (74%) of all survivors (where gender is known and unknown) and 76% respectively, of female and male survivors, sought therapy within one year of their sexual assaults. See **Figure 14**. Of those who waited to seek services beyond the first year following their sexual assault, the average delay in seeking therapy was 2.87 years. Among male sexual assault victims the average delay was 4.1 years compared to 2.4 years for female victims. Among those who delayed, more males (7%) than females (4%) waited over 20 years to seek services. Refer to Figure 14.






**Figure 14. Time Lapse from Time of Victimization to Time Seeking Therapy Among Sexual Assault Victims, by Gender, 2021**



#### 4. Survivor History of Prior Sexual Assault/Abuse

Prior sexual assault/abuse was documented in 564 service provider reports. Of these, 300 (53%) were sexually assaulted prior to the current assault. Of the 300 cases with a prior sexual assault, 243 documented whether the assault/abuse was ongoing or an isolated event. Of these, 71% (171) were cases of ongoing abuse and 29% (72) an isolated sexual assault event. The age of the survivor at the time of the prior assault was documented in 121 cases of ongoing abuse. The age at onset of abuse is shown in **Figure 15**. Over three-quarters (79%) of these prior on-going victimizations occurred by age 12. Of the 52 cases of isolated prior sexual assault incidents, over half (58%) occurred by age 12, 29% between the ages of 13-17, and 13% were individuals 18 and older. Refer to Figure 15.


**Figure 15. A Comparison of Age at Time of Prior Assault Between Victims of Ongoing Sexual Abuse and Victims Who Experienced an Isolated Prior Event, as Reported by Service Providers, 2021**



#### 5. Race/Ethnicity of Survivor

Race/ethnicity of the survivor was documented in 1,535 of reported sexual offenses. Most survivors (48%) were Hispanic, followed by White (non-Hispanic) survivors (27%), Native American survivors (13%), survivors of mixed race (6%), Black survivors (3%), Asian survivors (2%), and survivors of "other" races (<1%). For a comparison of these percentages to the racial/ethnic composition of New Mexico, see **Figure 16**.

**Figure 16. Survivor Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers and State of New Mexico Racial/Ethnic Composition, 2021**




When race/ethnicity was documented among *rape* survivors, most were Hispanic (45%), followed by White (non-Hispanic) (29%), Native American (15%), mixed race (6%), Asian (2%), and 1% “other” races.

When race/ethnicity was examined by victim gender and age among male rape victims seeking services, there were too few male victims of each race/ethnicity to examine: Hispanic males (31), White (non-Hispanic) males (23), Native American males (2), males of mixed race (2), Black males (1), and Asian males (1).


When race/ethnicity was examined by age among female rape victims seeking services, there were too few female victims of mixed race (39), Black female victims (24), Asian female victims (10), and female victims of “other” races (4) to examine. A greater proportion of female Hispanic rape victims (19%) were victimized as children (ages <13) compared to female White (non-Hispanic) rape victims (13%), and female Native American rape victims (8%). See **Figure 17**.

**Figure 17. Female Criminal Sexual Penetration Victims by Age and Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers, 2021**



## 6. Survivor Disability

Of the 725 reports that documented disability of the survivor, 38% (274) had a disability. The highest reported disability was *mental disability prior to the sexual offense incident*, accounting for 51% of the documented cases with disability. Almost half (46%) of survivors with disability reported a physical disability: 20% visual, 3% mobility, 4% hearing, and 19% an unspecified physical disability. Three percent of survivors with disability reported “other” unspecified disability. See **Figure 18**. Similarly, of 433 rape victims that documented disability of the survivors, 34% (148) had a disability.




## B. OFFENDER DEMOGRAPHICS

### 1. Gender of the Offender

Of the 1,397 sexual-offense cases where gender of the offender was documented, 96% (1,314) were male, 6% (82) female, and 0.07% (1) transgender. Similarly, among 716 *rape* cases where offender gender was documented, 96% (685) were male, 4% (30) female, and 0.14% (1) transgender.

### 2. Age of Offender



Age of the offender was documented in 679 of the offense reports submitted. Most offenders were 25-34 (26%), followed by offenders 18-24 (22%), 35-44 (20%), 13-17 (13%), 45-54 (7%), 55-64 (5%) and >64 (3%). See **Figure 19**.



### 3. Offender Race/Ethnicity

Race/ethnicity of the offender was documented in 983 of the reported sexual offenses. Over half (54%) of the reported offenders were Hispanic, 26% White (non-Hispanic), 9% Native American, 6%, Black, 2% mixed race/ethnicity, and 1%, respectively, Asian and offenders of “other” races. For a comparison of these percentages to the ethnic/racial composition of New Mexico, see **Figure 20**. Rates for Asian offenders, offenders of mixed race, and offenders of “other” races should be viewed with caution given the small number of offenders in these racial groups (10, 23, and 8, respectively).

Overall, in 68% of documented cases, the offender was the same race/ethnicity as the victim. However, the proportion of offenders of each race/ethnicity that were the same race/ethnicity as the victim differ, as shown: 76% of Hispanic offenders were the same race/ethnicity as their victims compared to 59% of White (non-Hispanic) offenders, 53% of Native American offenders, 51% of Black offenders, 13% of offenders of mix race, and 10% Asian offenders. There were too few offenders of “other” races (4) to analyze this variable. See **Figure 21**.







## C. SEXUAL OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

### 1. Type of Sexual Offense


The type of sex offense was documented in 1,258 of the 1,587 cases reported by service providers. Of these, 62% (786) were criminal sexual penetration (CSP – oral, anal, and/or vaginal penetration), 24% (303) criminal sexual contact, 6% (73) sexual harassment, 3% respectively, stalking (4) and indecent exposure (34), and 1% (17) sexual exploitation. See **Figure 22**.

Of the 786 cases involving criminal sexual penetration, 553 did not specify the circumstances of the rape. In the 233 cases of criminal sexual penetration that specified the rape circumstances, incest accounted for 54% (125). Date/Acquaintance rape comprised 15% (35) of the specified criminal sexual penetration cases. Spousal rape comprised 22% (52) of the specified rapes, and gang rape comprised 9% (21). See **Figure 23**.



There were 1,041 sexual assault cases where both the survivor gender and type of offense were known. When examined by gender, a greater proportion of females than males, experienced penetration (65% and 54%, respectively), while a greater proportion of males than females,


experienced sexual harassment (11% and 5%, respectively), indecent exposure (4% and 2%, respectively) and criminal sexual contact (32% and 23%, respectively). An equal proportion (3% respectively) of male and female survivors experienced stalking. See **Figure 24**.




## 2. Survivor/Offender Relationship

Survivor/Offender relationship was documented in 1,487 of the reported cases of sexual assault. In general, 16% (231) were perpetrated by a stranger and 84% (1,256) by someone known to the victim. Thirty percent (446) of sexual assaults were perpetrated by a relative. When examined by survivor gender, 84% of females and 89% of males were assaulted by a known offender. Significantly more males (38%) than females (29%) were assaulted by a family member.


Stranger-perpetrated sexual offenses were examined by race/ethnicity of the survivor. Of the 388 cases with White (non-Hispanic) survivors, 15% (60) experienced stranger-perpetrated sexual assault. Likewise, of the 700 cases with Hispanic survivors, 12% (85) experienced stranger-perpetrated sexual assault. Stranger-perpetrated sexual assault was experienced by 31% (61) of Native American survivors, 15% (13) of survivors of mixed race/ethnicity, 13% (6) Black survivors, and 2% (1) survivors of “other” races. There were 22 reports on Asian survivors that documented the relationship of the offender. Of these, none were stranger-perpetrated assaults. See **Figure 25**.



Of the 446 sexual offenses committed by family members/relatives, *fathers* was the group with the greatest number of reported offenders, committing 24% of all family-member sexual offenses, followed by uncles (15%), current spouses (13%), cousins (12%), step-fathers (8%) and brothers (7%). See **Figure 26**.



Of the 810 non-family offenders known to the survivor, 17% were "other" known (unspecified) non-relatives. Of the specified relationships among known-non-relative offenders, social acquaintances (32%) committed the greatest proportion of offenses, followed by ex-boyfriends (13%), friends (11%), boyfriends (6%), ex-spouses (5%), new acquaintances (4%), mom's boyfriend (3%), and on-line/internet friends and co-workers (2%, respectively). See **Figure 27**.




### 3. Number of Offenders Involved Per Sexual Assault

The number of offenders per sexual assault was documented in 1,557 of reported sexual offenses. Of the documented reports, 85% (1,318) involved one offender. Of the multiple-offender assaults reported, 6% (89) involved two offenders, 2% (28) involved three offenders, and 8% (287) involved four-or-more offenders.

There were 1,050 cases that identified *survivor alcohol/drug use* and the *number of offenders* involved. Of these, 270 survivors used alcohol/drugs and 780 survivors did not. Survivors using alcohol/drugs (38%) were more likely to be victimized by multiple offenders than survivors not using alcohol/drugs (23%).

### 4. Type of Coercion Used

The type of coercion used was documented in 1,341 cases as reported by service providers. Of these, the type of coercion used most was physical force (35%), followed by verbal threat (20%), and manipulation (17%). Weapons accounted for 7% of the types of coercion used: knives (2%), guns (3%) and other weapons (2%). *Intentional drugging of the victim* by the perpetrator accounted for 8% of the total types of coercion used, and 13% of the types of coercion used were "other" unspecified means. See Figure 28.



Physical force was used more on adolescent victims (ages 13-17) (38%), than child (ages <13) and adult victims (ages >17) (35%, respectively), and more than any other type of coercion. Manipulation was used more on child victims (33%), than adolescent (26%) and adult victims (11%). Verbal threat was used more on child victims (24%), than adult (20%) and adolescent victims (16%). *Intentional drugging of the victim* by the perpetrator was used more often on adult victims (10.5%) than adolescent victims (6%) and child victims (3%). Guns were used more on adult victims (3%), than adolescent (1%) and child victims (0.5%). Knives were used in 2% of adult victimizations and 1% respectively, in child and adolescent victimizations. See **Figure 29**.

When examined by gender, female and male survivors experienced physical force in an equal proportion of victimizations (35%). More male survivors, than female survivors, experienced verbal threat (24% and 20%, respectively), and the use of other weapons (6% and 2%, respectively). Conversely, more female survivors, than male survivors, experienced intentional drugging (9% and 4%, respectively), the use of a gun (3% and 2%, respectively), and the use of a knife (2% and 1%, respectively). See **Figure 30**.

## 5. Survivor Injury


Injury of the survivor was documented in 568 cases reported by statewide service providers. Of these, injury was reported in 47% (268).

Of the 268 injured survivors, 194 documented the type of injury sustained. Of these, 42% (81) experienced a laceration, 12% (24) strangulation, 11% (21) unconsciousness, 0.5% (1) suffered a broken bone, and 48% (94) experienced other unspecified injuries.


Of the 268 injured survivors, the part of the body which sustained injury was documented in 215. Of these, vaginal injury was most documented, 59% (127), followed by injury to the arms/legs, 46.5% (100). An equal number (75) and proportion (35%) of injured survivors reported injury to the head/neck/face and the torso respectively, rectal injury, 22% (47), injury of the hands/feet, 16% (35) and injury to the penis, 0.5% (1). See **Figure 31**.




**Figure 29. Type of Coercion Used by Survivor Age as Reported by Service Providers, 2021**



**Figure 30. Type of Coercion by Survivor Gender as Reported by Service Providers, 2021**





## 6. Use of Alcohol/Drugs

### a. Survivor


Of the 1,072 reports among all sexual assault survivors that documented alcohol/drug use, 26% (277) used alcohol or other drugs during the reported (current) sexual assault. When examined by survivor gender, significantly more female survivors (27%) than male survivors (7.5%) used alcohol/drugs. When examined by survivor age, 37% of adult survivors, 21% of adolescent survivors, and 3% of child survivors used alcohol or drugs during the reported sexual assault.

There were 1,044 sexual assault cases where both *survivor alcohol/drug use* and *race/ethnicity* were documented. Of these, Native American survivors were most likely to use alcohol and/or other drugs at the time of their sexual assault, with 41.5% Native American survivors reporting alcohol/drug use, followed by Black survivors (28%), White (non-Hispanic) survivors (27%), survivors of mixed race (22%), Hispanic survivors (21.5%) and Asian survivors (10.5%). The proportions of Asian and Black survivors who used alcohol/drugs should be viewed with caution as the number of survivors of these races was so few (19 and 36, respectively). See **Figure 32**.

### b. Offender

Use of alcohol or other drugs by offenders was documented in only 242 of the 1,547 sexual offense reports. Of these, 65% (158) of offenders used alcohol or other drugs during the reported assault.


**Figure 32. Survivor Use of Alcohol/Drugs by Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers, 2021**



## 7. Location of Sexual Offenses

Of the 1,341 reports from therapists that documented location of the sexual assault, 34% were committed in the survivor's home. The offender's home represented the location of the second highest category of reported offenses (25%), followed by a residence other than the survivor's or offender's home (7%). Six percent respectively, of the assaults occurred in a hotel/motel and multiple locations. Five percent respectively, of the assaults occurred outdoors and in other unspecified locations. Four percent of assaults occurred in a vehicle. See **Figure 33**.

**Figure 33. Location of Sexual Offenses as Reported by Service Providers, 2021**





## **8. Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault**

Domestic violence history among survivors was documented in 933 of the sexual offense reports. Of these cases, 28% (264) of survivors reported a history of domestic violence.

In 1,587 survivor reports, the reports of their offenders' history of domestic violence was documented in 77 (5%). Therefore, the rate of domestic violence history among offenders cannot reliably be estimated.

## **9. Sexually Transmitted Disease, Pregnancy, and Sexual Assault**

### **a. Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD's)**

Of the 305 cases where *contraction of a sexually transmitted disease* was documented, 1% (3) of the survivors contracted a sexually transmitted disease during the reported (current) sexual assault.

There were too few cases of sexually transmitted disease to reliably examine the relationship between survivor alcohol use and the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease.

### **b. Pregnancy**

In 728 reports from survivors of criminal sexual penetration, there were 297 that documented whether or not a pregnancy resulted from the presenting sexual assault incident. Of these, 3% (8) resulted in a pregnancy.



## **10. Reported Sexual Assault**

Of the 1,587 sexual assault offenses, there were 1,444 which documented whether the assault was reported by someone to a professional agency. Of these, 9% (129) were not reported. Of the 1,315 that were reported, 31% (442) were reported by the survivor, 24% (341) by law enforcement, 10% (144) by the survivor's medical provider, 11% (157) by the survivor's relatives, 1% respectively, by a baby-sitter (19), therapist (10), and school staff (14). Less than one-percent respectively, were reported by child protective services (CYFD), 0.4% (6); SANE, 0.01% (1); and the offender, 0.01% (1). An additional 12.5% (180) was reported by "others" not specified.

There were 1,297 reports made on 697 sexual assaults reported, as each assault may have been reported to more than one type of agency. Of the 1,297 reports made, 33% (433) were reported to law enforcement, 32% (420) to a rape crisis center, 20% (264) to an ER or SANE, and 11% (145) to social service agencies. Less than one percent of sexual assaults respectively, were reported to a healthcare professional (3), civil attorney (2), PREA Coordinator (2), school staff (2) and employer (1), and 2% (25) were reported to "other" agencies not specified. See **Figure 34**.

There were 1,380 cases that documented both, whether a report was made and the race/ethnicity of the survivor. More Asian survivors (25%) did not report their sexual assault, compared to survivors of mixed race (14%), Hispanic survivors (10%), White (non-Hispanic) survivors (8%), Native American survivors (3%), and Black survivors (0%). The proportions of Asian and Black survivors who did


not report their victimizations should be viewed with caution as the number of survivors of these races was so few (24 and 47, respectively). See **Figure 35**.




## 11. Medical Treatment Sought

There were 604 sexual assault offenses that documented whether medical treatment was sought by the survivor. Of these, 46% (278) sought medical treatment. There were 568 reports that documented medical treatment sought and survivor gender. Of 507 female sexual assault survivors, 47.5% (241) sought medical treatment. Of the 61 male sexual assault survivors, 41% (25) sought medical treatment.

An examination of medical treatment sought by survivor age revealed that adult survivors (ages >17) were most likely to seek medical treatment (66%), followed by child survivors ages <6 years (39%), adolescent survivors ages 13-17 (30%), and child survivors ages 6-12 years (17%). See **Figure 36**.




A greater proportion of Native American survivors (85%) sought medical treatment, compared to White (non-Hispanic) survivors (49%), Hispanic survivors (36%), and survivors of mixed race (35%). There were too few Black (12) and Asian (9) survivors to analyze this variable. See **Figure 37**.




## 12. Forensic Evidence Collection

There were 680 service-provider reports that documented whether forensic evidence was collected (within 5 days of the assault). Of these, 48% (280) reported forensic evidence collection. When examined by gender, significantly more female survivors (43%) obtained forensic evidence collection, than male survivors (29%).

An examination of forensic evidence collection (within 5 days of the assault) by survivor race/ethnicity revealed that Native American survivors (80%) were significantly more likely to have forensic evidence collected, than survivors of any other race/ethnic group: White (non-Hispanic) survivors (42%), Hispanic survivors (30%), and survivors of mixed race/ethnicity (29%). There were too few Black (11) and Asian (9) survivors to examine this variable. See **Figure 38**.




Overall, significantly more adult survivors (61%) than adolescent survivors (25%) and child survivors (36%) of all types of sexual assault, obtained forensic evidence collection. Similarly, among rape victims, significantly more adult survivors (63.5%) than adolescent survivors (34%) and child survivors (29%) obtained forensic evidence collection. An analysis was conducted to compare forensic evidence collection among male and female rape victims by gender and age. There were too few male survivors by age to examine : <13 (10); 13-17 (3), and >17 (16). There were significantly more adult female rape victims (66.5%), than adolescent (32%) and child (30%) female rape victims. See **Figure 39**.




### 13. Accessing Services

There were 806 service-provider reports that documented how the adult survivor heard about available sexual assault services. Of these reports, there were 1,100 responses, as some survivors offered multiple responses to this question. Most survivors heard about available services from *corrections* (law enforcement, district attorney, or court) (41%), followed by *mental health or social services* (33%), *medical providers* (26%), *family members* (11%), and *friends and SANEs* (5%, respectively). Six percent of referrals came from “other” unspecified sources. See **Figure 40**.



### 14. Reasons for Seeking Services

There were 757 survivors who provided one or more reasons why they decided to seek help. Of all the reasons for seeking assistance, most survivors (51%) sought help for *mental health problems/concerns/symptoms from the assault, such as nightmares, phobias, flashbacks-PTSD*. Almost one-third of survivors (30%, respectively) sought help for *physical health concerns* or because it was *safe now*. One-quarter of survivors (24%, respectively) sought help because they were *encouraged to get help by others* or because of *family concerns*. Eleven percent of survivors sought assistance because they *have the resources to get help now*, 10% because of *legal concerns*, 3% respectively, because of *work and financial concerns*, and 4% sought help for other unspecified reasons. See **Figure 41**.



#### IV. SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINER (SANE) PROGRAMS

##### A. OVERVIEW OF SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS (SANE)

The purpose of a SANE program is to provide medical treatment to sexual assault victims of all ages and genders. The value of a SANE program is the use of advanced trained nurses who provide prompt, professional medical treatment and care in a private setting, objectively document injuries using special equipment, ensure that evidence is collected properly and backed by chain of custody, and provide quality testimony through legal proceedings – all at no cost to the victim.

All New Mexico SANE Programs use the New Mexico Sexual Assault Evidence Kit (SAEK) within five (5) days of an assault. Overarching principles of SANE include patient confidentiality and informed consent. SANE services are presented as options so that the patient has control over what happens. For example, services offered by SANE programs may include comfort care, medications to prevent sexually transmitted diseases, emergency contraception, evidence collection, documentation and photography of injuries, and referrals for aftermath care. One distinct advantage of the SANE response is its physical environment. SANE units offer a safe, private, and quiet environment where the sexual assault victim can influence the pace of the exam and has the time to have services presented as options, both of which are effective tools in re-empowering the patient.



One key component of any SANE exam is collaboration with co-responding partners. A coordinated or multi-disciplinary team approach recognizes the dual purpose of the sexual assault exam to address the patient needs and the justice system needs. In New Mexico, every SANE unit actively coordinates with law enforcement, district attorney offices, crime lab, and crisis services/advocacy. See **Appendix I** for a list of statewide SANE Programs.

The standardized individualized data collection form used by SANE Programs is found in **Appendix J**. The data analyzed for this report covers the 12-month period 1/1/21 to 12/31/21.

## B. SANE PROGRAM FINDINGS

There were 1,317 patients served by SANE Programs in 2021, which is one more than the number served in 2020.


As expected, most, 35% (458), of all SANE patients were served by the Albuquerque SANE Collaborative, followed by Para Los Ninos, 20% (264), Sexual Assault Services of NW NM, (125) and La Pinon SANE Project (122), 9% respectively, Christus St. Vincent SANE, 8% (106) and Roswell Refuge SANE Project, 6% (80). The number of patients served by each SANE Program is found in **Table 8**.

### 1. Patient Gender

Patient gender was documented for 1,314 patients served by SANE Programs in 2021, 89% (1,168) of which were female, 10.5% (139) male, and 0.05% (7) transgender.


### 2. Patient Age

There were 1,301 records documenting patient age. Most (49% or 648) patients served were adults (ages 18 and older). Adolescents (ages 13-17) comprised 18% (237) of all patients served. Children (ages 12 and under) comprised 32% (425) of all patients served. When examined by gender, most (61%) male SANE patients were children, while most female SANE patients (52%) were adults. See **Figure 42**.




### 3. Patient Race/Ethnicity

Of 1,301 records documenting patient race/ethnicity, 49% were Hispanic, 26% White (non-Hispanics), 14% Native American, 3% Black, 6% mixed race/ethnicity, 0.15% Asian, and 1% “other”. Rates for Black patients (44), Asian patients (2) and patients of “other” races (11) should be viewed with caution with so few patients in these racial groups to examine. Native American survivors and survivors of mixed race are more represented among SANE sexual assault patients than in the general population. Conversely, White (non-Hispanic) survivors, have significantly less representation among SANE sexual assault patients than their representation in the state population. See **Figure 43**.



When examined by race and age of the SANE patient, there were too few Black patients (44) Asian patients (2) and patients of “other” races (11) to examine. Children comprised a greater proportion of patients of mixed race (39%) and Hispanic patients (37%), than White (non-Hispanic) (30%) or Native American (20%) patients. **Figure 44**.





#### **4. Patient Disability**

Patient disability was known/documented in 1,266 SANE reports. Of these, 30% (376) had a disability. This is a 1% decrease in the proportion of patients with a disability reported in 2020 (31%). More female patients had a disability (30%) compared to male patients (25%). When examined by age, 10% of child SANE patients, 23% of adolescent SANE patients, and 46% of adult SANE patients had a disability. Overall, among 375 SANE patients with a disability where age was documented, 75% (280) were adults. When examined by ethnicity/race, there were more Native American survivors with a disability (49%), than survivors of mixed race (36%), White (non-Hispanic) survivors (32%), Black survivors (27.5%) and Hispanic survivors (22%).

Of the 376 patients with a disability, 64% (240) had a mental/cognitive disability, 20% (132) a visual disability, 11% (71) an emotional disability, 3% (18) a hearing disability, 7% (49) an unspecified physical disability, and 3% (23) an unspecified “other” disability. Percents exceed 100 as some survivors reported more than one disability.

#### **5. Offender Gender and Age**

Of 1,238 individual reports where gender of the offender was documented, there was a male offender in 1,190 (96%), which equals the 96% of reports with a male offender in 2020. The age of the offender was documented in 1,101 of the individual reports submitted. Of these, 84% (926) were adults (ages 18 and older), 10% (105) were adolescents (ages 13-17) and 6% (70) were children (12 and under).

### **C. OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS**


#### **1. Victim/Offender Relationship**

The victim/offender relationship was identified for 1,248 SANE patients. Overall, 33% of offenders respectively, were acquaintances and family members, 16% strangers, 7% a brief encounter/date, 4% an intimate partner, and 6% an ex-intimate partner.


Of 476 SANE child patients (ages 12 and under), the victim/offender relationship was documented in 434. Three-quarters (72%) were victimized by a family member, 23% by an acquaintance, 2% by a stranger, 1% by a brief encounter, 0.46% by an intimate partner, 0.23% by an ex-intimate partner, and 1% other. See **Figure 45**.

By contrast, adolescent (ages 13-17) and adult (ages >17) SANE patients were significantly less likely to be victimized by a family member than child SANE patients, and significantly more likely to be victimized by an acquaintance or stranger. Family offenders comprised 36% of SANE adolescent (ages 13-17) patients, and 5% of SANE adult (18 and older) patients. Stranger offenders comprised 12% of adolescent SANE patients and 27% of adult SANE patients. Acquaintance offenders comprised 41% of adolescent patients and 37% of adult SANE patients. Six percent of adolescent patients and 9% of adult patients were assaulted by someone from a brief encounter. Additionally, 2% and 8% of adolescent and adult SANE patients respectively, were assaulted by an intimate partner; and 4% and 11% of adolescent and adult SANE patients respectively, were assaulted by an ex-intimate partner. See **Figure 46**.

**Figure 45. Percent Offender Relationship Among Child (<13) SANE Patients, 2021**



**Figure 46. Percent Offender Relationship Among Adolescent and Adult SANE Patients, 2021**




## 2. Number of Offenders

Of 1,200 reports that documented the number of offenders per sexual assault, 87% (1,045) were perpetrated by one offender, 8% (99) by two offenders, 3% (30) by three offenders, and 2% (26) by four or more offenders.


## 3. Type of Coercion

The type of coercion was documented on 1,202 SANE patients. Overall, SANE Programs report that the type of coercion used most was physical force (48%), followed by person of authority (33%), alcohol/drugs (29%), physical intimidation (28%), verbal threat (19%), and manipulation (16%). One or more weapons were used in 8% of SANE cases: firearm (4%), knife (3%), and other weapon (1%). See **Figure 47**.



The type of coercion used was examined by victim age for 1,248 patients. Of 398 child SANE patients (ages 12 and under) most were coerced by a person of authority (71%), followed by physical force (24%), physical intimidation (18%), verbal threat (11%), and manipulation (10%). See **Figure 48**.


**Figure 48. Type of Coercion Used in SANE Sexual Assault Cases, by Patient Age, 2021**



Of 231 adolescent SANE patients (ages 13-17) most (48% respectively) were coerced by physical force and person of authority, followed by physical intimidation (28%), manipulation (20%) and alcohol/drugs (19%). Refer to Figure 48.


Of 619 adult SANE patients (18 and older), most were coerced by physical force (63%), alcohol/drugs (47%), physical intimidation (33%), verbal threat (24%), manipulation (19%), and other incapacitation (16%). Refer to Figure 48.

The type of coercion used on SANE patients was examined by offender relationship to the victim, either family, other-known offender, or stranger. More offenses involving a firearm (6%) were committed by strangers. An equal proportion of offenses involving a knife (4%) were committed by strangers and other-known offenders, respectively. Conversely, significantly more offenses involving a person in authority (70%) were committed by family members. Overall, a significantly greater proportion of stranger and other known-offender offenses than family offenses, involved physical force, physical intimidation, verbal threat, alcohol/drugs, other incapacitation and “other” types of coercion. See Figure 49.



#### 4. Location of Sexual Offenses


Overall, 69% of the sexual assaults among SANE patients occurred in a residence: victim's home (32%), offender's home (31%), or other residence (6%). Another 6% of sexual assaults respectively, occurred outdoors and in a vehicle. Five percent of sexual assault respectively, occurred in a hotel/motel and multiple locations. See **Figure 50**.



When *location of the sexual offense* was examined by SANE patient age, most (82%) child SANE patients (ages 12 and under) were victimized in a residence: own home (39%), offender's home (39%), or other residence (4%). Additionally, 9% of child patients were victimized at multiple locations. Similarly, most (69%) adolescent SANE patients (ages 13-17) were victimized in the offender's home (32%), their own home (28%), or other residence (9%). Additionally, 9% of adolescent patients were victimized in a vehicle, and 6% respectively, outdoors and in multiple locations. Most (63%) adult SANE patients (18 and older) were victimized in a residence: own home (30%), offender's home (26%) or other residence (7%). An additional 9% of adults were victimized in a motel/hotel and 7% respectively, outdoors and in a vehicle. See **Figure 51**.




**Figure 51. Location of Sexual Offenses by Victim Age, 2021**



## 5. Patient Injury

Injury was observed in 52% (555) of the 1,059 SANE patients where injury status was documented. When examined by gender, 55% of females and 35% of males were injured during their sexual assaults. One-third (36%) of female patients incurred vaginal injury, while 5% of male patients incurred injury to the penis. Twice as many female patients (15%) incurred strangulation, as male patients (8%). Significantly more female patients (18%) than male patients (10%) incurred injury to body extremities. Oral injuries were incurred more by female patients (3%), than male patients (1%). Conversely, twice as many male patients (22%) incurred rectal injuries, as female patients (11%), and slightly more male patients (9%) incurred injuries to the body torso, than female patients (7%). See **Figure 52**.






When examined by age, victim injury occurred in 83% of adult (18 and older) SANE patients, 39% of adolescent (ages 13 -17) SANE patients, and 22% of SANE child patients (ages 12 and under).

Almost three-quarters (78%) of SANE child patients do not have injuries, and as a point of fact, with regard to child SANE patient genital injuries, the SANE exam does not diagnose or identify the cause of injuries, but rather identifies findings of concern, an anatomical variant, abnormality in appearance, or something noteworthy of attention for further follow-up care. Because the likelihood of identifying physical findings of concern is greater when examined as close to the time of the abuse as possible, child exams are done within 72 hours of the event versus 120 hours for adolescents and adults. For the purposes of this discussion regarding child genital injuries, the words “injury” and “physical finding of concern” are interchangeable.

SANE patients of all ages experienced more vaginal injuries than any other type of specified injury: half (51%) of adult patients, one-quarter (26%) of child patients, and 13% of adolescent patients incurred vaginal injuries. See **Figure 53**.




Significantly more adult SANE patients (20%) suffered rectal injuries, than child (5%) and adolescent (4%) SANE patients. Significantly more adult (26%) and adolescent (10%) SANE patients, than child patients (1%) suffered strangulation, as well as injuries to the body: head/neck (adults 16%, adolescents 4%, and children 3%); extremities (adults 30%, adolescents 9%, and children 4%); body torso injuries (adults 16%, adolescents 6%, and children 2%), and oral injuries (adults 6%, adolescents 0.48%, and children 0.24%). Refer to Figure 53.

## D. SANE PROGRAMS SERVICE CHARACTERISTICS

### 1. Referral Source


Referral sources were documented for 1,264 SANE patients. Most patients were referred to SANE from law enforcement (41%), followed by hospitals (31%), CYFD (22%), emergency medical services (13%), and rape crises centers (9%). An additional 4% were self-referred, 3% by a friend, and 1% by a relative. See Figure 54.



### 2. Evidence Collection

Forensic evidence was collected in 95% (1,224) of the 1,291 cases that documented this variable. No forensic evidence collection was reported for 6% (28) of child (ages <13) patients, 7% (16) of adolescent (ages 13-17) patients, and 4% (25) of adult (ages >17) patients. Significantly more adult and adolescent patients (91% and 46%, respectively) than child patients (30%) had swabs taken from the mouth or genitalia as part of the *sexual assault evidence kit* (SAEK). See Figure 55. Similarly, while most adult patients (30%) and adolescent patients (44%) had clothes collected for forensic evidence, only 11%


of child patients had their clothes collected. A great proportion of SANE patients of all ages had photos taken as a part of evidence collection: 91% of children and 83% respectively, of adult and adolescent patients. Refer to figure 55.



### 3. Assessment Services

One or more types of assessment services were obtained by 92.5% of all SANE patients. When assessment services among SANE patients were examined by age, 97% of adolescent SANE patients, 93% of child SANE patients, and 91% of adults SANE Patients received services.

*Treatment of sexually transmitted diseases* was the service conducted most on adult SANE patients (84%). *Physical assessment/medical exam* (88%) and *STD testing* (78%) were conducted most on child patients. Most adolescent patients received a *physical assessment/medical exam* (73%), followed by *STI Testing* (48%) and *STD treatment* (43%). Most adult SANE patients received *STD treatment* (84%) and *pregnancy prevention* (51%). Over twice as many adult (10%) and adolescent (9%) SANE patients received *psychological/suicide assessment* as child patients (4%). See Figure 56.




#### 4. Reports to Law Enforcement

Of 1,317 SANE cases, 1,141 documented whether a report was made to law enforcement. Of these, 69% (790) were reported to police at the time of the SANE exams. When examined by patient age, 57.5% of child cases, 60% of adolescent cases, and 83% of adult cases were reported to law enforcement at the time of the SANE exam.


#### 5. SANE Referrals to Other Services

Sometime during and after SANE services are provided, the patient is also referred to other services for assistance beyond the scope of SANE Programs. There were 858 (65%) patients who received referrals to other services. Most patients, were referred to crime victims reparation (69%), followed by referrals to community mental health centers (55%), rape crisis centers (47%), and law enforcement (36%). Sixteen percent of patients were referred to health services (primary healthcare providers), 15% for a SANE follow-up, 10% to CYFD, 6% to a victim advocate, and 2% to domestic violence services. See **Figure 57**.



When examined by patient age, 91% of child patients, 75% of adolescent patients, and 44% of adult patients were referred to other services. More child patients (ages 12 and under) (16%) were referred to CYFD (adolescents 8%, adults 1%) and community mental health centers (67%) (adolescents

67%, adults 31%). More adolescents were referred to crime victim reparations (77%) (children 60%, adults 74%); More adults were referred to law enforcement (49%) (children 31%, adolescents 27%); rape crisis centers (77%) (children 31%, adolescents 36%); hospital medical providers (26%) (children 10%, adolescents 13%); victim advocates (9%) (children 4%, adolescents 6%); domestic violence services (6%) (no child or adolescent referrals); and SANE follow-up services (26%) (children 9%, adolescents 11%). See **Figure 58**.






## V. DISTRICT COURTS

### A. New Sexual Assault Cases Filed in 2021

There were a total of 2,520 sexual assault charges filed in 586 new cases of sexual assault in New Mexico District Courts, in 2021. This represents a 20% increase in sexual assault case filings from those filed in 2020 (488), and is explained in large part by significantly fewer cases in 2020 due to challenges posed by the COVID-19 restrictions. For a list of District Courts, see **Appendix K**. For the number of new sexual assault cases filed by District Court, see **Table 9**. Criminal sexual penetration comprised most, 47% (1,195), of the charges filed: 13% (325) adults (ages >17); 14% (350) minors, (ages 13-17); 19% (489) children (ages <13); and 1% (31) incest (age undocumented). Criminal sexual contact of a minor comprised 33% (825) of sexual assault charges filed, followed by sexual exploitation of children, 9% (217). See **Figure 59**.




Most (21%) new sexual assault cases were filed in Bernalillo County. Dona Ana County comprised 17% of sexual assault cases filed, followed by San Juan County (10%) and Curry County (6%). See **Table 10** for the number of new sexual assault cases filed for each county.

## B. Sexual Assault Cases Disposed in 2021

### 1. Sexual Assault Charges Disposed

There were 1,992 sexual assault charges disposed in 594 cases of sexual assault. This represents a 43% increase from the number of cases disposed in 2020 (415), as court operations began to return to normal following the 2020 COVID-19 restrictions. Of the disposed sexual assault charges in 2021, most, 47% (928), were criminal sexual penetration: 21% (424) children (ages <13); 12% (245) adults (ages >17); 12% (238) minors, (ages 13-17); and 1% (21) incest (age undocumented). Criminal sexual contact of a minor comprised 30% (588) of sexual assault charges disposed, followed by sexual exploitation of children, 9% (183). See **Figure 60**.



## 2. Sexual Assault Cases Disposed

Most sexual assault cases were disposed in Bernalillo County (16%), followed by Dona Ana County (13%), San Juan County (11%), and Lea County (7%), and Valencia County (6%). See **Table 11** for the number of cases disposed for all counties.

Of the 594 cases of sexual assault disposed in district courts in 2021, 28% (169) obtained a guilty plea/conviction, 3% (19) obtained an acquittal, 49% (290) were dismissed, and 19.5% (116) had prosecution proceedings that resulted in other dispositions: conditional discharges, 5% (31); consent decrees, 2% (12); deferred, 1% (8); bindovers, 7% (39); remands, 0.2% (1); transfers, 0.2% (1); discharges, 0.3% (2); and 4% (22) other unspecified dispositions.

**Table 12** illustrates the number of sexual assault cases dismissed, convicted, and acquitted for each district court.

An examination of dismissed cases by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault cases) shows that Los Lunas District Court had the highest dismissal rate of their disposed sexual assault cases (81%), followed by Portales District Court (64%), Albuquerque District Court (62%), Taos District Court (61%), and Carlsbad and Silver City district courts (59%, respectively). See **Table 13** for the proportion of cases dismissed for each court.

Similarly, an examination of cases that obtained a guilty plea/conviction by district court (among courts with 10 or more sexual assault cases) shows that Alamogordo District Court had the highest conviction rate of their disposed sexual assault cases (64%), followed by Carrizozo District Court (54%), and Bernalillo and Gallup District Courts (50%), respectively. See **Table 14** for the proportion of cases with a conviction for each court.



## SECTION TWO: IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

Findings from the *NISVS 2010-2012 State Report* demonstrated that the lifetime rate of rape and attempted rape in New Mexico for women (20.4%) was slightly higher than the national rate (19.1%) for women. While the 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape for New Mexico was not statistically reliable, the 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape for women nationally was 1.2%. Based on the lifetime rate comparison, we can logically assume the 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape for New Mexico adult women would be slightly higher than the rate for adult women nationally. However, if we conservatively use the national 12-month rate of rape and attempted rape (1.2%) to estimate the rate of rape and attempted rape for New Mexico adult women, an estimated 9,973 adult women (ages >17) were victims of rape and attempted rape in 2021. This number is 16.1 times the number of total adult rapes (including men and women) (619) actually reported to law enforcement in the same year.

Recommendation: Conduct a statewide victimization survey and update every five years to capture reported and unreported criminal penetration and non-penetration sex crimes to provide for a more accurate estimate of the rates of statewide sex crimes.

Findings from the NISVS demonstrate that victims of rape in one's lifetime are overwhelmingly female (1 in 5) compared to males (1 in 14). Annual reports of rape in New Mexico also demonstrate that victims of sex crimes are overwhelmingly female. In 2021 in New Mexico, 88% of law enforcement rape cases, 88% of service-provider rape cases, and 89% of SANE rape cases involved a female victim. Conversely, offenders of rape are overwhelmingly male. In New Mexico in 2021, 94% of victimizations reported by law enforcement, and 96% respectively, of victimizations reported by statewide service providers and SANE Programs were perpetrated by a male offender.

Gender socialization involves messaging about expected behavior of males and females in one's family, one's racial/ethnic culture, religious culture, work culture, social class, and in the society in which one lives, through media messaging. As a result of gender socialization messaging, females are more vulnerable to sexual, physical and emotional victimization and males are more likely to offend, whether the victim is female or male. The American Psychological Association states that changing gender norms requires working with males ("Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Boys and Men") and females ("Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Girls and Women") to make women and men more aware of how they are socialized to be and how that can impact their relationships. This education should start early in school curricula because messaging that makes females more vulnerable to victimization and males more likely to offend are harmful to their health and well-being.

Recommendation: A review of 82 studies by Amin, A., Kagesten, A., et. Al (J of Adolescent Health, 2018 Mar) found that boys and girls experience distinctly different pressures and sources of gender socialization; and working with both adolescent boys and girls through "participatory and emotionally engaging curricula to stimulate discussions about gender roles and unequal power relations" is critical. It is recommended that culturally sensitive curricula similar to the Gender Equity Movement in Schools program (India), the Gender Roles, Equality and Transformation project (Uganda) or the Choices intervention project (Nepal) that have proven successful in changing gender attitudes, communication between adolescent boys and their partners, and stereotypical behavior, be adopted. These programs include "small group participatory curricula to generate critical reflection about unequal power

relations” and not only target the adolescent boys and girls, but also peers, parents, and schools, and mobilize entire communities.

A significant proportion of males and females in New Mexico are victimized by age 12 as reported by law enforcement (23%), service providers (23%), and SANE Programs (32%). Nationally, the NISVS found that 42% of females were raped before age 18, and 28% of males before age 10.

Recommendation: Since parents, step-parents, and other family members are responsible for much of the sexual abuse of males and females, it is imperative that parents, guardians, and extended family be targeted for prevention education and outreach to compliment the training of other professionals (teachers, clergy, law enforcement, prosecutors, and judges) who must recognize and respond to a suspected sexual assault of a child or a child’s disclosure.

The negative effects of sexual violation during childhood cannot be overstated. Data from statewide service providers reveal that sexual assault during childhood is a precursor to experiencing a sexual assault in the future. Over half (53%) of all those who sought assistance for a sexual assault in 2021, had experienced a prior sexual assault.

Recommendation: 1. Sexual abuse education (circumstances, how to report, and how to get help) is recommended for elementary and high school students; and when developmentally appropriate, a necessary component of such education must address the reality that children who are sexually abused are at greater risk of becoming pregnant as a teen, than children who are not sexually abused. Education on self-esteem, self-respect, components for healthy relationships, and normal sexual development must be addressed to reduce the likelihood of early pregnancy among sexually violated children. 2. Train school counselors and nurses to recognize symptoms of sexual assault and the importance of obtaining treatment.

In 2021 in New Mexico, service provider data found that over two-thirds (71%) of rape victims victimized by a *stranger* sought medical treatment and forensic evidence collection (65%) compared to 41.5% of rape victims who sought medical treatment and forensic evidence collection (37%) who were victimized by someone they knew. These findings demonstrate that victims of stranger-perpetrated rape are more likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation of their victimizations; and that victims who are victimized by a *relative* are less likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation regarding their victimizations. By extension, this means that successful prosecution of sexual assaults perpetrated by family members is less likely, and victims of these sex crimes are less likely to access needed services and protections.

Recommendation: Reduce the number of sexual assaults by: a) increasing outreach in schools and communities to identify families at risk; b) educating family members on appropriate sexual development and setting appropriate boundaries; and c) teaching parents and children how to obtain help, how and where to disclose sexually inappropriate behavior, and what services are available to them.

In 2021, approximately one-third of service-provider survivors (38%) and SANE patients (30%), had some type of disability before the assault. Most of these sexual assault survivors identified by service providers (51%) and SANE (64%) had a mentally/cognitive disability.

Recommendation: 1. The New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs and community disability advocates should provide education programs to promote greater awareness among families and communities regarding the vulnerability of people with disabilities to being sexually assaulted; and the need for sexuality education and personal safety for individuals with disabilities. 2. Train CYFD to assess and interview limited and non-verbal clients with disability.

Only one-third (33%) of the sex crimes that came to the attention of service providers in 2021 were reported to law enforcement. Reporting rates to law enforcement among victims who do not seek services are lower. Findings from the SVV demonstrated that only 16% of adult victims, 15% of adolescent victims, and 9% of child victims reported their victimizations to law enforcement. Further, the SVV found that females report to law enforcement (19%) three times the rate of males (6%). In 2016, 33.9% of rape victims nationally reported their victimization to law enforcement (Criminal Victimization, 2016). Moreover, to date, no data exist that capture referrals to law enforcement from healthcare providers who treat patients who present with injuries as a result of sexual assault.

Recommendation: a) provide training to healthcare providers to effectively respond to patient disclosures of sexual assault and to law enforcement officers to respond with sensitivity to the needs of sexual assault victims and initiate advocacy for the victim; and b) provide accessible legal advocacy to assist victims through the legal process.

In 2021, law enforcement reported that 28% of criminal sexual penetration cases and 7% of non-penetration sex crimes involved injury to the victim. Conversely, SANE practitioners found that 52% of their sexual assault patients incurred one or more injuries during their assault. The reasons for the great disparity in injury reporting between law enforcement and SANE practitioners can be explained in part, by the fact that SANE practitioners are specifically trained to identify and document sexual assault injuries; and beyond observable injuries to the head/neck or extremities of the victim, law enforcement officers are not likely to detect injury. Secondly, sexual assault victims who are injured may be more likely to seek SANE services than sexual assault victims who are not injured. Therefore, SANE Programs would naturally have a higher rate of victims who experienced injury.

Recommendation: While law enforcement should provide officer training regarding the documentation of observable victim injury in sexual assaults and a more accurate way to report injury on law enforcement offense incident reports, responding officers and sexual assault advocates should refer victims to SANE Programs for proper injury assessment and forensic evidence collection.

Most (74%) survivors of sexual assault seek treatment within the first year of the assault. However, many survivors delay seeking treatment for many years. The average delay for males and females is 4.1 years and 2.4 years, respectively. Most survivors sought treatment because of *mental health problems* (51%), because of *physical health concerns* or because *it was safe to do so now* (30%, respectively) or were *encouraged to do so by others* or because of *family concerns* (24%, respectively).

Recommendation: Conduct greater outreach, community training, and training of professionals to increase understanding of the prevalence of mental health concerns among sexual assault survivors, and the power and importance of seizing all opportunities to encourage survivors to get help.

Half (49%) of all sexual assault cases disposed in statewide district courts were dismissed in 2021 and these dismissals do not include cases bound over/transferred, conditional discharges, remands, or other dispositions that resulted from some prosecution actions. Greater oversight is warranted to:

1) examine the reasons for the dismissals of these cases (especially those perpetrated against children) at the prosecution and judicial levels; and 2) implement steps necessary to address identified problem areas.

Recommendation: 1) Provide greater oversight of prosecution and judicial practices regarding sexual assault crimes to identify the reasons for the dismissals of sexual assault cases; 2) implement steps necessary to address identified problem areas; and 3) support increased funding from the State general fund to increase the number of investigators, prosecutors, and judges trained in the unique characteristics of sexual assault cases.

## REFERENCES

- American Psychological Association: Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Girls and Women (2007), December.  
<https://www.apa.org/practice/guidelines/girls-and-women>
- Amin, A., Kagesten, A., Adebayo, E., Chandra-Mouli, V. (2018). Addressing Gender Socialization and Masculinity Norms Among Adolescent Boys: Policy and Programmatic Implications. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, March, 62(3 Supp): S3-S5.
- Caponera, B. (2007). Sex Crimes in New Mexico V: An Analysis of Data from the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico and the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository 2002-2005.
- Criminal Victimization, 2019. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics, September 2020 September 2020, NCJ 255113
- Criminal Victimization, 2018. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics, September 2019, NCJ 253043
- Rabinowitz, F., Englar-Carlson, M., McDermott, R., Liang, C., Kridel, M., et al. (2018). American Psychological Association: Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Boys and Men Guidelines Group. American Psychological Association, Boys and Men Guidelines Group. (2018). August, pp 1-31.
- Smith, S.G., Chen, J., Basile, K.C., Gilbert, L.K., Merrick, M.T., Patel, N., Walling, M., & Jain, A. (2017). The National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS): 2010-2012 State Report. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- Smith, S.G., Zhang, X., Basile, K.C., Merrick, M.T., Wang, J., Kresnow, M., and Chen, J. (2018). The National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS) 2015 Data Brief – Updated Release. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- United States Census 2021, Annual Estimates of the Resident Population for Selected Age Group by Sex: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019. U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Census Bureau.

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XIX:**

**An Analysis of 2021 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*TABLES*

Table 1. Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes by Agency, 2021

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	4				2						6	2
Albuquerque Police Department	527	75	237	41	23					10	913	386
Angel Fire Police Department	0	0	0								0	0
Anthony Police Department	7	8	12	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	29	22
Artesia Police Department	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2
Aztec Police Department	8	6	14	8							36	28
Bayard Police Department	2			1							3	1
Belen Police Department	7	4	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	14
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	122	25	74	20	49	6	0	6	13	38	353	231
Bernalillo Police Department	6	1	4	1						1	13	7
Bloomfield Police Department	11	4									15	4
Bosque Farms Police Department	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Capitan Police Department	0	0									0	0
Carlsbad Police Department	32	30	21	11	9	0	1	0	0	1	105	73
Carrizozo Police Department	0										0	0
Catron County Sheriff's Department	0	0	1	1							2	2
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	7	5	8	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	23	16
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	2	1	3								6	4
Clayton Police Department	3	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	12
Cloudcroft Police Department	1										1	0
Clovis Police Department	36	3	21	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	63	27
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2
Corrales Police Department	2	0									2	0
Cuba Police Department	1										1	0
Curry County Sheriff's Office	3	1	6	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	12	9
Deming Police Department	2	2	3	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	14	12

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes
Dexter Police Department	0										0	0
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Department	19										19	0
Eastern NM University	0	1									1	1
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	10	1	1	2	2					2	18	8
Edgewood Police Department	2	0	0								2	0
Espanola Police Department	8	0	3	1							12	4
Estancia Police Department	0	0	0								0	0
Eunice Police Department	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
Farmington Police Department	75	11	33	1	6	0	0	1	1	0	128	53
Gallup Police Department	22	7	21	9	1	1	0	0	0	0	61	39
Grants Police Department	9	2	6								17	8
Hatch Police Department	0	0	0								0	0
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	1	1	0								2	1
Hobbs Police Department	29	6	20	2	6	0	0	0	1	4	68	39
Isleta Tribal Police	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jal Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Las Cruces Police Department	95	43	45	16	13	4	0	0	5	12	233	138
Las Vegas Police Department	13	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	20	7
Lea County Sheriff's Department	8	2	8	1	3		1		1	2	26	18
Lincoln County Sheriff's Office	1	1	2								4	3
Lordsburg Police Department	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Los Alamos Police Department	3	0	2	2							7	4
Los Lunas Police Department	12	7	6	2							27	15
Lovington Police Department	3		5								8	5
Luna County Sheriff's Office	19	3	5	1							28	9
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	11		3								14	3
Milan Police Department	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2
Mora County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2



Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes
Moriarty Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Mexico State University	2	1									3	1
Otero County Sheriff's Department	2										2	0
Peralta, Village of	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Portales Police Department	7	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	19	12
Quay County Sheriff's Office	1	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	7
Raton Police Department	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	4
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	6									4	10	4
Rio Rancho Department of Public Safety	32	3	7	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	44	12
Roswell Police Department	36	57	53	6							152	116
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1
Ruidoso Police Department	4										4	0
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	46	12	17	14	4	0	0	0	1	6	100	54
San Miguel County Sheriff's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara Police Department	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	9	0	5								14	5
Santa Fe Police Department	69	49	29		2					33	182	113
Santa Rosa Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	6	5									11	5
Silver City Police Department	10	0	4								14	4
Socorro County Sheriff's Department	3	2		4							9	6
Socorro Police Department	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Springer Police Department	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
State Police Alamogordo	0	1	2	0	0	0					3	3
State Police Albuquerque	0	0	18	1	1	1					21	21
State Police Clovis	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0
State Police Deming	0	0	3	0	0	0					3	3
State Police Espanola	0	0	17	3	3	1					24	24

Law Enforcement Agency	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes
State Police Farmington	0	0	7	1	1	0					9	9
State Police Gallup	0	0	1	1	0	0					2	2
State Police Grants	0	2	0	0	0	0					2	2
State Police Hobbs	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0
State Police Las Cruces	0	1	11	0	0	0					12	12
State Police Las Vegas	0	1	7	0	0	0					8	8
State Police Moriarty	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0
State Police Raton	0	0	3	0	0	0					3	3
State Police Roswell	0	0	3	0	0	0					3	3
State Police Santa Fe	0	0	4	0	0	1					5	5
State Police Santa Rosa	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0
State Police Socorro	0	0	3	0	0	0					3	3
State Police Taos	0	0	4	0	0	0					4	4
State Police Tucumcari	0	0	1	0	0	0					1	1
Sunland Park Police Department	2	19									21	19
Taos County Sheriff's Department	5		4	3	2					1	15	10
Taos Police Department	0										0	0
Tatum Police Department	0	0	0								0	0
Tierra Amarilla Police Department	3		2							2	7	4
Torrance County Sheriff's Department	10	9	8	3		2					32	22
Truth or Consequences Police Department	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Tucumcari Police Department	0			2							2	2
University of NM	14	5									19	5
Valencia County Sheriff's Department	8	3	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	24	16
Vaughn Police Department	0										0	0
Zuni Police Department	3	8	9								20	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,419</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>827</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>3,183</b>	<b>1,764</b>
<b>Percent of Total</b>	<b>44.6%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>	<b>55.4%</b>

Table 2. Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes by County, 2021

County Name	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes
Bernalillo	663	105	329	62	73	7	0	6	13	48	1,306	643
Catron	0	0	1	1							2	2
Chaves	43	62	64	6	1	0	0	0	2	0	178	135
Cibola	12	6	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	16
Colfax	8	2	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	9
Curry	39	4	27	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	75	36
Dona Ana	125	72	68	16	13	5	1	0	5	12	317	192
Eddy	45	33	22	13	11	0	1	0	0	3	128	83
Grant	12	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	6
Guadalupe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hidalgo	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1
Lea	40	8	38	4	9	0	1	0	2	6	108	68
Lincoln	7	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	4
Los Alamos	3	0	2	2							7	4
Luna	21	5	11	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	45	24
McKinley	36	15	34	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	97	61
Mora	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2
Otero	7	1	2	0	2	0					12	5
Quay	1	3	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	10
Rio Arriba	17	0	22	4	3	1				6	53	36
Roosevelt	7	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	13
San Juan	140	33	71	24	11	0	0	1	2	6	288	148
San Miguel	13	3	7	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	28	15

County Name	CSP Reports	CSC	CSC of a Minor	Indecent Exposure	Sexual Exploitation	Enticement of Child	Prostitution	Human Trafficking	Child Solicitation by Electronic Device	Kidnapping	Total Sex Crimes	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes
Sandoval	41	4	11	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	60	19
Santa Fe	80	49	38	0	2	1				33	203	123
Sierra	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	6
Socorro	4	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	9
Taos	5	0	8	3	2	0				1	19	14
Torrance	10	9	8	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	32	22
Union	3	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	12
Valencia	29	14	21	8	0	0	0	0	2	1	75	46
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,419</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>827</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>3,183</b>	<b>1,764</b>
<b>Percent of Total</b>	<b>44.6%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>99.9%</b>	<b>55.4%</b>

Table 3. Percent CSP\* Incidents with a Suspect Arrest by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	Total CSP Reports Documenting Suspect Arrest	Total CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest	Percent Incidents with a Suspect Arrest
Albuquerque Police Department	527	13	2%
Anthony Police Department	5	1	20%
Belen Police Department	5	3	60%
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	122	17	14%
Bosque Farms Police Department	1	1	100%
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	6	2	33%
Clayton Police Department	3	1	33%
Clovis Police Department	21	2	10%
Curry County Sheriff's Office	3	1	33%
Deming Police Department	2	0	0%
Farmington Police Department	75	4	5%
Gallup Police Department	22	3	14%
Hobbs Police Department	25	0	0%
Las Cruces Police Department	95	11	12%
Las Vegas Police Department	13	3	23%
Lordsburg Police Department	1	0	0%
Milan Police Department	1	0	0%
Portales Police Department	4	1	25%
Rio Rancho Department of Public Safety	32	0	0%
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	2	1	50%
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	46	15	33%
Socorro Police Department	1	0	0%
Valencia County Sheriff's Department	6	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,018</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>8%</b>

\*CSP = criminal sexual penetration

Table 4. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by Participating Agencies, 2021

<b>Agency</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Survivors Served</b>	<b>Percent of All Survivors Served</b>
Alternatives to Violence - Colfax County	Union	38	2%
Alternatives to Violence - Union County	Union	13	1%
Arise Sexual Assault Services	Roosevelt	104	7%
Casa Fortaleza	Bernalillo	21	1%
Community Against Violence	Taos	80	5%
Desert View DV & SA Services	San Juan	23	1%
Healing for Black Women	Bernalillo	6	0%
La Casa Behavioral Health	Chaves	14	1%
La Clínica de Familia, Inc.	Dona Ana	11	1%
La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services	Dona Ana	178	11%
New Mexico Asian Family Center	Bernalillo	7	0%
NMBHI-CBS	San Miguel	37	2%
Rape Crisis Center of Central NM	Bernalillo	712	45%
Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico	San Juan	125	8%
Sexual Assault Services of NWNM/Gallup	McKinley	24	2%
Silver Regional SASS (Grant County)	Grant	69	4%
Socorro Mental Health/PMS	Socorro	4	0%
Solace Crisis Treatment Center	Santa Fe	83	5%
Valencia Shelter Services-Los Lunas	Valencia	38	2%
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,587</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 5. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County, 2021

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Survivors Served</b>	<b>Percent of All Survivors Served</b>
Bernalillo	746	47%
Chaves	14	1%
Dona Ana	189	12%
Grant	69	4%
McKinley	24	2%
Roosevelt	104	7%
San Juan	148	9%
San Miguel	37	2%
Santa Fe	83	5%
Socorro	4	0%
Taos	80	5%
Union	51	3%
Valencia	38	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,587</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 6. Number of Sex Crimes Survivors Served by Rape Crises/Mental Health Centers and Number of Sex Crimes Victims Reported to Law Enforcement by County, 2021

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Sex Crime Victims Identified by Law Enforcement</b>	<b>Number of Survivors Served</b>
Bernalillo	1,489	746
Catron	0	NS
Chaves	181	14
Cibola	28	NS
Colfax	17	NS
Curry	78	NS
Dona Ana	333	189
Eddy	138	NS
Grant	19	69
Guadalupe	0	NS
Hidalgo	3	NS
Lea	114	NS
Lincoln	11	NS
Los Alamos	3	NS
Luna	46	NS
McKinley	102	24
Mora	2	NS
Otero	13	NS
Quay	11	NS
Rio Arriba	54	NS
Roosevelt	24	104
San Juan	307	148
San Miguel	31	37
Sandoval	65	NS
Santa Fe	205	83
Sierra	12	NS
Socorro	13	4
Taos	21	80
Torrance	32	NS
Union	15	51
Valencia	85	38
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,452</b>	<b>1,587</b>

NS = No Victim Services Reported



Table 7. Survivors Served by Gender, by Agency 2021

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Non-conforming</b>	<b>Trans-gender</b>	<b>Total</b>
Alternatives to Violence - Colfax County	23	3			26
Alternatives to Violence - Union County	3	10			13
Arise Sexual Assault Services	88	8	1	2	99
Casa Fortaleza	17	2			19
Community Against Violence	65	8	1		74
Desert View DV & SA Services	21	1			22
Healing for Black Women	6				6
La Casa Behavioral Health	14				14
La Clínica de Familia, Inc.	8	2		1	11
La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services	159	19			178
New Mexico Asian Family Center	5		1	1	7
NMBHI-CBS	22	8			30
Rape Crisis Center of Central NM	631	62	10	6	709
Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico	117	8			125
Sexual Assault Services of NWNM/Gallup	21	1			22
Silver Regional SASS (Grant County)	51	13			64
Socorro Mental Health/PMS		1			1
Solace Crisis Treatment Center	69	10	2		81
Valencia Shelter Services-Los Lunas	27	3	1		31
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,347</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1,532</b>
<b>Percent</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 8. Percent SANE Patients Served by SANE Program, 2021

Agency Name	County	SANE Sexual Assault Patients	Percent of Total Patients Served
Albuquerque SANE Collaborative	Bernalillo	458	35%
Arise Sexual Assault Services	Roosevelt	53	4%
Carlsbad Cavern City CAC SANE	Eddy	9	1%
Christus St. Vincent RMC SANE Program	Santa Fe	106	8%
I Can Survive Roswell Refuge SANE Project	Chaves	80	6%
Las Cruces La Pinon SANE Project	Dona Ana	122	9%
Otero/Lincoln Counties SANE Unit (Alamogordo)	Otero	26	2%
Para Los Ninos SANE	Bernalillo	264	20%
Phoenix House Hobbs SANE	Lea	45	3%
Sexual Assault Services of NW NM (Farmington SANE)	San Juan	125	9%
Silver City SRSASS La Clinica SANE	Grant	20	2%
Taos/Holy Cross Hospital SANE Program	Taos	9	1%
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,317</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 9. Number of New Sexual Assault Cases Filed by District Court, 2021

District Court	Number of New Sexual Assault Cases Filed	Percent of All New Sexual Assault Cases Filed
Alamogordo	8	1%
Albuquerque	123	21%
Aztec/Farmington	58	10%
Bernalillo	26	4%
Carlsbad	25	4%
Carrizozo	4	1%
Clayton	2	0%
Clovis	35	6%
Deming	11	2%
Estancia	5	1%
Gallup	3	1%
Grants	8	1%
Las Cruces	98	17%
Las Vegas	7	1%
Lordsburg	1	0%
Los Alamos	5	1%
Los Lunas	20	3%
Lovington	27	5%
Portales	10	2%
Raton	11	2%
Reserve	1	0%
Roswell	18	3%
Santa Fe	32	5%
Silver City	13	2%
Socorro	3	1%
T Or C	5	1%
Taos	12	2%
Tierra Amarilla	12	2%
Tucumcari	3	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 10. Percent of Sexual Assault Cases Filed in District Courts in 2021, by County

County	Sexual Assault Cases Filed	Percent of Total Sexual Assault Cases Filed
Bernalillo	123	21%
Catron	1	0%
Chaves	18	3%
Cibola	8	1%
Colfax	11	2%
Curry	35	6%
Dona Ana	98	17%
Eddy	25	4%
Grants	13	2%
Hidalgo	1	0%
Lea	27	5%
Lincoln	4	1%
Los Alamos	5	1%
Luna	11	2%
McKinley	3	1%
Otero	8	1%
Quay	3	1%
Rio Arriba	12	2%
Roosevelt	10	2%
San Juan	58	10%
San Miguel	7	1%
Sandoval	26	4%
Santa Fe	32	5%
Sierra	5	1%
Socorro	3	1%
Taos	12	2%
Torrance	5	1%
Union	2	0%
Valencia	20	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 11. Percent Sexual Assault Cases Disposed in District Courts by County, 2021

County	Number of Sexual Assault Cases Disposed	Percent of All Sexual Assault Cases Disposed
Bernalillo	98	16%
Chaves	22	4%
Cibola	17	3%
Colfax	13	2%
Curry	27	5%
De Baca	1	0%
Dona Ana	77	13%
Eddy	22	4%
Grants	17	3%
Hidalgo	3	1%
Lea	39	7%
Lincoln	13	2%
Los Alamos	5	1%
Luna	10	2%
McKinley	2	0%
Otero	22	4%
Quay	3	1%
Rio Arriba	8	1%
Roosevelt	11	2%
San Juan	65	11%
San Miguel	13	2%
Sandoval	14	2%
Santa Fe	24	4%
Sierra	5	1%
Socorro	2	0%
Taos	18	3%
Torrance	6	1%
Union	1	0%
Valencia	36	6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 12. Number of Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed, Convicted, and Acquitted for Each District Court, 2021

District Court	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
Alamogordo		14	3	5
Albuquerque		24	61	13
Aztec/Farmington	2	18	32	13
Bernalillo		7	6	1
Carlsbad		5	13	4
Carrizozo		7	5	1
Clayton			1	0
Clovis	2	6	7	12
Deming	1	2	4	3
Estancia		1	4	1
Fort Sumner		1		0
Gallup	1	1		0
Grants		4	7	6
Las Cruces	5	14	36	22
Las Vegas	1	3	4	5
Lordsburg		1	2	0
Los Alamos		1	2	2
Los Lunas		4	29	3
Lovington	3	16	15	5
Portales		2	7	2
Raton		6	4	3
Roswell		10	9	3
Santa Fe	2	7	12	3
Silver City	1	4	10	2
Socorro			1	1
T Or C		4	1	0
Taos	1	3	11	3
Tierra Amarilla		3	3	2
Tucumcari		1	1	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Percent of Total</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>19.5</b>

Table 13. Percent Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed, by District Court, 2021

District Court	Total Sexual Assault Cases Disposed	Total Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed	Percent Sexual Assault Cases Dismissed
Alamogordo	22	3	14%
Albuquerque	98	61	62%
Aztec/Farmington	65	32	49%
Bernalillo	14	6	43%
Carlsbad	22	13	59%
Carrizozo	13	5	38%
Clayton	1	1	100%
Clovis	27	7	26%
Deming	10	4	40%
Estancia	6	4	67%
Fort Sumner	1		0%
Gallup	2		0%
Grants	17	7	41%
Las Cruces	77	36	47%
Las Vegas	13	4	31%
Lordsburg	3	2	67%
Los Alamos	5	2	40%
Los Lunas	36	29	81%
Lovington	39	15	38%
Portales	11	7	64%
Raton	13	4	31%
Roswell	22	9	41%
Santa Fe	24	12	50%
Silver City	17	10	59%
Socorro	2	1	50%
T Or C	5	1	20%
Taos	18	11	61%
Tierra Amarilla	8	3	38%
Tucumcari	3	1	33%
<b>Total</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>49%</b>

Table 14. Percent Disposed District Court Sexual Assault Cases with a Guilty Plea/  
Conviction, 2021

District Court	Total Sexual Assault Cases	Cases with a Guilty Plea/Conviction	Percent Cases with a Guilty Plea/Conviction
Alamogordo	22	14	64%
Albuquerque	98	24	24%
Aztec/Farmington	65	18	28%
Bernalillo	14	7	50%
Carlsbad	22	5	23%
Carrizozo	13	7	54%
Clayton	1		0%
Clovis	27	6	22%
Deming	10	2	20%
Estancia	6	1	17%
Fort Sumner	1	1	100%
Gallup	2	1	50%
Grants	17	4	24%
Las Cruces	77	14	18%
Las Vegas	13	3	23%
Lordsburg	3	1	33%
Los Alamos	5	1	20%
Los Lunas	36	4	11%
Lovington	39	16	41%
Portales	11	2	18%
Raton	13	6	46%
Roswell	22	10	45%
Santa Fe	24	7	29%
Silver City	17	4	24%
Socorro	2		0%
T Or C	5	4	80%
Taos	18	3	17%
Tierra Amarilla	8	3	38%
Tucumcari	3	1	33%
<b>Total</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>28%</b>



# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XIX:**

**An Analysis of 2021 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*APPENDICES*

## **Appendix A: New Mexico Sex Crime Statutes**

### **Chapter 30**

#### **Criminal Offenses**

#### **Article 9: Sexual Offenses**

##### **30-9-10. Definitions.**

As used in Sections 30-9-10 through 30-9-16 NMSA 1978:

A. "force or coercion" means:

- (1) the use of physical force or physical violence;
- (2) the use of threats to use physical violence or physical force against the victim or another when the victim believes that there is a present ability to execute the threats;
- (3) the use of threats, including threats of physical punishment, kidnapping, extortion or retaliation directed against the victim or another when the victim believes that there is an ability to execute the threats;
- (4) the perpetration of criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact when the perpetrator knows or has reason to know that the victim is unconscious, asleep or otherwise physically helpless or suffers from a mental condition that renders the victim incapable of understanding the nature or consequences of the act; or
- (5) the perpetration of criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact by a psychotherapist on his patient, with or without the patient's consent, during the course of psychotherapy or within a period of one year following the termination of psychotherapy;

Physical or verbal resistance of the victim is not an element of force or coercion.

B. "great mental anguish" means psychological or emotional damage that requires psychiatric or psychological treatment or care, either on an inpatient or outpatient basis, and is characterized by extreme behavioral change or severe physical symptoms;

C. "patient" means a person who seeks or obtains psychotherapy;

D. "personal injury" means bodily injury to a lesser degree than great bodily harm and includes, but is not limited to, disfigurement, mental anguish, chronic or recurrent pain, pregnancy or disease or injury to a sexual or reproductive organ;

E. "position of authority" means that position occupied by a parent, relative, household member, teacher, employer or other person who, by reason of that position, is able to exercise undue influence over a child;

F. "psychotherapist" means a person who is or purports to be a:

- (1) licensed physician who practices psychotherapy;
- (2) licensed psychologist;
- (3) licensed social worker;
- (4) licensed nurse;
- (5) counselor;
- (6) substance abuse counselor;
- (7) psychiatric technician;
- (8) mental health worker;
- (9) marriage and family therapist;
- (10) hypnotherapist; or

- (11) minister, priest, rabbi or other similar functionary of a religious organization acting in his role as a pastoral counselor;
- G. "psychotherapy" means professional treatment or assessment of a mental or an emotional illness, symptom or condition; and
- H. "school" means any public or private school, including the New Mexico military institute, the New Mexico school for the visually handicapped, the New Mexico school for the deaf, the New Mexico boys' school, the New Mexico youth diagnostic and development center, the Los Lunas medical center, the Fort Stanton hospital, the Las Vegas medical center and the Carrie Tingley crippled children's hospital, that offers a program of instruction designed to educate a person in a particular place, manner and subject area. "School" does not include a college or university; and
- I. "spouse" means a legal husband or wife, unless the couple is living apart or either husband or wife has filed for separate maintenance or divorce.

### **30-9-11. Criminal sexual penetration.**

- A. Criminal sexual penetration is the unlawful and intentional causing of a person to engage in sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse or the causing of penetration, to any extent and with any object, of the genital or anal openings of another, whether or not there is any emission.
- B. Criminal sexual penetration does not include medically indicated procedures.
- C. Aggravated criminal sexual penetration consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated on a child under nine years of age with an intent to kill or with a depraved mind regardless of human life. Whoever commits aggravated criminal sexual penetration is guilty of a first degree felony for aggravated criminal sexual penetration.
- D. Criminal sexual penetration in the first degree consists of all sexual penetration perpetrated:
  - (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
  - (2) by the use of force or coercion that results in great bodily harm or great mental anguish to the victim.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the first degree is guilty of a first degree felony.

- E. Criminal sexual penetration in the second degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated:
  - (1) by the use of force or coercion on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age;
  - (2) on an inmate confined in a correctional facility or jail when the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the inmate;
  - (3) by the use of force or coercion that results in personal injury to the victim;
  - (4) by the use of force or coercion when the perpetrator is aided or abetted by one or more persons;
  - (5) in the commission of any other felony; or
  - (6) when the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the second degree is guilty of a second degree felony.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the second degree when the victim is a child who is thirteen to eighteen years of age is guilty of a second degree felony for a sexual offense against a child and, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 31-18-15 NMSA 1978, shall be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of three years, which shall not be suspended or deferred. The imposition of a minimum, mandatory term of imprisonment pursuant to the provisions of this subsection shall not be interpreted to preclude the imposition of sentencing enhancements pursuant to the provisions of the Criminal Sentencing Act [31-18-12 NMSA 1978].

- F. Criminal sexual penetration in the third degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated through the use of force or coercion not otherwise specified in this section.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the third degree is guilty of a third degree felony.

- G. Criminal sexual penetration in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration:
- (1) not defined in Subsections D through F of this section perpetrated on a child thirteen to sixteen years of age when the perpetrator is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than and not the spouse of that child; or
  - (2) perpetrated on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when the perpetrator, who is a licensed school employee, an unlicensed school employee, a school contract employee, a school health service provider or a school volunteer, and who is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than the child and not the spouse of that child, learns while performing services in or for a school that the child is a student in a school.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

### **30-9-12. Criminal sexual contact.**

- A. Criminal sexual contact is the unlawful and intentional touching of or application of force, without consent, to the unclothed intimate parts of another who has reached his eighteenth birthday, or intentionally causing another who has reached his eighteenth birthday to touch one's intimate parts.
- B. Criminal sexual contact does not include touching by a psychotherapist on his patient that is:
- (1) inadvertent;
  - (2) casual social contact not intended to be sexual in nature; or
  - (3) generally recognized by mental health professionals as being a legitimate element of psychotherapy.
- C. Criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual contact perpetrated:
- (1) by the use of force or coercion that results in personal injury to the victim;
  - (2) by the use of force or coercion when the perpetrator is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or
  - (3) when the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

- D. Criminal sexual contact is a misdemeanor when perpetrated with the use of force or coercion.
- E. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

### **30-9-13. Criminal sexual contact of a minor.**

- A. Criminal sexual contact of a minor is the unlawful and intentional touching of or applying force to the intimate parts of a minor or the unlawful and intentional causing of a minor to touch one's intimate parts. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.
- B. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the second degree consists of all criminal sexual contact of the unclothed intimate parts of a minor perpetrated:
- (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
  - (2) on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when:
    - (a) the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the child and uses this authority to coerce the child to submit;

- (b) the perpetrator uses force or coercion which results in personal injury to the child;
- (c) the perpetrator uses force or coercion and is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or
- (d) the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact of a minor in the second degree is guilty of a second degree felony for a sexual offense against a child and, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 31-18-15 NMSA 1978, shall be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of three years, which shall not be suspended or deferred. The imposition of a minimum, mandatory term of imprisonment pursuant to the provisions of this subsection shall not be interpreted to preclude the imposition of sentencing enhancements pursuant to the provisions of Sections 31-18-17, 31-18-25 and 31-18-26 NMSA 1978.

- C. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the third degree consists of all criminal sexual contact of a minor perpetrated:
  - (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
  - (2) on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when:
    - (a) the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the child and uses this authority to coerce the child to submit;
    - (b) the perpetrator uses force or coercion which results in personal injury to the child;
    - (c) the perpetrator uses force or coercion and is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or
    - (d) the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact of a minor in the third degree is guilty of a third degree felony, for a sexual offense against a child.

- D. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual contact:
  - (1) not defined in Subsection C of this section, of a child thirteen to eighteen years of age perpetrated with force or coercion; or
  - (2) of a minor perpetrated on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when the perpetrator, who is a licensed school employee, an unlicensed school employee, a school contract employee, a school health service provider or a school volunteer, and who is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than the child and not the spouse of that child, learns while performing services in or for a school that the child is a student in a school.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

### **30-6-3. Contributing to delinquency of minor.**

- A. Contributing to the delinquency of a minor consists of any person committing any act or omitting the performance of any duty, which act or omission causes or tends to cause or encourage the delinquency of any person under the age of eighteen years. Whoever commits contributing to the delinquency of a minor is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

### **30-9-14. Indecent exposure.**

- A. Indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view.
- B. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.
- C. Whoever commits indecent exposure is guilty of a misdemeanor.
- D. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the court shall order a person convicted for committing indecent exposure to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at his own expense.

### **30-9-14.3. Aggravated indecent exposure.**

- A. Aggravated indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view in a lewd and lascivious manner, with the intent to threaten or intimidate another person, while committing one or more of the following acts or criminal offenses:
- (1) exposure to a child less than eighteen years of age;
  - (2) assault, as provided in Section 30-3-1 NMSA 1978;
  - (3) aggravated assault, as provided in Section 30-3-2 NMSA 1978;
  - (4) assault with intent to commit a violent felony, as provided in Section 30-3-3 NMSA 1978;
  - (5) battery, as provided in Section 30-3-4 NMSA 1978;
  - (6) aggravated battery, as provided in Section 30-3-5 NMSA 1978;
  - (7) criminal sexual penetration, as provided in Section 30-9-11 NMSA 1978; or
  - (8) abuse of a child, as provided in Section 30-6-1 NMSA 1978.
- B. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.
- C. Whoever commits aggravated indecent exposure is guilty of a fourth degree felony.
- D. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the court shall order a person convicted for committing aggravated indecent exposure to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at his own expense.

### **30-4-1. Kidnapping.**

- A. Kidnapping is the unlawful taking, restraining, transporting or confining of a person, by force, intimidation or deception, with intent:
- (1) that the victim be held for ransom;
  - (2) that the victim be held as a hostage or shield and confined against his will;
  - (3) that the victim be held to service against the victim's will; or
  - (4) to inflict death, physical injury or a sexual offense on the victim.
- B. Whoever commits kidnapping is guilty of a first degree felony, except that he is guilty of a second degree felony when he voluntarily frees the victim in a safe place and does not inflict physical injury or a sexual offense upon the victim.

### **30-10-3 Incest.**

Incest consists of knowingly intermarrying or having sexual intercourse with persons within the following degrees of consanguinity: parents and children including grandparents and grandchildren of every degree, brothers and sisters of the half as well as of the whole blood, uncles and nieces, aunts and nephews.

Whoever commits incest is guilty of a third degree felony.

---

## **ARTICLE 6A**

### **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF CHILDREN**

---

#### **30-6A-2. Definitions.**

As used in the Sexual Exploitation of Children Act [30-60A-1 to 30-60A-4 NMSA 1978]:

- A. "prohibited sexual act" means:
- (1) sexual intercourse, including genital-genital, oral-genital, anal-genital or oral-anal, whether between persons of the same or opposite sex;
  - (2) bestiality;

- (3) masturbation;
  - (4) sadomasochistic abuse for the purpose of sexual stimulation; or
  - (5) lewd and sexually explicit exhibition with a focus on the genitals or pubic area of any person for the purpose of sexual stimulation;
- B. "visual or print medium" means:
- (1) any film, photograph, negative, slide, computer diskette, videotape, videodisc or any computer or electronically generated imagery; or
  - (2) any book, magazine or other form of publication or photographic reproduction containing or incorporating any film, photograph, negative, slide, computer diskette, videotape, videodisc or any computer generated or electronically generated imagery;
- C. "performed publicly" means performed in a place which is open to or used by the public; and
- D. "manufacture" means the production, processing, copying by any means, printing, packaging or repackaging of any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.
- E. "obscene" means any material, when the content is taken as a whole:
- (1) appeals to a prurient interest in sex, as determined by the average person applying contemporary community standards;
  - (2) portrays a prohibited sexual act in a patently offensive way; and
  - (3) lacks serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value."

### **30-6A-3. Sexual exploitation of children.**

- A. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally possess any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a fourth degree felony.
- B. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally distribute any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony.
- C. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally cause or permit a child under eighteen years of age to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows, has reason to know or intends that the act may be recorded in any obscene visual or print medium or performed publicly. Any person who violates this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony, unless the child is under the age of thirteen, in which event the person is guilty of a second degree felony.
- D. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a second degree felony.
- E. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts a prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act and if that person knows or has reason to know that a real child under eighteen years of age, who is not a participant, is depicted as a participant in that act. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of fourth degree felony.

- F. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally distribute any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts a prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act and if that person knows or has reason to know that a real child under eighteen years of age, who is not a participant, is depicted as a participant in that act. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony
- G. The penalties provided for in this section shall be in addition to those set out in Section 30-9-11 NMSA 1978.

#### **30-6A-4. Sexual exploitation of children by prostitution.**

- A. Any person knowingly receiving any pecuniary profit as a result of a child under the age of sixteen engaging in a prohibited sexual act with another is guilty of a second degree felony, unless the child is under the age of thirteen, in which event the person is guilty of a first degree felony.
- B. Any person hiring or offering to hire a child over the age of thirteen and under the age of sixteen to engage in any prohibited sexual act is guilty of a second degree felony.
- C. Any parent, legal guardian or person having custody or control of a child under sixteen years of age who knowingly permits that child to engage in or to assist any other person to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act for the purpose of producing any visual or print medium depicting such an act is guilty of a third degree felony.

#### **30-9-1. Enticement of child.**

Enticement of child consists of:

- A. enticing, persuading or attempting to persuade a child under the age of sixteen years to enter any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 [30-9-1 to 30-9-9 NMSA 1978] of the Criminal Code; or
- B. having possession of a child under the age of sixteen years in any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 of the Criminal Code.

Whoever commits enticement of child is guilty of a misdemeanor.

#### **30-52-1. Human trafficking.**

- A. Human trafficking consists of a person knowingly:
  - (1) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means another person with the intent or knowledge that force, fraud or coercion will be used to subject the person to labor, services or commercial sexual activity;
  - (2) recruiting, soliciting, enticing, transporting or obtaining by any means a person under the age of eighteen years with the intent or knowledge that the person will be caused to engage in commercial sexual activity; or
  - (3) benefiting, financially or by receiving anything or value, from the labor, services or commercial sexual activity of another person with the knowledge that force, fraud or coercion was used to obtain the labor, services or commercial sexual activity.

#### **30-37-3.2 Child solicitation by electronic communication device**

- A. Child solicitation by electronic communication device consists of a person knowingly and intentionally soliciting a child under sixteen years of age, by means of an electronic communication device, to engage in sexual intercourse, sexual contact or in a sexual or obscene performance, or to engage in any other sexual conduct when the perpetrator is at least three years older than the child.



## Appendix B. Participating Law Enforcement Agencies 2021

Agency Name	Address	City	State	Zip
Alamogordo Police Department	700 Virginia Avenue	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Albuquerque Police Department	400 Roma NW	Albuquerque	NM	87102
Angel Fire Police Department	P.O. Box 610	Angel Fire	NM	87710
Anthony Police Department	P.O. Box 2653	Anthony	NM	88021
Artesia Police Department	702 W. Chisum	Artesia	NM	88210
Aztec Police Department	201 W. Chaco St.	Aztec	NM	87410
Bayard Police Department	P.O. Box 788	Bayard	NM	88023
Belen Police Department	607 Becker Avenue	Belen	NM	87002
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 25927	Albuquerque	NM	87125
Bernalillo Police Department	P.O. Box 638	Bernalillo	NM	87004
Bloomfield Police Department	711 Ruth Lane	Bloomfield	NM	87015
Bosque Farms Police Department	P.O. Box 660	Peralta	NM	87042
Capitan Police Department	217 Smokey Bear Blvd,	Capitan	NM	88316
Carlsbad Police Department	405 S. Halagueno	Carlsbad	NM	88220
Carrizozo Police Department	P.O. Box 828	Carrizozo	NM	88301
Catron County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 467	Reserve	NM	87830
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	One St. Mary's Pl., E. Wing	Roswell	NM	88203
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	515 W High St	Grants	NM	87020
Clayton Police Department	112 North Street	Clayton	NM	88415
Cloudcroft Police Department	201 Burro Avenue	Cloudcroft	NM	88317
Clovis Police Department	P.O. Box 862	Clovis	NM	88102
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 39	Raton	NM	87740
Corrales Police Department	P.O. Box 707	Corrales	NM	87048
Cuba Police Department	P.O. 426	Cuba	NM	87013
Curry County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 1043	Clovis	NM	88102
Deming Police Department	700 E. Pine St.	Deming	NM	88030
Dexter Police Department	P.O. Box 610	Dexter	NM	88230
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Office	750 Motel Blvd, Suite A	Las Cruces	NM	88007
Eastern NM University	1500 S. Avenue K	Portales	NM	88130
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	102 North Canal Suite 100	Carlsbad	NM	88220
Edgewood Police Department	23 East Frontage Road	Edgewood	NM	87015
Espanola Police Department	401 North Paseo de Onate	Espanola	NM	87532
Estancia Police Department	P.O. Box 166	Estancia	NM	87016
Eunice Police Department	P.O. Box 147	Eunice	NM	88231
Farmington Police Department	800 Municipal Drive	Farmington	NM	87401
Gallup Police Department	451 State Road 564	Gallup	NM	87301
Grants Police Division, DPS	105 E. Roosevelt	Grants	NM	87020
Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department	565 River Road #1	Santa Rosa	NM	88435
Hatch Police Department	P.O. Box 220	Hatch	NM	87917
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	305 South Pyramid	Lordsburg	NM	88045
Hobbs Police Department	301 N. Dalmont	Hobbs	NM	88240
Isleta Police Department	P.O. Box 1270	Isleta	NM	87022

Agency Name	Address	City	State	Zip
Jal Police Department	P.O. Drawer W	Jal	NM	88252
Las Cruces Police Department	P.O. Box 20000	Las Cruces	NM	88001
Las Vegas Police Department	318 Moreno Street	Las Vegas	NM	87701
Lea County Sheriff's Department	215 East Central	Lovington	NM	88260
Lincoln County Sheriff's Office	300 Central Avenue	Carrizozo	NM	88301
Lordsburg Police Department	206 S. Main	Lordsburg	NM	88045
Los Alamos Police Department	2500 Trinity Dr. Ste. A	Los Alamos	NM	87544
Los Lunas Police Department	P.O. Box 1209	Los Lunas	NM	87031
Lovington Police Department	213 S. Love	Lovington	NM	88260
Luna County Sheriff's Department	116 E. Popular Street	Deming	NM	88030
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	2105 East Aztec	Gallup	NM	87301
Milan Police Department	619 Uranium Ave	Milan	NM	87021
Mora County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 659	Mora	NM	87732
Moriarty Police Department	P.O. Drawer 130	Moriarty	NM	87035
NM State University	1780 E. University Ave.	Las Cruces	NM	88003
Otero County Sheriff's Office	3208 N. White Sands Blvd.	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Peralta Police Department	P.O. Box 660	Peralta	NM	87042
Portales Police Department	1700 North Boston	Portales	NM	88130
Quay County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 943	Tucumcari	NM	88401
Raton Police Department	P.O. Box 397	Raton	NM	87740
Rio Arriba County Sheriff	P.O. Box 1256	Espanola	NM	87532
Rio Rancho Department of Public Safety	500 Quantum Road	Rio Rancho	NM	87124
Roswell Police Department	P.O. Box 1994	Roswell	NM	88201
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	P.O. Box 1560	Ruidoso Downs	NM	88346
Ruidoso Police Department	1085 Mechem Drive	Ruidoso	NM	88345
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	211 S. Oliver	Aztec	NM	87410
San Miguel County Sheriff's Office	26 NM-283	Las Vegas	NM	87701
Santa Clara Police Department	P.O. Box 316	Santa Clara	NM	88026
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	#35 Camino Justicia	Santa Fe	NM	87508
Santa Fe Police Department	2515 Camino Entrada	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Santa Rosa Police Department	141 South 5th Street	Santa Rosa	NM	88435
Sierra County Sheriff's Department	2501 Broadway St.	T or C	NM	87901
Silver City Police Department	P.O. Box 997	Silver City	NM	88062
Socorro County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 581	Socorro	NM	87801
Socorro Police Department	P.O. Box 992	Socorro	NM	87801
Springer Police Department	616 Colbert Avenue	Springer	NM	87747
State Police Alamogordo	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Albuquerque	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Clovis	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Deming	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Espanola	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Farmington	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Gallup	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Grants	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Hobbs	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>
State Police Las Cruces	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Las Vegas	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Moriarty	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Raton	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Roswell	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Santa Fe	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Santa Rosa	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Socorro	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Taos	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Tucumcari	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Sunland Park Police Department	1000 McNutt Rd., Suite C	Sunland Park	NM	88063
Taos County Sheriff's Office	599 Lovato Place	Taos	NM	87571
Taos Police Department	107 Civic Plaza Drive	Taos	NM	87571
Tatum Police Department	P.O. Box 691	Tatum	NM	88267
Tierra Amarilla Sheriff's Office	NM-162, #167	Tierra Amarilla	NM	87575
Torrance County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 498	Estancia	NM	87016
T or C Police Department	401 McAdoo St.	T or C	NM	88352
Tucumcari Police Department	P.O. Box 1336	Tucumcari	NM	88401
Tularosa Police Department	703 St. Francis Drive	Tularosa	NM	88352
Union County Sheriff's Department	25 Air Pk St.	Clayton	NM	88415
Valencia County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 1585	Los Lunas	NM	87031
Vaughn Police Department	P.O. Box 278	Vaughn	NM	88353

Law Enforcement **Sexual Violence** Data Collection Form

[Y21]

1. Agency Name \_\_\_\_\_
2. Quarter Reporting 1st ☐ 2nd ☐ 3rd ☐ 4th ☐ Year: 2021
3. Total Number of criminal sexual penetration (CSP) incidents: (Add State Statutes 30-9-11 and 30-10-3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(If the offense incident report your officers use does not document sexual crimes by state statute, enter instead, the total number of CSP incidents perpetrated [add male and female adults and children]) \_\_\_\_\_
4. If known, of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many were:  
a) Sodomy \_\_\_\_\_ b) with an Object \_\_\_\_\_ c) Incest \_\_\_\_\_ d) Gang Related \_\_\_\_\_ e) Resulted in Homicide \_\_\_\_\_
- 5.a) Of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many *victims* were there? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, how many were: a) Female victims \_\_\_\_\_ b) Male victims \_\_\_\_\_
6. Of the total number of CSP *victims* in **q.5a**, give the number per *age group*:  
0-6 \_\_\_\_\_ 7-12 \_\_\_\_\_ 13-18 \_\_\_\_\_ 19-25 \_\_\_\_\_ 26-35 \_\_\_\_\_  
36-45 \_\_\_\_\_ 46-55 \_\_\_\_\_ 56-65 \_\_\_\_\_ 66+ \_\_\_\_\_ # Victim age unknown \_\_\_\_\_
7. Of the total number of CSP *victims* in **q.5a**, give the number of each *ethnicity*:  
Caucasian \_\_\_\_\_ Hispanic \_\_\_\_\_ Native American \_\_\_\_\_ Asian/Pacific Islander \_\_\_\_\_  
Black \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ # Victim Ethnicity Unknown \_\_\_\_\_
- 8.a) Of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many total *offenders* were there? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, how many were: a) Female offenders \_\_\_\_\_ b) Male offenders \_\_\_\_\_
9. Of the number of CSP *offenders* in **q.8a**, give the number per *age group*:  
0-6 \_\_\_\_\_ 7-12 \_\_\_\_\_ 13-18 \_\_\_\_\_ 19-25 \_\_\_\_\_ 26-35 \_\_\_\_\_  
36-45 \_\_\_\_\_ 46-55 \_\_\_\_\_ 56-65 \_\_\_\_\_ 66+ \_\_\_\_\_ # Offender age unknown \_\_\_\_\_
10. Of the number of CSP *offenders* in **q.8a**, give the number of each *ethnicity*:  
Caucasian \_\_\_\_\_ Hispanic \_\_\_\_\_ Native American \_\_\_\_\_ Asian/Pacific Islander \_\_\_\_\_  
Black \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ # Offender Ethnicity Unknown \_\_\_\_\_
- 11.a) Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many were perpetrated by a stranger to the victim? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) How many CSP incidents in **q.3** were perpetrated by someone who knew the victim? \_\_\_\_\_  
c) Of the number in **11b**, how many were a relative? \_\_\_\_\_
12. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved a weapon? \_\_\_\_\_ # with weapon use unknown \_\_\_\_\_
13. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved injury to the victim? \_\_\_\_\_ # injury unknown \_\_\_\_\_
14. a) Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved drugs/alcohol use? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, how many involved: Offender use only \_\_\_\_\_ Victim use only \_\_\_\_\_ Offender and Victim use \_\_\_\_\_
15. a) Of the number of CSP *incidents* in **q.3**, in how many of these did at least one child witness the event? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Number of CSP *incidents* in **q.3** where it is unknown if a child was present \_\_\_\_\_
16. a) What is the *total number of children* who witnessed the CSP incidents counted in **q.3**? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, number per age group: 0-5 \_\_\_\_\_ 6-9 \_\_\_\_\_ 10-12 \_\_\_\_\_ 13-17 \_\_\_\_\_ 18-21 \_\_\_\_\_ # age unknown \_\_\_\_\_
17. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many included a suspect arrest? \_\_\_\_\_

For the reporting quarter, please give the:

18. Number of incidents of *criminal sexual contact* (or statute 30-9-12) \_\_\_\_\_
19. Number of incidents of *criminal sexual contact of a minor* (or statute 30-9-13) \_\_\_\_\_
20. Number of incidents of *indecent exposure* (or 30-9-14 and 30-9-14.3) \_\_\_\_\_
21. Number of incidents of *sexual exploitation of children* (30-6A-3 and 30-6A-4) \_\_\_\_\_
22. Number of incidents of *enticement of child* (or statute 30-9-1) \_\_\_\_\_
23. Number of incidents of *kidnapping* (or statute 30-4-1) \_\_\_\_\_
24. Number of incidents of *human trafficking* (or statute 30-52-1) \_\_\_\_\_
25. Number of incidents of *child solicitation by electronic communication device* (or statute 30-37-.3.2.) \_\_\_\_\_

Quarterly Reports are due April 25th, July 25th, October 25th 2021, and January 25th, 2022. Please send reports to: NMCSAP, 3909 Juan Tabo Suite 6, Alb., NM 87111 or fax to (505) 883-7530. Call Betty Caponera, (505) 883-8020 for questions.

**Appendix D. Rate of Law-Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration (CSP) Victimizations for Counties with Complete\* Reporting, 2021**

County	Number of CSP Victims	Population	Rate per 1000
Bernalillo	732	674,393	1.09
Catron	0	3,731	0.00
Chaves	43	64,629	0.67
Cibola	12	27,184	0.44
Colfax	8	12,369	0.81
Curry	40	47,999	0.83
De Baca	NR	1,680	NR
Dona Ana	133	221,508	0.60
Eddy	45	60,911	0.74
Grant	12	27,889	0.43
Guadalupe	0	4,449	Incomplete Reporting
Harding	NR	639	NR
Hidalgo	2	4,074	0.49
Lea	41	73,004	0.56
Lincoln	7	20,436	0.34
Los Alamos	3	19,330	0.16
Luna	21	25,532	0.82
McKinley	37	71,780	0.52
Mora	0	4,196	0.00
Otero	8	68,537	0.12
Quay	1	8,656	0.12
Rio Arriba	18	40,179	0.45
Roosevelt	9	19,019	Incomplete Reporting
Sandoval	45	151,369	0.30
San Juan	145	120,993	1.20
San Miguel	14	27,150	Incomplete Reporting
Santa Fe	82	155,201	0.53
Sierra	6	11,502	0.52
Socorro	4	16,311	0.25
Taos	7	34,623	Incomplete Reporting
Torrance	10	15,307	0.65
Union	3	4,107	Incomplete Reporting
Valencia	33	77,190	0.43
<b>Total</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2,115,877</b>	

NR = No law enforcement participation from this county

\*Incomplete reporting means that the law enforcement agency (s) from the largest city in the county did not report or reported less than a full year of sex crimes data for 2021:

<sup>1</sup> No Law Enforcement reports from De Baca County

<sup>2</sup> Guadalupe County Sheriff's Office did not report

<sup>3</sup> No Law Enforcement reports from Harding County

<sup>4</sup> Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office did not report

<sup>5</sup> San Miguel County Sheriff's Office only reported one quarter

<sup>6</sup> Taos Police Department only reported one quarter

<sup>7</sup> Union County Sheriff's Office did not report

**Appendix E. Rate and Rank of Law Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration (CSP)  
Victimizations for Counties with Complete Reporting, by Rank, 2021**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of CSP Victims</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Rate per 1000</b>	<b>Rank</b>
San Juan	145	120,993	1.20	1
Bernalillo	732	674,393	1.09	2
Curry	40	47,999	0.83	3
Luna	21	25,532	0.82	4
Colfax	10	12,369	0.81	5
Eddy	45	60,911	0.74	6
Chaves	43	64,629	0.67	7
Torrance	10	15,307	0.65	8
Dona Ana	133	221,508	0.60	9
Lea	41	73,004	0.56	10
Santa Fe	82	155,201	0.53	11
McKinley	37	71,780	0.52	12
Sierra	6	11,502	0.52	12
Hidalgo	2	4,074	0.49	14
Rio Arriba	18	40,179	0.45	15
Cibola	12	27,184	0.44	16
Grant	12	27,889	0.43	17
Valencia	33	77,190	0.43	17
Lincoln	7	20,436	0.34	19
Sandoval	45	151,369	0.30	20
Socorro	4	16,311	0.25	21
Los Alamos	3	19,330	0.16	22
Otero	8	68,537	0.12	23
Quay	1	8,656	0.12	23
Catron	0	3,731	0.00	25
Mora	0	4,196	0.00	25
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,490</b>	<b>2,024,210</b>	<b>0.74</b>	

**CSP = Criminal Sexual Penetration**

\*Complete reporting means that the law enforcement agency (s) from the largest city in the county reported a full year of sex crimes data for 2021

**Appendix F. Rate and Rank of Law Enforcement-Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration (CSP)  
Victimizations for Counties with Complete\* Reporting-Alphabetically, 2021**

County	Number of CSP Victims	Population	Rate per 1000	Rank
Bernalillo	732	674,393	1.09	2
Catron	0	3,731	0.00	25
Chaves	43	64,629	0.67	7
Cibola	12	27,184	0.44	16
Colfax	10	12,369	0.81	5
Curry	40	47,999	0.83	3
Dona Ana	133	221,508	0.60	9
Eddy	45	60,911	0.74	6
Grant	12	27,889	0.43	17
Hidalgo	2	4,074	0.49	14
Lea	41	73,004	0.56	10
Lincoln	7	20,436	0.34	19
Los Alamos	3	19,330	0.16	22
Luna	21	25,532	0.82	4
McKinley	37	71,780	0.52	12
Mora	0	4,196	0.00	25
Otero	8	68,537	0.12	23
Quay	1	8,656	0.12	23
Rio Arriba	18	40,179	0.45	15
San Juan	145	120,993	1.20	1
Sandoval	45	151,369	0.30	20
Santa Fe	82	155,201	0.53	11
Sierra	6	11,502	0.52	12
Socorro	4	16,311	0.25	21
Torrance	10	15,307	0.65	8
Valencia	33	77,190	0.43	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,490</b>	<b>2,024,210</b>	<b>0.74</b>	

CSP = Criminal Sexual Penetration

\*Complete reporting means that the law enforcement agency (s) from the largest city in the county reported a full year of sex crimes data for 2021

## Appendix G. Participating Service Provider Agencies, 2021

Agency Name	Address	City	Zip
Alternatives to Violence - Colfax County	PO Box 1632	Raton	87740
Alternatives to Violence - Union County	110 Walnut St	Clayton	88415
Arise Sexual Assault Services	PO Drawer 868 Roosevelt Hospital	Portales	88130
Casa Fortaleza	PO Box 36594	Albuquerque	87176
Community Against Violence	PO Box 169	Taos	87571
Desert View Family Counseling	6100 E Main Street	Farmington	87402
La Casa Behavior Health	110 Mescalero Road	Roswell	88201
La Clinica de Familia, Inc.	385 Calle de Allegra	La Cruces	88007
La Pinon Sexual Assault Recovery Services	850 N Motel Blvd Suite B	Las Cruces	88007
NM Asian Family Center	115 Montclair Drive SE	Albuquerque	87108
NMBHI-CBS	700 Friedman	Las Vegas	87701
Rape Crisis Center of Central NM	9741 Candelaria Road NE	Albuquerque	87112
Sexual Assault Services of Gallup/SASNWNM	111 South First Street	Gallup	87301
Sexual Assault Services of Northwest New Mexico	622 West Maple Suite H	Farmington	87401
Silver Regional SASS (Grant County)	301 W College Ave Suite 11	Silver City	88061
Socorro Mental Health/ PMS	1200 Hwy. 60	Socorro	87801
Solace Crisis Treatment Center	6601 Valentine Way	Santa Fe	87507
Valencia Shelter Services-Los Lunas	303 Luna Avenue	Los Lunas	87031



## Sexual Assault History Form

\_\_\_\_ / 2021  
month

*This form is to be completed by each therapist in each mental health/rape crisis center and their satellite offices for every client who presents or later discloses sexual assault/abuse. Please submit forms to: NMCSAP (505-883-8020), 3909 Juan Tabo NE, Suite 6, Albuquerque, NM 87111, by the tenth of every month.*

1. Name of Agency \_\_\_\_\_ 2. Client Identifier \_\_\_\_\_

**A. Survivor Information**

3. Date of most recent sexual assault/abuse incident \_\_/\_\_/\_\_\_\_ (month/year)
4. Survivor's Age at time of most recent sexual assault/abuse incident \_\_\_\_\_
5. Survivor's Current age \_\_\_\_\_
6. Survivor Sexual Orientation (check one for 6a and one for 6b):  
 a. Which of the following sexual orientation descriptions do you ascribe to? ☐ Straight ☐ Gay or Lesbian ☐ Bisexual  
 b. How do you describe yourself? ☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Transgender ☐ Gender Non-Conforming
7. Survivor Ethnicity/Race (check one):  
☐ White (Non-Hispanic) ☐ Hispanic ☐ Mixed ☐ Native American ☐ Black ☐ Asian ☐ Other ☐ Unknown
8. Survivor Disability ☐ None or **If survivor disability**, please check all that apply below:  
☐ Visual ☐ Mobility ☐ Hearing ☐ Physical ☐ Mental/Cognitive (prior to this incident) ☐ Other ☐ Unknown
- 8a. If survivor has a disability, did the survivor need referral(s) to other services? ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes to 8a. - was the survivor referred elsewhere? ☐ No Please explain: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Yes, client was referred to: \_\_\_\_\_
9. Did the survivor use alcohol or drugs immediately prior to or during the most recent sexual assault incident?  
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
10. Did the survivor contract a sexually transmitted disease as a result of the most recent sexual assault?  
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
11. Did a pregnancy result from the most recent sexual assault? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
12. Did the survivor have a history of domestic violence as a child, either as a witness or as one directly victimized?  
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
13. Was the client ever sexually assaulted/abused before this incident?  
☐ Yes (answer 13a or b) ☐ No (skip to q.15) ☐ Unknown (skip to q.15)
- If Yes to q.13 and,**  
 a) the client is a victim of ongoing sexual abuse, enter age at onset of sexual abuse \_\_\_\_\_. (If this age is under 18, go to q.14).  
 If age at onset of ongoing sexual abuse is unknown, check: ☐ Age Unknown (skip to q.15)
- If Yes to q. 13 and,**  
 b) the client is **not** a victim of ongoing abuse, enter age at time of prior incident of sexual assault/abuse \_\_\_\_\_. (If this age is under 18, go to q.14) If age at time of prior sexual assault is unknown, check: ☐ Age Unknown (skip to q.15)
- 14a. If the survivor experienced a prior sexual assault/abuse at any time before age 18, did the survivor ever become pregnant before age 18? ☐ Yes (answer q.14b) ☐ No ☐ Unknown
- 14b. If Yes, was the pregnancy a result of the prior sexual assault? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
15. Number of offenders involved in the most recent sexual assault (**CHECK ONE**):  
☐ One ☐ Two ☐ Three ☐ Four or more ☐ Unknown

**B. Offender Information – IMPORTANT: If there is more than one offender in the most recent sexual assault, choose one offender to answer questions 16-27**

16. Offender Sexual Orientation (check one for 16a and one for 16b):  
 a. Which of the following sexual orientation descriptions does this person ascribe to?  
☐ Straight ☐ Gay or Lesbian ☐ Bisexual ☐ Unknown  
 b. How does this person describe himself/herself?  
☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Transgender ☐ Gender Non-Conforming ☐ Unknown
17. Offender Age (**CHECK ONE**):  
☐ 5 and under ☐ 6-12 ☐ 13-17 ☐ 18-24 ☐ 25-34 ☐ 35-44 ☐ 45-54 ☐ 55-64 ☐ 65+ ☐ Unknown

18. Offender Ethnicity/Race (**CHECK ONE**):

☐ White (Non-Hispanic) ☐ Hispanic ☐ Mixed ☐ Native American ☐ Black ☐ Asian ☐ Other ☐ Unknown

19. Did the offender use alcohol or drugs immediately prior to or during the current sexual assault incident?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

20. Did the offender have a history of domestic violence as a child, either as a witness or one directly victimized?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

### C. Sexual Offense Information

21. Type of Offense: (check all that apply) ☐ Penetration (includes: oral, anal, vaginal) - *please specify, if applicable:*

☐ spousal rape ☐ incest ☐ date rape ☐ gang rape  
☐ Attempted Penetration ☐ Sexual Harassment ☐ Fondling/CSC (no penetration)  
☐ Stalking ☐ Indecent Exposure ☐ Unknown

22. Survivor/Offender Relationship (check only one, either from 22a, 22b or 22c):

a) Known Relative Offender:

☐ Father ☐ Mother ☐ Sister ☐ Brother ☐ Step-Brother ☐ Grandfather ☐ Grandmother  
☐ Step-mother ☐ Step-father ☐ Current spouse ☐ Brother in law ☐ Sister in Law ☐ Cousin  
☐ Aunt ☐ Uncle ☐ Other

b) Known Non-Relative Offender:

☐ Ex- spouse ☐ Mom's boyfriend ☐ Dad's girlfriend ☐ Mom's lesbian partner ☐ Dad's gay partner  
☐ Survivors lesbian/gay partner ☐ Social acquaintance ☐ New acquaintance ☐ Employer  
☐ Clergy/spiritual leader ☐ Health care provider ☐ Friend ☐ Teacher ☐ Therapist ☐ Boyfriend  
☐ Girlfriend ☐ Co-worker ☐ Other

c) ☐ Stranger

23. Was the offender the same ethnicity/race as the survivor? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

24. Type of Coercion/Weapon Used (check all that apply):

☐ Physical Force ☐ Verbal Threat ☐ Manipulation ☐ Knife ☐ Other Weapon  
☐ Intentionally drugged by perpetrator ☐ Gun ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown

25. Location of Most Recent Offense (**CHECK ONE**):

☐ Survivor's home ☐ Offender's home ☐ Other residence ☐ Vehicle ☐ Parking Lot  
☐ Workplace ☐ School ☐ Public Facility ☐ Multiple locations ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown

26. The most recent sexual assault was reported by (**CHECK ONE**):

☐ Survivor ☐ Therapist ☐ Not Reported ☐ Unknown ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

27. If reported, the most recent sexual assault was reported to (check all that apply):

☐ Social Services ☐ Rape Crisis Center ☐ ER/Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner ☐ Law Enforcement  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown

28. Did the survivor sustain any injuries related to the assault? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

29. Was medical treatment sought for injuries? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

30. Was forensic exam evidence collection within 72 hours after assault? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

31. What type of injury(s) did the survivor sustain? (check all that apply):

☐ Strangulation ☐ Unconsciousness ☐ Laceration ☐ Gun Shot Wound ☐ Broken Bone ☐ Other

31a. What part of the body was injured? (check all that apply):

☐ Head/Face/Neck ☐ Torso ☐ Arms/Legs ☐ Hands/Feet ☐ Vagina ☐ Rectum

32. How did you hear about the help we offer? (check all that apply):

☐ Family Member/Relative ☐ Friend ☐ Someone from work ☐ Someone from school ☐ Someone from my church  
☐ A neighbor ☐ SANE ☐ Someone from medical services (doctor, nurse, technician, hospital, healthcare provider, health insurance plan) ☐ Mental health or social services (CYFD, victim advocate, rape crisis center, safehouse, homeless shelter, etc.) ☐ Corrections (law enforcement, district attorney's, courts) ☐ Advertising  
☐ Social Media ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

33. What led you to seek help now? (check all that apply):

☐ I am experiencing physical health problems or physical health concerns ☐ Family concerns ☐ Legal concerns  
☐ I am experiencing mental health problems/mental health concerns ☐ Disrupting my ability to work/financial concerns  
☐ It is safe to get help now ☐ Encouraged to get help by others ☐ I have the resources to get help now  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

**NUMBER OF CRISES CALLS THIS MONTH:**

**IF YOU HAVE NOT ALREADY SUBMITTED THE NUMBER OF CRISES CALLS FIELDIED BY YOUR AGENCY FOR THIS MONTH, PLEASE ENTER HERE \_\_\_\_\_.**

**Q.34 NOTE: THIS QUESTION IS DIRECTED TO THE INTERVIEWER, NOT THE SURVIVOR, AND IS ONLY FOR THE INTERVIEWER TO ANSWER**

Q.34 As a result of your intake interview, what services are being requested by this survivor or would you suggest to this survivor to address his/her needs? (Check all that apply in each category below)

**Information**

- ☐ about the criminal justice process
- ☐ about victim rights and notification
- ☐ about the medical exam process
- ☐ about available housing assistance
- ☐ about available legal assistance
- ☐ about available child care
- ☐ about other services available to them at your agency

**Referrals**

- ☐ To law enforcement advocacy
- ☐ To legal services
- ☐ To medical services
- ☐ To specialized services (to accommodate special circumstances, disabilities, immigration issues, child care issues, etc.)

**Services offered by your agency**

- ☐ Accompany victim to medical services (emergency medical care, forensic exam)
- ☐ Accompany victim to law enforcement interview
- ☐ Accompany victim to court
- ☐ Transportation assistance
- ☐ Housing assistance/emergency hotel voucher/relocation assistance
- ☐ Interpreter services
- ☐ Crisis intervention safety planning
- ☐ Individual counseling
- ☐ Group counseling
- ☐ Other therapy
- ☐ Emergency financial assistance
- ☐ Protection order assistance
- ☐ Immigration assistance
- ☐ Case management

## Appendix I. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) Programs, 2021

Agency Name	Address	City	State	Zip
Albuquerque SANE Collaborative	PO Box 37139	Albuquerque	NM	87176
Arise SAS – Roosevelt County SANE Project	Roosevelt General Hospital Hwy. 70	Portales	NM	88130
Carlsbad Cavern City CAC SANE	PO Box 1441	Carlsbad	NM	88221
Las Cruces La Pinon SANE Program	850 North Motel Blvd.	Las Cruces	NM	88005
Otero/Lincoln Counties SANE Unit (Alamogordo)	Gerald Champion Regional Medical Center	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Para Los Niños SANE	625 Silver Ave SW	Albuquerque	NM	87102
Phoenix House	221 E. Green Acres	Hobbs	NM	88240
Roswell Refuge SANE Project	1215 N. Garden	Roswell	NM	88201
Santa Fe Christus St. Vincent SANE Program	Christus St. Vincent Hospital 6601 Valentine Way Santa Fe, NM 87507	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Sexual Assault Services of NW NM (Farmington SANE)	622 W Maple, Suite H	Farmington	NM	87401
Silver City Gila Regional Medical Center SANE	1313 E 22nd Street	Silver City	NM	88061
Taos Holy Cross Hospital SANE Unit	1397 Weimer Road	Taos	NM	87571

## Appendix J.

### Minimal Data Fields to be Collected by SANE Programs in New Mexico for the Sex Crimes in New Mexico Report

1. Program/Agency Name: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Date of SANE Exam: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Gender of Patient (*based on patient identification*): ☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Transgender ☐ Unknown
4. Age of Patient (*based on patient report of date of birth*): \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
5. Patient Ethnicity/Race (*based on patient self-identification with the following categories*):  
☐ Native American ☐ Hispanic ☐ African American ☐ Asian ☐ White (non-Hispanic)  
☐ Mixed Ethnicity/Race ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
6. Patient Disability (*based on patient self-identification/nursing assessment*):  
☐ None ☐ Visual ☐ Physical ☐ Hearing ☐ Mental/Cognitive  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
7. Relationship of Offender (*to Victim*):  
☐ **Family** (*based on patient identification, i.e. patient identified the husband of her third cousin as family*)  
☐ **Stranger** (*someone the patient has never met before, someone completely unknown to the patient*)  
☐ **Acquaintance** (*someone the patient has met before, someone known to the patient*)  
☐ **Brief Encounter** (*someone the patient has just met, ... someone known briefly to the patient*)  
☐ **Current Intimate Partner or Spouse** (*any current love relationship*)  
☐ **Ex-Intimate Partner or Spouse** (*any past love relationship*)  
☐ **Date** (*as defined by patient*) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
8. Number of Offenders (*if more than 1, collect information on all offenders*): Number: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
9. Offender Gender: ☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Transgender ☐ Unknown
10. Offender Age: Numeric Age: \_\_\_\_\_ (*approximate number acceptable*) ☐ Unknown
11. Type of Coercion (*database needs ability to capture all that apply, may pick more than one*):  
☐ **Firearm** (*including visual/known presence of firearm as well as actual use*)  
☐ **Knife** (*including visual/known presence of knife as well as actual use*)  
☐ **Hate/Bias crime** (*as identified by patient, i.e., he did this because I am a lesbian*)  
☐ **Stalking** (*as identified by patient*)  
☐ **Gang-related** (*as identified by patient, including initiation, retribution*)  
☐ **Physical Force** (*as identified by patient or presence of injuries*)  
☐ **Intimidation** (*i.e. size of offender, locking a door, blocking escape*)  
☐ **Verbal threat** (*i.e., he told me he'd kill me, he told me he'd tell my husband, he told me he had a gun, he told me he knew where I lived and would come back, etc.*)  
☐ **Manipulation** (*statements such as if you loved me or I'll explode if you don't*)  
☐ **Alcohol/Drugs** (*where patient reports alcohol or drugs were used to incapacitate patient*)  
☐ **Authority** (*adult on child or statutory rape*) ☐ **Other Incapacitation** (*unconscious, sleeping*)  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown

12. Location of Assault: ☐ Victim's home ☐ Offender's home ☐ Other residence  
☐ Vehicle ☐ Outside ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
13. Referral Source (*as identified by patient: who told/encouraged them to go to SANE*):  
☐ Police ☐ Rape Crisis/Victim Advocate ☐ Hospital/Medical Provider ☐ EMS  
☐ CYFD/Safehouse ☐ Friend ☐ Relative ☐ School/University/College  
☐ Self ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
14. Referred To:  
☐ Law Enforcement ☐ Rape Crisis/Victim Advocate ☐ Community Mental Health Center ☐ CVRC  
☐ Hospital/Medical Provider ☐ Victim Advocate/DA ☐ CYFD/Safehouse ☐ DV Services  
☐ Another SANE / PLN / SANE Follow-Up ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
15. Police Report Filed at Time of Exam: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
16. Evidence Collected:  
☐ SAEK (*white envelope*) ☐ Clothes ☐ Photography (*digital, print, video, Polaroid, 33 mm*)  
☐ Blood (*suspected DFSA*) ☐ Urine (*suspected DFSA*)  
☐ None/no evidence collected ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
17. Other Services Provided:  
☐ Pregnancy Prevention/Emergency Contraception ☐ STI Prophylaxis ☐ STI Cultures  
☐ Medical Exam/Physical or Strangulation Assessment ☐ Suicide Assessment/Crisis Intervention  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
18. Patient Currently Pregnant: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
19. Injuries Sustained by Patient (*check any/all that apply*):  
☐ Oral ☐ Rectal/Buttocks ☐ Vaginal ☐ Penis  
☐ Body – Head/Neck ☐ Body – Extremities ☐ Body – Torso  
☐ Strangulation ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown ☐ No injuries noted
20. Patient County of Residence: \_\_\_\_\_
21. Geographic Location of **Assault**:  
Identify Town: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown
22. Geographic Location of **Exam**:  
Identify Town: \_\_\_\_\_ County: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Unknown

## Appendix K. Participating District Courts, 2021

District Court	Address	City	Zip
Twelfth Judicial District	1000 New York Avenue	Alamogordo	88310
Second Judicial District	505 Marquette NW	Albuquerque	87102
Eleventh Judicial District	103 South Oliver	Aztec	87410
Thirteenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 130	Bernalillo	87004
Fifth Judicial District	P.O. Box 1838	Carlsbad	88220
Twelfth Judicial District	P.O. Box 725	Carrizozo	88310
Eighth Judicial District	P.O. Box 310	Clayton	88415
Ninth Judicial District	700 North Main	Clovis	88101
Sixth Judicial District	700 S. Silver, Rm. 40	Deming	88030
Seventh Judicial District	P.O. Box 78	Estancia	87016
Tenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 910	Fort Sumner	88119
Eleventh Judicial District	201 West Hill St., Rm. 201	Gallup	87301
Thirteenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 758	Grants	87020
Third Judicial District	201 W. Picacho	Las Cruces	88005
Fourth Judicial District	P.O. Box 2025	Las Vegas	87701
Sixth Judicial District	P.O. Box 608	Lordsburg	88045
First Judicial District	P.O. Box 30	Los Alamos	87544
Thirteenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 1089	Los Lunas	87301
Fifth Judicial District	Box 6-C	Lovington	88260
Ninth Judicial District	109 West First St., Ste. 207	Portales	88130
Eighth Judicial District	P.O. Box 160	Raton	87740
Fifth Judicial District	P.O. Box 1776	Roswell	88202
First Judicial District	P.O. Box 2041	Santa Fe	87504
Fourth Judicial District	420 Parker Avenue, Ste.5	Santa Rosa	88435
Sixth Judicial District	P.O. Box 2339	Silver City	88061
Seventh Judicial District	P.O. Drawer 1129	Socorro	87801
Seventh Judicial District	P.O. Box 3009	T or C	87901
Eighth Judicial District	P.O. Box Drawer E	Taos	87571
Tenth Judicial District	P.O. Box 1141	Tucumcari	88401

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO XIX:**

**An Analysis of 2021 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

*SECTION THREE: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES*



## Bernalillo County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	592	1,214	1,806
2018	562	1,212	1,774
2019	598	1,176	1,774
2020	586	478	1,064
2021	663	643	1,306

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Bernalillo County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Albuquerque Police Department	508	493	510	467	527
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	84	69	86	119	122
Isleta Tribal Police	NR	NR	2	0	0
State Police Albuquerque	0	0	0	0	0
University of NM	NR	NR	NR	NR	14
<b>County Total</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>562</b>	<b>598</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>663</b>

NR = Isleta Tribal Police Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	592	628	628	24% (153)	23% (147)	52% (328)
2018	562	610	522	32% (166)	26% (135)	42% (221)
2019	598	638	635	21% (131)	22% (140)	57% (364)
2020	586	643	641	18% (115)	23% (147)	59% (379)
2021	663	732	710	27% (142)	27% (194)	53% (374)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	592	691	552	5% (28)	15% (83)	80% (441)
2018	562	628	504	5% (25)	17% (88)	78% (391)
2019	598	692	536	3% (15)	17% (89)	81% (432)
2020	586	621	433	2% (10)	10% (43)	88% (380)
2021	663	700	351	3% (9)	13% (45)	85% (297)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	628	628	84% (529)	16% (99)
2018	610	610	84% (514)	16% (96)
2019	638	635	88% (558)	12% (77)
2020	643	634	88% (558)	12% (76)
2021	732	714	87% (619)	13% (95)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	691	618	7% (43)	93% (575)
2018	628	604	6% (35)	94% (569)
2019	692	648	6% (40)	94% (608)
2020	621	600	6% (35)	94% (565)
2021	700	650	6% (36)	94% (614)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	628	590	41% (240)	48% (285)	6% (37)	0% (2)	4% (26)	
2018	610	574	35% (200)	52% (301)	7% (43)	1% (3)	5% (27)	
2019	638	596	39% (233)	44% (262)	8% (50)	1% (3)	6% (34)	2% (14)
2020	643	615	34% (209)	46% (281)	9% (58)	1% (5)	7% (46)	3% (16)
2021	732	675	32% (219)	53% (359)	9% (58)	1% (5)	5% (32)	0% (2)

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	691	526	28% (147)	53% (278)	7% (36)	1% (4)	12% (61)	
2018	628	473	29% (135)	53% (250)	6% (29)	1% (4)	11% (51)	1% (4)
2019	692	511	29% (147)	47% (241)	6% (32)	1% (5)	13% (65)	4% (21)
2020	621	475	25% (118)	52% (245)	7% (34)	1% (5)	11% (50)	5% (23)
2021	700	488	25% (120)	54% (264)	7% (33)	1% (3)	11% (54)	3% (14)

# I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Bernalillo County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	592	175	30%	28%
2018	562	172	31%	26%
2019	596	156	26%	24%
2020	586	244	42%	32%
2021	649	198	31%	28%

# J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Bernalillo County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Bernalillo	5%	5%	6%	9%	5%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

# K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Bernalillo County

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Bernalillo	568	614	805	682	746

# L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Bernalillo County

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	568	550	10	51	8	95	32	354
2018	614	594	14	46	11	94	20	379
2019	805	735	17	56	3	89	49	521
2020	682	548	7	42	2	68	34	395
2021	746	709	8	66	2	83	53	497

# M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Bernalillo County

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	568	550	7	42		87	38	376
2018	614	575	12	39	10	89	25	400
2019	805	98	7	9	1	5	23	53
2020	682	650	7	40	2	75	38	488
2021	746	715	7	54	1	81	54	518

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Bernalillo County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	51	40	1	1		11		28
2018	88	75	2	2	1	10	2	58
2019	122	98	5	9	2	14	7	61
2020	288	210	4	19		26	13	148
2021	297	284	3	17		42	22	200

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Bernalillo County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Bernalillo	662	716	684	661	722

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Bernalillo County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2021	722	712	47	161	7	135	26	336

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Bernalillo County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
2021	722	713	26%	51%	13%	5%		4%

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Bernalillo County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
2021	722	492	51%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Bernalillo County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
2019	184	148	5	41	84	18
2020	100	102	4	30	60	8
2021	123	98		24	61	13

## Catron County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	0	0	0
2018	0	0	0
2019	0	0	0
2020	0	0	0
2021	0	2	2

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Catron County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Catron County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0
County Total	0	0	0	0	0

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	0	0	0			
2018	0	0	0			
2019	0	0	0			
2020	0	0	0			
2021	0	0	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	0	0	0			
2018	0	0	0			
2019	0	0	0			
2020	0	0	0			
2021	0	0	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	0	0		
2018	0	0		
2019	0	0		
2020	0	0		
2021	0	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	0	0		
2018	0	0		
2019	0	0		
2020	0	0		
2021	0	0		

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	0	0						
2018	0	0						
2019	0	0						
2020	0	0						
2021	0	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	0	0						
2018	0	0						
2019	0	0						
2020	0	0						
2021	0	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Catron County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Catron County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Catron	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Catron County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Catron</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Catron County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Catron County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Catron County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Catron County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Catron</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Catron County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Catron County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Catron County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Catron County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	1					
<b>2020</b>	0	1		1		
<b>2021</b>	1					



## Chaves County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	55	90	145
2018	62	84	146
2019	71	82	153
2020	68	17	85
2021	43	135	178

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Chaves County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	12	9	8	8	7
Dexter Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
Roswell Police Department	43	53	63	60	36
State Police Roswell	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>43</b>

NR = Roswell Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	55	60	60	20% (12)	38% (23)	42% (25)
2018	62	64	55	16% (9)	42% (23)	42% (23)
2019	71	73	73	16% (12)	36% (26)	48% (35)
2020	68	68	8	13% (1)	38% (3)	50% (4)
2021	43	43	7		43% (3)	57% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	55	61	46		20% (9)	80% (37)
2018	62	68	51	6% (3)	22% (11)	73% (37)
2019	71	79	55		25% (14)	75% (41)
2020	68	68	8		13% (1)	88% (7)
2021	43	43	6		17% (1)	83% (5)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	60	59	85% (50)	15% (9)
2018	64	64	91% (58)	9% (6)
2019	73	73	89% (65)	11% (8)
2020	68	8	100% (8)	
2021	43	7	100% (7)	

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	61	61		100% (61)
2018	68	68	6% (4)	94% (64)
2019	79	79	1% (1)	99% (78)
2020	68	8		100% (8)
2021	43	7		100% (7)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	60	60	42% (25)	55% (33)			3% (2)	
2018	64	64	50% (32)	48% (31)	2% (1)			
2019	73	73	41% (30)	51% (37)			3% (2)	5% (4)
2020	68	8	25% (2)	75% (6)				
2021	43	7	57% (4)	43% (3)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	61	46	37% (17)	57% (26)			7% (3)	
2018	68	53	28% (15)	68% (36)			4% (2)	
2019	79	60	30% (18)	57% (34)			5% (3)	8% (5)
2020	68	8	25% (2)	63% (5)			13% (1)	
2021	43	6	33% (2)	67% (4)				

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Chaves County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	47	13	28%	28%
2018	58	10	17%	26%
2019	63	13	21%	24%
2020	8	1	13%	32%
2021	3	1	33%	28%

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Chaves County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Chaves	21%	17%	22%	13%	33%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Chaves County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Chaves	*	*	*	15	14

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Chaves County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	5	4				3	1	
2020	15	13	3	4		4	1	1
2021	14	12		5		6		1

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Chaves County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	5	4				2	1	1
2020	15	14	1	1		4	3	5
2021	14	13				7		6

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Chaves County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
2020	9	8	1	2		3	1	1
2021	5	5		2		2		1

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Chaves County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Chaves	54	48	67	69	80

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Chaves County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2021	80	79	4	37	1	12	2	23

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Chaves County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
2021	80	80	31%	60%	4%	3%		3%

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Chaves County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
2021	80	80	32.5%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Chaves County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
2019	36	31	2	16	7	6
2020	17	18	1	4	11	2
2021	18	22		10	9	3

## Cibola County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	6	25	31
2018	17	30	47
2019	11	22	33
2020	12	10	22
2021	12	16	28

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Cibola County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Acoma Tribal Police Department	4	3	6	1	NR
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	NR	2	0	0	2
Grants Police Department	2	10	1	11	9
Laguna Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Milan Police Department	**	2	4	0	1
Ramah Navajo Police Department	0	NR	NR	NR	NR
State Police Grants	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>

NR = Laguna PD, Ramah Navajo PD, and Cibola County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

\*In 2015, Acoma Tribal Police Department Began Reporting Data to Central Repository

\*\*In 2018, Milan Police Department Began Reporting Data to Central Repository

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	6	6	3	33% (1)	33% (1)	33% (1)
2018	17	18	13	69% (9)	8% (1)	23% (3)
2019	11	11	11	36% (4)	27% (3)	36% (4)
2020	12	12	12	42% (5)	25% (3)	33% (4)
2021	12	12	1	100% (1)		

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	6	6	4			100% (4)
2018	17	17	14		14% (2)	86% (12)
2019	11	12	9		11% (1)	89% (8)
2020	12	12	8		13% (1)	88% (7)
2021	12	12	1			100% (1)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	6	3	33% (1)	67% (2)
2018	18	16	88% (14)	13% (2)
2019	11	11	82% (9)	18% (2)
2020	12	12	83% (10)	17% (2)
2021	12	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	6	4		100% (4)
2018	17	15	7% (1)	93% (14)
2019	12	11		100% (11)
2020	12	11	9% (1)	91% (10)
2021	12	1		100% (1)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	6	4			100% (4)			
2018	18	11	45% (5)	9% (1)	45% (5)			
2019	11	11	9% (1)	9% (1)	82% (9)			
2020	12	3		67% (2)	33% (1)			
2021	12	1			100% (1)			

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	6	4			100% (4)			
2018	17	12	33% (4)		67% (8)			
2019	12	9	11% (1)	22% (2)	67% (6)			
2020	12	2		50% (1)	50% (1)			
2021	12	1			100% (1)			

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Cibola County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	2	1	50%	28%
2018	1	1	100%	26%
2019	5	2	40%	24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Cibola County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Cibola</b>	100%	67%	56%	9%	0%
<b>NM</b>	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Cibola County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Cibola</b>	3	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Cibola County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Cibola County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Cibola County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Cibola County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Cibola</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Cibola County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Cibola County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Cibola County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Cibola County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	13	10			9	1
<b>2020</b>	2	7		1	6	
<b>2021</b>	8	17		4	7	6



## Colfax County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	5	15	20
2018	10	13	23
2019	8	7	15
2020	4	15	19
2021	8	9	17

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Colfax County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Angel Fire Police Department	0	2	1	0	0
Cimarron Police Department	0	0	0	0	NR
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	2	1	3	2	2
Raton Police Department	3	7	4	2	5
Springer Police Department	NR	0	0	0	1
State Police Raton	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>

NR = Cimarron Police Dept., Colfax County Sheriff's Dept., and Springer Police Dept. Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	5	5	5	40% (2)	40% (2)	20% (1)
2018	10	10	7		29% (2)	71% (5)
2019	8	10	8	13% (1)	38% (3)	50% (4)
2020	4	7	7	71% (5)	29% (2)	
2021	8	8	2			100% (2)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	5	6	6	17% (1)	17% (1)	67% (4)
2018	10	11	7			100% (7)
2019	8	8	6			100% (6)
2020	4	4	4			100% (4)
2021	8	8	2			100% (2)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	5	5	100% (5)	
2018	10	8	88% (7)	13% (1)
2019	10	9	100% (9)	
2020	7	7	86% (6)	14% (1)
2021	8	2	100% (2)	

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	6	6	33% (2)	67% (4)
2018	11	9	22% (2)	78% (7)
2019	8	7		100% (7)
2020	4	4		100% (4)
2021	8	2		100% (2)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	5	5	20% (1)	80% (4)				
2018	10	8	38% (3)	63% (5)				
2019	10	8	50% (4)	38% (3)			13% (1)	
2020	7	7		100% (7)				
2021	8	2		100% (2)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	6	6		100% (6)				
2018	11	8	63% (5)	38% (3)				
2019	8	6	67% (4)	17% (1)			17% (1)	
2020	4	4		100% (4)				
2021	8	2		100% (2)				

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Colfax County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	3	2	67%	26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Colfax County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Colfax	50%	50%	100%	100%	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Colfax County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020
Colfax	*	1	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Colfax County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Colfax County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Colfax County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Colfax County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Colfax	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Colfax County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Colfax County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Colfax County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Colfax County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	8	5		2	2	1
<b>2020</b>	11	8		5	2	1
<b>2021</b>	11	13		6	4	3

## Curry County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	58	84	142
2018	33	38	71
2019	61	68	129
2020	18	21	39
2021	39	36	75

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Curry County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Clovis Police Department	56	32	58	16	36
Curry County Sheriff's Office	2	1	3	2	3
Grady Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
State Police Clovis	0	0	0	0	0
County Total	58	33	61	18	39

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	58	59	57	37% (21)	33% (19)	30% (17)
2018	33	33	25	28% (7)	36% (9)	36% (9)
2019	61	73	58	24% (14)	26% (15)	50% (29)
2020	18	21	18	67% (12)	11% (2)	22% (4)
2021	39	40	38	26% (10)	26% (10)	47% (18)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	58	68	46	7% (3)	24% (11)	70% (32)
2018	33	37	25		8% (2)	92% (23)
2019	61	66	49	6% (3)	24% (12)	69% (34)
2020	18	18	13	8% (1)	15% (2)	77% (10)
2021	39	42	32	16% (5)	9% (3)	75% (24)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	59	59	76% (45)	24% (14)
2018	33	33	85% (28)	15% (5)
2019	73	73	93% (68)	7% (5)
2020	21	21	86% (18)	14% (3)
2021	40	39	92% (36)	8% (3)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	68	66	11% (7)	89% (59)
2018	37	32	13% (4)	88% (28)
2019	66	66	8% (5)	92% (61)
2020	18	18	11% (2)	89% (16)
2021	42	41	2% (1)	98% (40)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	59	56	41% (23)	34% (19)		2% (1)	23% (13)	
2018	33	28	50% (14)	39% (11)			11% (3)	
2019	73	65	48% (31)	35% (23)			17% (11)	
2020	21	20	40% (8)	50% (10)			10% (2)	
2021	40	37	46% (17)	43% (16)	3% (1)		5% (2)	3% (1)

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	68	55	40% (22)	38% (21)		2% (1)	20% (11)	
2018	37	25	44% (11)	36% (9)			20% (5)	
2019	66	48	35% (17)	42% (20)		2% (1)	21% (10)	
2020	18	13	31% (4)	54% (7)			15% (2)	
2021	42	30	23% (7)	53% (16)	3% (1)		20% (6)	

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Curry County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	24	3	13%	28%
2018	8	1	13%	26%
2019	58	7	12%	24%
2020	16	5	31%	32%
2021	19	7	37%	28%

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Curry County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Curry	23%	0%	4%	25%	13%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Curry County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Curry	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Curry County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Curry County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Curry County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Curry County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Curry	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Curry County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Curry County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Curry County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Curry County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	25	29	3	11	13	2
<b>2020</b>	15	17	1	5	11	
<b>2021</b>	35	27	2	6	7	12



## De Baca County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	NR	NR	NR
2018	NR	NR	NR
2019	NR	NR	NR
2020	NR	NR	NR
2021	NR	NR	NR

NR = DeBaca County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in De Baca County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
De Baca County Sheriff's Office	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
County Total	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

NR = DeBaca County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*Victim Age Not Reported

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*Age of Offender Not Reported

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*

\*Victim Gender Not Reported

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*

\*Offender Gender Not Reported

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non- Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non- Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*Race/Ethnicity Not Reported

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in De Baca County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in De Baca County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
De Baca	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in De Baca County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
De Baca	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in De Baca County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in De Baca County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in De Baca County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in De Baca County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
De Baca	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in De Baca County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in De Baca County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in De Baca County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in De Baca County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	1	2		1		1
<b>2020</b>	0	1			1	
<b>2021</b>	0	1		1		

## Dona Ana County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	193	116	309
2018	164	178	342
2019	188	163	351
2020	96	158	254
2021	125	192	317

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Dona Ana County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Anthony Police Department	2	3	3	4	7
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Department	48	58	88	12	19
Hatch Police Department	0	2	0	0	0
Las Cruces Police Department	143	101	97	80	95
New Mexico State University	NR	NR	NR	NR	2
State Police Las Cruces	0	0	0	0	0
Sunland Park Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>125</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	193	195	112	31% (35)	46% (52)	22% (25)
2018	164	170	85	21% (18)	44% (37)	35% (30)
2019	188	192	100	15% (15)	34% (34)	51% (51)
2020	96	101	84	21% (18)	29% (24)	50% (42)
2021	125	133	100	22% (22)	30% (30)	48% (48)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	193	195	57	7% (4)	14% (8)	79% (45)
2018	164	175	68	6% (4)	26% (18)	68% (46)
2019	188	192	62		19% (12)	81% (50)
2020	96	98	43	2% (1)	9% (4)	88% (38)
2021	125	130	69	6% (4)	12% (8)	83% (57)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	195	112	78% (87)	22% (25)
2018	170	111	84% (93)	16% (18)
2019	192	102	90% (92)	10% (10)
2020	101	86	86% (74)	14% (12)
2021	133	109	88% (96)	12% (13)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	195	66	6% (4)	94% (62)
2018	175	117	7% (8)	93% (109)
2019	192	102	16% (16)	84% (86)
2020	98	74	8% (6)	92% (68)
2021	130	100	15% (15)	85% (85)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	195	106	53% (56)	43% (46)			4% (4)	
2018	170	76	49% (37)	46% (35)		1% (1)	4% (3)	
2019	192	82	35% (29)	57% (47)	1% (1)	1% (1)	5% (4)	
2020	101	68	28% (19)	68% (46)			4% (3)	
2021	133	89	31% (28)	63% (56)		1% (1)	4% (4)	

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	195	60	38% (23)	57% (34)	2% (1)		3% (2)	
2018	175	58	36% (21)	60% (35)			3% (2)	
2019	192	63	27% (17)	59% (37)	2% (1)		13% (8)	
2020	98	50	28% (14)	70% (35)			2% (1)	
2021	130	76	32% (24)	58% (44)	3% (2)		8% (6)	

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Dona Ana County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	101	19	19%	26%
2019	97	19	20%	24%
2020	80	15	19%	32%
2021	97	19	20%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Dona Ana County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Dona Ana	NR	9%	13%	5%	12%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Dona Ana County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Dona Ana	458	391	251	213	189

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Dona Ana County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	458	374	44	121	12	69	11	117
2018	391	385	38	95	5	80	13	120
2019	251	207	7	53	2	54	10	81
2020	213	209	18	62	5	40	5	79
2021	189	180	11	64	4	43	3	55

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Dona Ana County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	458	385	43	104		77	15	146
2018	391	361	31	71	7	83	12	157
2019	251	66	5	11	2	5	8	35
2020	213	209	16	56	7	44	5	81
2021	189	188	11	54	5	52	5	61

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Dona Ana County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	238	184	15	45	6	36	6	91
2018	214	196	14	37	2	47	8	88
2019	178	149	4	27	2	39	9	68
2020	139	139	10	27	3	28	5	66
2021	114	113	6	34	1	25	3	44

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Dona Ana County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Dona Ana	110	121	161	139	122

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Dona Ana County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	122	122	14	41	1	21	2	43

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Dona Ana County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	122	120	24%	58%	2%			13%

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Dona Ana County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	122	95	30%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Dona Ana County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	99	92	1	25	52	14
<b>2020</b>	42	40		12	21	7
<b>2021</b>	98	77	5	14	36	22



## Eddy County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	26	25	51
2018	37	46	83
2019	58	57	115
2020	34	68	102
2021	45	83	128

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Eddy County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Artesia Police Department	4	1	6	4	3
Carlsbad Police Department	22	24	34	19	32
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	NR	12	18	11	10
Hope Police Department	0	NR	NR	0	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45</b>

NR = Hope Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	26	27	24	29% (7)	33% (8)	38% (9)
2018	37	50	40	35% (14)	35% (14)	30% (12)
2019	58	59	7	14% (1)	86% (6)	
2020	34	31	16	25% (4)	38% (6)	38% (6)
2021	45	45	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	26	31	23	4% (1)	13% (3)	83% (19)
2018	37	37	29		17% (5)	83% (24)
2019	58	59	7		29% (2)	71% (5)
2020	34	32	17	6% (1)	35% (6)	59% (10)
2021	45	45	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	27	24	79% (19)	21% (5)
2018	50	45	84% (38)	16% (7)
2019	59	7	100% (7)	
2020	31	18	89% (16)	11% (2)
2021	45	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	31	28		100% (28)
2018	37	31		100% (31)
2019	59	7		100% (7)
2020	32	17	6% (1)	94% (16)
2021	45	10		100% (10)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	27	24	50% (12)	50% (12)				
2018	50	46	41% (19)	57% (26)			2% (1)	
2019	59	7	43% (3)	57% (4)				
2020	31	12	25% (3)	75% (9)				
2021	45	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	31	26	35% (9)	65% (17)				
2018	37	30	40% (12)	57% (17)			3% (1)	
2019	59	7	29% (2)	71% (5)				
2020	32	13	38% (5)	62% (8)				
2021	45	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Eddy County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	7	3	43%	28%
2018	24	6	25%	26%
2019	NR	NR	NR	24%
2020	11	1	9%	32%
2021	NR	NR	NR	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Eddy County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Eddy	14%	25%	5%	33%	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Eddy County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Eddy	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Eddy County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Eddy County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Eddy County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Eddy County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Eddy	4	10	10	13	9

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Eddy County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2021	9	9				2		7

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Eddy County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
2021	9	9	33%	56%			11%	

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Eddy County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
2021	9	8	75%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Eddy County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
2019	20	15	2	6	5	2
2020	18	13	1	4	4	4
2021	25	22		5	13	4

## Grant County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	1	8	9
2018	7	8	15
2019	8	1	9
2020	12	5	17
2021	12	6	18

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Grant County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Bayard Police Department	0	0	0	0	2
Grant County Sheriff's Department	NR	NR	NR	2	NR
Hurley Police Department	0	0	0	0	NR
Santa Clara Police Department	1	0	0	0	0
Silver City Police Department	0	7	8	10	10
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>

NR = Grant County Sheriff's Department and Hurley Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	1	1	1	100% (1)		
2018	7	7	0			
2019	8	8	0			
2020	12	12	9	22% (2)	11% (1)	67% (6)
2021	12	12	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	1	1	1			100% (1)
2018	7	7	0			
2019	8	8	0			
2020	12	12	7		14% (1)	86% (6)
2021	12	12	0			

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	1	1		100% (1)
2018	7	0		
2019	8	0		
2020	12	10	60% (6)	40% (4)
2021	12	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	1	1		100% (1)
2018	7	0		
2019	8	0		
2020	12	6		100% (6)
2021	12	0		

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	1	1		100% (1)				
2018	7	0						
2019	8	0						
2020	12	10	20% (2)	70% (7)				10% (1)
2021	12	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	1	1	100% (1)					
2018	7	0						
2019	8	0						
2020	12	5	40% (2)	60% (3)				
2021	12	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Grant County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	1	1	100%	28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Grant County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Grant	NR	NR	NR	30%	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Grant County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Grant	28	44	83	58	69

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Grant County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	28	26	2	7		7	1	9
2018	44	43	0	13	0	10	0	15
2019	83	77	7	27		11	8	24
2020	58	55	11	16		8	1	19
2021	69	64	9	20	1	13	3	18

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Grant County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	28	26	1	5		7	2	11
2018	44	41	2	10	0	10	0	19
2019	83	46	5	9		4	8	20
2020	58	56	10	13		10	2	21
2021	69	64	7	11	1	15	5	25

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Grant County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	20	17	1	3		6	1	7
2018	26	23	1	1	0	7	0	14
2019	51	47	2	11	0	9	6	19
2020	32	31	2	6		6	1	16
2021	41	39	5	6		9	4	15

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Grant County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Grant	27	23	16	16	20

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Grant County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2021	20	20	1	7		1	2	9

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Grant County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
2021	20	20	5%	90%				5%

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Grant County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
2021	20	19	42%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Grant County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
2019	8	4		1	2	1
2020	13	8		5	3	
2021	13	17	1	4	10	2



## Guadalupe County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	2	3	5
2018	0	7	7
2019	0	5	5
2020	2	4	6
2021	0	0	0

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Guadalupe County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department	NR	NR	0	2	NR
Santa Rosa Police Department	2	0	0	0	0
State Police Santa Rosa	0	0	0	0	0
Vaughn Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>

NR = Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2018	0	0	0			
2019	0	0	0			
2020	2	2	0			
2021	0	0	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2018	0	0	0			
2019	0	0	0			
2020	2	2	0			
2021	0	0	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	2	2	100% (2)	
2018	0	0		
2019	0	0		
2020	2	0		
2021	0	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	2	2		100% (2)
2018	0	0		
2019	0	0		
2020	2	0		
2021	0	0		

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	2	2		100% (2)				
2018	0	0						
2019	0	0						
2020	2	0						
2021	0	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	2	2		100% (2)				
2018	0	0						
2019	0	0						
2020	2	0						
2021	0	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Guadalupe County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Guadalupe County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Guadalupe	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Guadalupe County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Guadalupe	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Guadalupe County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Guadalupe County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Guadalupe County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Guadalupe County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Guadalupe	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Guadalupe County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Guadalupe County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Guadalupe County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Guadalupe County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	1	2				2
<b>2020</b>	2	3			2	1
<b>2021</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--

## Hidalgo County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	0	0	0
2018	0	1	1
2019	2	3	5
2020	6	15	21
2021	2	1	3

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Hidalgo County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	0	0	2	4	1
Lordsburg Police Department	0	0	0	2	1
County Total	0	0	2	6	2

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	0	0	0			
2018	0	0	0			
2019	2	2	2	100% (2)		
2020	6	10	9	78% (7)	22% (2)	
2021	2	2	1	100% (1)		

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	0	0	0			
2018	0	0	0			
2019	2	2	2	100% (2)		
2020	6	6	6		17% (1)	83% (5)
2021	2	2	1	100% (1)		

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	0	0		
2018	0	0		
2019	2	2	100% (2)	
2020	10	10	60% (6)	40% (4)
2021	2	1		100% (1)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	0	0		
2018	0	0		
2019	2	2		100% (2)
2020	6	5		100% (5)
2021	2	1		100% (1)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	0	0						
2018	0	0						
2019	2	2					100% (2)	
2020	10	9		78% (7)			22% (2)	
2021	2	1		100% (1)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	0	0						
2018	0	0						
2019	2	2					100% (2)	
2020	6	6		67% (4)			33% (2)	
2021	2	1					100% (1)	

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Hidalgo County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Hidalgo County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Hidalgo	NR	NR	NR	67%	0%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Hidalgo County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Hidalgo	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Hidalgo County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Hidalgo County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Hidalgo County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Hidalgo County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Hidalgo	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Hidalgo County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Hidalgo County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Hidalgo County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Hidalgo County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	1	2	1	1		
<b>2020</b>	4	2			2	
<b>2021</b>	1	3		1	2	



## Lea County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement (LE), in Lea County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	46	56	102
2018	61	71	132
2019	38	70	108
2020	57	72	129
2021	40	68	108

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Lea County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Eunice Police Department	0	0	0	3	0
Hobbs Police Department	35	29	24	27	29
Jal Police Department	0	1	0	0	0
Lea County Sheriff's Department	2	9	6	14	8
Lovington Police Department	9	22	8	13	3
State Police Hobbs	0	0	0	0	0
Tatum Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
County Total	46	61	38	57	40

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	46	48	48	27% (13)	27% (13)	46% (22)
2018	61	69	53	36% (19)	32% (17)	32% (17)
2019	38	39	39	26% (10)	33% (13)	41% (16)
2020	57	64	62	39% (24)	32% (20)	29% (18)
2021	40	41	41	27% (11)	24% (10)	49% (20)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	46	48	38	3% (1)	16% (6)	82% (31)
2018	61	63	48	6% (3)	15% (7)	79% (38)
2019	38	38	29		17% (5)	83% (24)
2020	57	60	50	16% (8)	16% (8)	68% (34)
2021	40	44	31		19% (6)	81% (25)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	48	48	81% (39)	19% (9)
2018	69	61	89% (54)	11% (7)
2019	39	39	79% (31)	21% (8)
2020	64	61	80% (49)	20% (12)
2021	41	38	84% (32)	16% (6)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	48	47		100% (47)
2018	63	56		100% (56)
2019	38	38	5% (2)	95% (36)
2020	60	59	3% (2)	97% (57)
2021	44	43	2% (1)	98% (42)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	48	48	44% (21)	50% (24)			6% (3)	
2018	69	59	49% (29)	49% (29)			2% (1)	
2019	39	38	24% (9)	68% (26)		3% (1)	5% (2)	
2020	64	64	38% (24)	58% (37)			5% (3)	
2021	41	38	37% (14)	63% (24)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	48	40	38% (15)	53% (21)			10% (4)	
2018	63	53	36% (19)	43% (23)	2% (1)		19% (10)	
2019	38	31	13% (4)	84% (26)		3% (1)		
2020	60	48	33% (16)	58% (28)			8% (4)	
2021	44	34	29% (10)	44% (15)			26% (9)	

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lea County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	17	3	18%	28%
2018	14	3	21%	26%
2019	25	6	24%	24%
2020	41	7	17%	32%
2021	28	7	25%	28%

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Lea County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Lea	38%	35%	50%	26%	0%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Lea County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Lea	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Lea County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Lea County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Lea County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Lea County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Lea	--	--	32	53	45

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Lea County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2021	45	45	1	14	2	4	2	22

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Lea County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
2021	45	45	24%	56%		7%		13%

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Lea County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
2021	45	44	39%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Lea County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
2019	25	32	3	8	18	3
2020	32	19	3	8	7	1
2021	27	39	3	16	15	5

## Lincoln County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	5	13	18
2018	2	8	10
2019	9	20	29
2020	11	7	18
2021	7	4	11

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Lincoln County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Capitan Police Department	NR	0	0	0	0
Carrizozo Police Department	0	0	2	1	0
Lincoln County Sheriff's Office	1	NR	2	1	1
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	2	1	2	3	2
Ruidoso Police Department	2	1	3	6	4
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>

NR = Lincoln County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	5	5	4	25% (1)		75% (3)
2018	2	2	1			100% (1)
2019	9	9	6	33% (2)	33% (2)	33% (2)
2020	11	10	2	50% (1)	50% (1)	
2021	7	7	6		67% (4)	33% (2)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	5	5	4			100% (4)
2018	2	2	2			100% (2)
2019	9	9	6			100% (6)
2020	11	4	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2021	7	7	4			100% (4)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	5	4	75% (3)	25% (1)
2018	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)
2019	9	6	83% (5)	17% (1)
2020	10	2	50% (1)	50% (1)
2021	7	6	83% (5)	17% (1)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	5	4		100% (4)
2018	2	2		100% (2)
2019	9	6	17% (1)	83% (5)
2020	4	2		100% (2)
2021	7	6		100% (6)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	5	4		50% (2)	50% (2)			
2018	2	2	100% (2)					
2019	9	6	50% (3)	33% (2)	17% (1)			
2020	10	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2021	7	6	17% (1)	83% (5)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	5	4		50% (2)	50% (2)			
2018	2	2	50% (1)		50% (1)			
2019	9	6	33% (2)	50% (3)	17% (1)			
2020	4	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2021	7	4	50% (2)	25% (1)	25% (1)			

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Lincoln County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	3	2	67%	28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	1	1	100%	24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	2	1	50%	28%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Lincoln County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Lincoln	NR	100%	75%	33%	50%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Lincoln County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Lincoln	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Lincoln County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Lincoln County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Lincoln County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Lincoln County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Lincoln	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Lincoln County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Lincoln County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Lincoln County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Lincoln County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	9	12	1	1	4	6
<b>2020</b>	19	10		6	4	
<b>2021</b>	4	13		7	5	1



## Los Alamos County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	6	3	9
2018	4	9	13
2019	6	0	6
2020	1	4	5
2021	3	4	7

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Los Alamos County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Los Alamos Police Department	6	4	6	1	3
County Total	6	4	6	1	3

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	6	6	0			
2018	4	4	2		100% (2)	
2019	6	8	4	75% (3)	25% (1)	
2020	1	2	2		100% (2)	
2021	3	3	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	6	6	0			
2018	4	4	4		25% (1)	75% (3)
2019	6	6	0			
2020	1	2	1		100% (1)	
2021	3	2	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	6	0		
2018	4	4	100% (4)	
2019	8	8	88% (7)	13% (1)
2020	2	2	100% (2)	
2021	3	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	6	0		
2018	4	4		100% (4)
2019	6	6		100% (6)
2020	2	1		100% (1)
2021	2	0		

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	6	0						
2018	4	2		50% (1)	50% (1)			
2019	8	0						
2020	2	1	100% (1)					
2021	3	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	6	0						
2018	4	3	100% (3)					
2019	6	0						
2020	2	1		100% (1)				
2021	2	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Los Alamos County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	3	1	33%	26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Number of CSP Victims and/or Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Los Alamos County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Los Alamos	NR	NR	NR	100%	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Los Alamos County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Los Alamos	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Los Alamos County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Los Alamos County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Los Alamos County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Los Alamos County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Los Alamos	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Los Alamos County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Los Alamos County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Los Alamos County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Los Alamos County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	6	5			4	1
<b>2020</b>	2					
<b>2021</b>	5	5		1	2	2

## Luna County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	6	26	32
2018	8	22	30
2019	11	37	48
2020	13	15	28
2021	21	24	45

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Luna County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Deming Police Department	5	8	10	10	2
Luna County Sheriff's Office	1	0	1	3	19
State Police Deming	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>21</b>

\*Luna County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

\*\*In 2015, Deming Police Department Began Reporting Data to Central Repository

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	6	6	5		80% (4)	20% (1)
2018	8	8	7	29% (2)	43% (3)	29% (2)
2019	11	14	10	30% (3)	50% (5)	20% (2)
2020	13	12	9	67% (6)	22% (2)	11% (1)
2021	21	21	2	100% (2)		

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	6	8	6		50% (3)	50% (3)
2018	8	8	7		14% (1)	86% (6)
2019	11	12	10	10% (1)	20% (2)	70% (7)
2020	13	9	3	33% (1)		67% (2)
2021	21	23	4		75% (3)	25% (1)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	6	5	100% (5)	
2018	8	8	100% (8)	
2019	14	11	91% (10)	9% (1)
2020	12	9	56% (5)	44% (4)
2021	21	2	100% (2)	

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	8	7		100% (7)
2018	8	8	13% (1)	88% (7)
2019	12	11		100% (11)
2020	9	6		100% (6)
2021	23	4		100% (4)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	6	5	20% (1)	80% (4)				
2018	8	7	14% (1)	86% (6)				
2019	14	11	27% (3)	73% (8)				
2020	12	9		100% (9)				
2021	21	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	8	6		100% (6)				
2018	8	8	25% (2)	75% (6)				
2019	12	11	18% (2)	73% (8)			9% (1)	
2020	9	5		100% (5)				
2021	23	4	100% (4)					

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Luna County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	4	2	50%	28%
2018	1	1	100%	26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	2	1	50%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Luna County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Luna	50%	0%	20%	0%	0%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Luna County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Luna	13	4	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Luna County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	13	10	0	4	0	4	0	2
2018	4	3	2	2	0	0	0	0
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Luna County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	13	11				2	1	8
2018	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Luna County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	6	5		2		2		1
2018	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Luna County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Luna	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Luna County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Luna County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Luna County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Luna County District Court**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	6	5		3	2	
<b>2020</b>	8	5		1		4
<b>2021</b>	11	10	1	2	4	3



## McKinley County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	34	62	96
2018	26	76	102
2019	43	52	95
2020	22	35	57
2021	36	61	97

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in McKinley County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Gallup Police Department	21	20	23	17	22
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	12	6	20	5	11
State Police Gallup	0	0	0	0	0
Zuni Police Department	1	NR	NR	NR	3
<b>County Total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>36</b>

NR = Zuni Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	34	34	22		9% (2)	91% (20)
2018	26	31	22	9% (2)	27% (6)	64% (14)
2019	43	46	42	12% (5)	31% (13)	57% (24)
2020	22	22	21		19% (4)	81% (17)
2021	36	37	33	15% (5)	6% (2)	79% (26)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	34	34	13		8% (1)	92% (12)
2018	26	31	14	7% (1)	7% (1)	86% (12)
2019	43	47	29	3% (1)	14% (4)	83% (24)
2020	22	23	12		17% (2)	83% (10)
2021	36	39	19	5% (1)	5% (1)	89% (17)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	34	22	91% (20)	9% (2)
2018	31	31	90% (28)	10% (3)
2019	46	45	98% (44)	2% (1)
2020	22	22	82% (18)	18% (4)
2021	37	34	88% (30)	12% (4)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	34	22		100% (22)
2018	31	30		100% (30)
2019	47	43	7% (3)	93% (40)
2020	23	22		100% (22)
2021	39	35		100% (35)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	34	22			100% (22)			
2018	31	29		7% (2)	93% (27)			
2019	46	42	5% (2)	12% (5)	83% (35)			
2020	22	21	10% (2)		90% (19)			
2021	37	33	18% (6)		82% (27)			

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	34	13	8% (1)	8% (1)	85% (11)			
2018	31	16		13% (2)	88% (14)			
2019	47	25	20% (5)	16% (4)	64% (16)			
2020	23	11		18% (2)	82% (9)			
2021	39	23	9% (2)	4% (1)	78% (18)		9% (2)	

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in McKinley County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	18	8	44%	28%
2018	21	5	24%	26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	17	2	12%	32%
2021	22	11	50%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in McKinley County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
McKinley	100%	0%	NR	24%	14%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in McKinley County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
McKinley	4	45	68	33	24

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in McKinley County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	4	4				1		3
2018	45	45	1	13	0	7	1	19
2019	68	63	1	12	1	10	1	38
2020	33	28		1	2	4	1	20
2021	24	21		1	1			19

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in McKinley County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	4	4						4
2018	45	43	1	4	0	11	1	26
2019	68	41	1	2		3	3	32
2020	33	30				2	3	25
2021	24	21		1	1			19

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in McKinley County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	4	4				1		3
2018	18	18	0	3	0	5	1	9
2019	45	42	1	4	1	6	1	29
2020	25	23	1		2	2		18
2021	20	17		1				16

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in McKinley County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
McKinley	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in McKinley County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in McKinley County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in McKinley County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in McKinley County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	3	5	1	3	1	
<b>2020</b>	6	7			5	2
<b>2021</b>	3	2	1	1		

## Mora County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	0	0	0
2018	2	1	3
2019	1	2	3
2020	0	0	0
2021	0	2	2

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Mora County Sheriff's Department	0	2	1	0	0
Wagon Mound Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

NR = Wagon Mound Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	0	0	0			
2018	2	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)	
2019	1	2	2	100% (2)		
2020	0	0	0			
2021	0	0	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	0	0	0			
2018	2	2	1			100% (1)
2019	1	1	1			100% (1)
2020	0	0	0			
2021	0	0	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	0	0		
2018	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)
2019	2	2		100% (2)
2020	0	0		
2021	0	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	0	0		
2018	2	2		100% (2)
2019	1	1		100% (1)
2020	0	0		
2021	0	0		

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	0	0						
2018	2	1		100% (1)				
2019	2	2		100% (2)				
2020	0	0						
2021	0	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	0	0						
2018	2	1		100% (1)				
2019	1	1		100% (1)				
2020	0	0						
2021	0	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Mora County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Mora County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Mora	NR	0%	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Mora County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Mora	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Mora County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Mora County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Mora County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Mora County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Mora	*	*	*	*	*

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Mora County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Mora County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Mora County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Mora County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	0	2			1	1
<b>2020</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>2021</b>	--	--	--	--	--	--



## Otero County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	23	21	44
2018	2	7	9
2019	0	4	4
2020	7	6	13
2021	7	5	12

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Otero County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	NR	NR	NR	4	4
Cloudcroft Police Department	*	*	*	0	1
Otero County Sheriff's Department	22	NR	NR	3	2
State Police Alamogordo	0	0	0	0	0
Tularosa Police Department	1	2	0	0	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>

NR = Otero County Sheriff's Department and Alamogordo DPS Did Not Report

\*In 2020, Cloudcroft Police Began Reporting Data to Central Repository

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	23	23	1	100% (1)		
2018	2	2	1			100% (1)
2019	0	0	0			
2020	7	7	0			
2021	7	8	5		40% (2)	60% (3)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	23	23	1	100% (1)		
2018	2	2	2			100% (2)
2019	0	0	0			
2020	7	7	0			
2021	7	7	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	23	1		100% (1)
2018	2	2	100% (2)	
2019	0	0		
2020	7	0		
2021	8	2	100% (2)	

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	23	1		100% (1)
2018	2	2		100% (2)
2019	0	0		
2020	7	0		
2021	7	0		

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	23	1		100% (1)				
2018	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)			
2019	0	0						
2020	7	0						
2021	8	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	23	1		100% (1)				
2018	2	2		100% (2)				
2019	0	0						
2020	7	0						
2021	7	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Otero County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Otero County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Otero	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Otero County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Otero</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Otero County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Otero County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Otero County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Otero County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Otero</b>	28	32	30	20	26

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Otero County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	26	25	1	3		4	1	16

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Otero County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	26	25	48%	20%	24%			8%

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Otero County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	26	26	72%

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Otero County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	31	31	2	16	9	4
<b>2020</b>	16	9		5	4	
<b>2021</b>	8	22		14	3	5

## Quay County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	2	2	4
2018	3	4	7
2019	2	4	6
2020	1	3	4
2021	1	10	11

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Quay County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Logan Police Department	0	3	0	0	NR
Quay County Sheriff's Office	0	0	0	0	1
San Jon Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
State Police Tucumcari	0	0	0	0	0
Tucumcari Police Department	2	0	2	1	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>

NR = San Jon Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2018	3	3	2	100% (2)		
2019	2	2	2		100% (2)	
2020	1	1	0			
2021	1	1	1		100% (1)	

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	2	3	2			100% (2)
2018	3	3	3		33% (1)	67% (2)
2019	2	2	2			100% (2)
2020	1	1	0			
2021	1	1	1		100% (1)	

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	2	1	100% (1)	
2018	3	3	100% (3)	
2019	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)
2020	1	0		
2021	1	1	100% (1)	

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)
2018	3	3		100% (3)
2019	2	2		100% (2)
2020	1	0		
2021	1	1		100% (1)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2018	3	3	100% (3)					
2019	2	2	100% (2)					
2020	1	0						
2021	1	1		100% (1)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	3	1		100% (1)				
2018	3	3	100% (3)					
2019	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2020	1	0						
2021	1	1		100% (1)				

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Quay County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	1	1	100%	26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Quay County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Quay	NR	0%	100%	NR	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Quay County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Quay	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Quay County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Quay County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Quay County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Quay County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Quay	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Quay County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Quay County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Quay County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Quay County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	4	7		3	4	
<b>2020</b>	5	4		1	2	1
<b>2021</b>	3	3		1	1	1



## Rio Arriba County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	4	26	30
2018	3	24	27
2019	8	32	40
2020	6	28	34
2021	17	36	53

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Rio Arriba County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Espanola Police Department	3	1	7	4	8
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	1	1	0	2	6
Santa Clara Pueblo Police	0	1	1	0	NR
State Police Espanola	0	0	0	0	0
Tierra Amarilla Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	3
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>17</b>

NR = Espanola Police Department Did Not Report

\*In 2015, Santa Clara Pueblo Police Began Reporting Data to Central Repository

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	4	4	4	50% (2)	50% (2)	
2018	3	3	2	50% (1)		50% (1)
2019	8	11	10	60% (6)	20% (2)	20% (2)
2020	6	4	4	50% (2)	25% (1)	25% (1)
2021	17	18	6	33% (2)		67% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	4	4	3		67% (2)	33% (1)
2018	3	3	1	100% (1)		
2019	8	8	6		17% (1)	83% (5)
2020	6	4	3		67% (2)	33% (1)
2021	17	17	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	4	4	75% (3)	25% (1)
2018	3	2	100% (2)	
2019	11	10	60% (6)	40% (4)
2020	4	4	50% (2)	50% (2)
2021	18	0		

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	4	4		100% (4)
2018	3	2		100% (2)
2019	8	7		100% (7)
2020	4	4		100% (4)
2021	17	0		

**G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	4	4		100% (4)				
2018	3	2		100% (2)				
2019	11	10		100% (10)				
2020	4	4		100% (4)				
2021	18	0						

**H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	4	3		100% (3)				
2018	3	2		100% (2)				
2019	8	6		83% (5)			17% (1)	
2020	4	3		100% (3)				
2021	17	0						

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Rio Arriba County**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	2	1	50%	28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	2	1	50%	24%
2020	4	3	75%	32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Rio Arriba County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Rio Arriba	NR	0%	50%	75%	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Rio Arriba County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Rio Arriba</b>	26	58	14	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Rio Arriba County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	26	23	1	6		5		11
<b>2018</b>	58	44	1	14	3	11	0	9
<b>2019</b>	14	8		3		3		2
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Rio Arriba County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	26	24	1	3		2		18
<b>2018</b>	58	44	0	3	0	0	4	37
<b>2019</b>	14	7						7
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Rio Arriba County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	25	18	1	5		5		8
<b>2018</b>	42	24	0	8	3	8	0	5
<b>2019</b>	13	7	0	2	0	3	0	2
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Rio Arriba County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Rio Arriba</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Rio Arriba County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Rio Arriba County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Rio Arriba County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Rio Arriba County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	13	12		8	4	
<b>2020</b>	12	13		2	8	3
<b>2021</b>	12	8		3	3	2

## Roosevelt County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	5	16	21
2018	8	10	18
2019	6	5	11
2020	17	15	32
2021	7	13	20

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Roosevelt County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Eastern NM University	NR	NR	NR	NR	0
Portales Police Department	5	8	6	17	7
Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7</b>

NR = Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	5	5	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2018	8	9	6	17% (1)	50% (3)	33% (2)
2019	6	7	6	33% (2)	17% (1)	50% (3)
2020	17	20	19	58% (11)	16% (3)	26% (5)
2021	7	9	9	11% (1)	44% (4)	44% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	5	5	3		33% (1)	67% (2)
2018	8	11	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2019	6	6	4			100% (4)
2020	17	23	10	10% (1)		90% (9)
2021	7	13	7		14% (1)	86% (6)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	5	5	100% (5)	
2018	9	9	89% (8)	11% (1)
2019	7	7	100% (7)	
2020	20	20	95% (19)	5% (1)
2021	9	9	89% (8)	11% (1)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	5	5		100% (5)
2018	11	7		100% (7)
2019	6	6		100% (6)
2020	23	23	4% (1)	96% (22)
2021	13	11	18% (2)	82% (9)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	5	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2018	9	8	38% (3)	63% (5)				
2019	7	7	43% (3)	57% (4)				
2020	20	17	41% (7)	53% (9)			6% (1)	
2021	9	9	78% (7)	22% (2)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	5	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2018	11	9		89% (8)			11% (1)	
2019	6	6	17% (1)	67% (4)			17% (1)	
2020	23	14	29% (4)	57% (8)			14% (2)	
2021	13	8	63% (5)	38% (3)				

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Roosevelt County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	3	1	33%	26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	17	2	12%	32%
2021	3	2	67%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Roosevelt County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Roosevelt	NR	33%	NR	0%	25%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Roosevelt County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Roosevelt	21	24	145	144	104

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Roosevelt County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	21	18		7		5		6
2018	24	24	0	11	0	5	3	5
2019	145	129	8	29	4	24	7	57
2020	144	129	16	41	3	19	6	44
2021	104	94	4	33	1	14	3	39

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Roosevelt County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	21	19		3		6		10
2018	24	24	0	8	0	2	3	11
2019	145	54	4	10	1	5	8	26
2020	144	134	16	40	5	19	7	47
2021	104	96	4	30	1	16	3	42

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Roosevelt County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	13	9		5		2		2
2018	14	14	0	5	0	3	2	4
2019	95	78	3	4	2	17	5	47
2020	87	87	6	27	2	16	4	32
2021	78	70		12	1	13	3	41

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Roosevelt County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Roosevelt	153	147	111	99	53

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Roosevelt County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2021	53	51	5	17		4	3	22

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Roosevelt County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
2021	53	51	43%	39%	2%	4%		10%

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Roosevelt County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
2021	53	51	71%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Roosevelt County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
2019	8	4		2	1	1
2020	6	6		2	2	2
2021	10	11		2	7	2



## San Juan County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	120	110	230
2018	136	139	275
2019	156	143	299
2020	131	100	231
2021	140	148	288

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in San Juan County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Aztec Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	8
Bloomfield Police Department	0	NR	7	14	11
Farmington Police Department	68	98	110	88	75
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	52	38	39	29	46
State Police Farmington	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>140</b>

NR = Aztec PD Did Not Report; Bloomfield PD Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	120	131	129	33% (42)	29% (37)	39% (50)
2018	136	141	121	36% (43)	23% (28)	41% (50)
2019	156	161	146	16% (23)	30% (44)	54% (79)
2020	131	145	142	23% (32)	23% (32)	55% (78)
2021	140	145	112	23% (26)	26% (29)	51% (57)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	120	127	102	4% (4)	18% (18)	78% (80)
2018	136	139	100	4% (4)	14% (14)	82% (82)
2019	156	164	113	3% (3)	18% (20)	80% (90)
2020	131	148	105	1% (1)	5% (5)	94% (99)
2021	140	149	96	2% (2)	11% (11)	86% (83)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	131	128	82% (105)	18% (23)
2018	141	131	85% (112)	15% (19)
2019	161	150	91% (136)	9% (14)
2020	145	142	86% (122)	14% (20)
2021	145	121	91% (110)	9% (11)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	127	122	11% (14)	89% (108)
2018	139	124	8% (10)	92% (114)
2019	164	147	3% (4)	97% (143)
2020	148	141	9% (12)	91% (129)
2021	149	125	10% (13)	90% (112)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non- Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	131	122	49% (60)	11% (13)	39% (48)	1% (1)		
2018	141	136	44% (60)	7% (9)	49% (66)		1% (1)	
2019	161	132	43% (57)	5% (7)	49% (65)		2% (3)	
2020	145	132	47% (62)	4% (5)	48% (64)		1% (1)	
2021	145	108	48% (52)	2% (2)	49% (53)	1% (1)		

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non- Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/ Ethnicity
2017	127	111	43% (48)	12% (13)	41% (45)	1% (1)	4% (4)	
2018	139	108	35% (38)	14% (15)	44% (48)	1% (1)	6% (6)	
2019	164	120	48% (57)	8% (10)	41% (49)		3% (4)	
2020	148	108	42% (45)	6% (7)	51% (55)		1% (1)	
2021	149	98	41% (40)	8% (8)	46% (45)		5% (5)	

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Juan County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	49	7	14%	28%
2018	98	15	15%	26%
2019	110	22	20%	24%
2020	117	35	30%	32%
2021	116	24	21%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in San Juan County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
San Juan	16%	18%	14%	20%	16%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in San Juan County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
San Juan	250	218	249	159	148

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in San Juan County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	250	208	13	72	2	42	8	71
2018	218	210	14	45	2	36	2	97
2019	249	223	9	56	4	48	9	97
2020	159	143	6	31	1	25	8	72
2021	148	137	5	33	1	21	3	74

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in San Juan County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	250	223	11	44	3	42	13	110
2018	218	209	9	27	0	25	10	138
2019	249	74	5	11	2	5	12	39
2020	159	155	3	28	2	26	12	84
2021	148	146	4	27	2	22	3	88

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in San Juan County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	128	106	8	26	2	27	5	46
2018	123	116	7	13	2	22	2	70
2019	125	121	2	14	2	34	5	64
2020	81	76		7		18	5	46
2021	85	77	1	12	1	18	1	44

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in San Juan County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
San Juan	123	133	163	127	125

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in San Juan County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	125	123	4	22		21	3	73

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in San Juan County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	125	125	26%	5%	57%			12%

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in San Juan County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	125	124	79%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in San Juan County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	62	52	1	21	23	7
<b>2020</b>	55	47		18	19	10
<b>2021</b>	58	65	2	18	32	13

## San Miguel County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	17	21	38
2018	13	10	23
2019	9	19	28
2020	3	4	7
2021	13	15	28

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in San Miguel County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Las Vegas Police Department	17	13	9	3	13
San Miguel County Sheriff's Office	NR	0	0	0	0
State Police Las Vegas	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>

\*In 2016, San Miguel County Sheriff's Office Began Reporting to the Central Repository, but Only One/1<sup>st</sup> Quarter

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	17	17	17	29% (5)	35% (6)	35% (6)
2018	13	13	12	8% (1)	42% (5)	50% (6)
2019	9	9	9	11% (1)	22% (2)	67% (6)
2020	3	3	3	33% (1)	33% (1)	33% (1)
2021	13	14	14	43% (6)	43% (6)	14% (2)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	17	17	9		22% (2)	78% (7)
2018	13	13	8		25% (2)	75% (6)
2019	9	9	4		25% (1)	75% (3)
2020	3	5	3		100% (3)	
2021	13	15	11		36% (4)	64% (7)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	17	17	76% (13)	24% (4)
2018	13	13	92% (12)	8% (1)
2019	9	9	78% (7)	22% (2)
2020	3	3	100% (3)	
2021	14	14	93% (13)	7% (1)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	17	15	7% (1)	93% (14)
2018	13	13		100% (13)
2019	9	9	11% (1)	89% (8)
2020	5	5		100% (5)
2021	15	15	7% (1)	93% (14)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	17	17	18% (3)	82% (14)				
2018	13	12		100% (12)				
2019	9	9	44% (4)	56% (5)				
2020	3	3		100% (3)				
2021	14	12	8% (1)	92% (11)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	17	11		91% (10)			9% (1)	
2018	13	9		100% (9)				
2019	9	4	25% (1)	75% (3)				
2020	5	1		100% (1)				
2021	15	11	18% (2)	82% (9)				

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in San Miguel County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	13	4	31%	28%
2018	5	1	20%	26%
2019	3	1	33%	24%
2020	3	1	33%	32%
2021	11	4	36%	28%

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in San Miguel County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
San Miguel	NR	20%	33%	0%	23%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in San Miguel County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
San Miguel	16	31	54	31	37

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in San Miguel County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	16	13	1	2	4	1	1	4
2018	31	30	5	4	3	10	0	8
2019	54	48	10	12	2	13	4	7
2020	31	18	3	6		3		6
2021	37	29	5	5	1	7	1	10

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in San Miguel County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	16	13					6	7
2018	31	30	0	0	0	0	8	22
2019	54	39			1		15	23
2020	31	23					4	19
2021	37	29					7	22

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in San Miguel County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	10	9		1	3	1		4
2018	17	17	1	1	1	8	0	6
2019	19	18	2	5	1	2	2	6
2020	12	7	1	1				5
2021	19	15	2	3		3	1	6

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in San Miguel County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
San Miguel	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in San Miguel County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in San Miguel County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in San Miguel County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in San Miguel County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	9	10		2	5	3
<b>2020</b>	12	6		3	2	1
<b>2021</b>	7	13	1	3	4	5



## Sandoval County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	49	50	99
2018	59	52	111
2019	28	67	95
2020	40	61	101
2021	41	19	60

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Sandoval County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Bernalillo Police Department	3	5	0	0	6
Corrales Police Department	0	0	2	0	2
Cuba Police Department	0	0	1	0	1
Rio Rancho Department of Public Safety	31	51	25	37	32
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	15	3	0	3	NR
Santa Ana Police Department	0	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>41</b>

NR = Sandoval County Sheriff's Office, Rio Rancho DPS Did Not Report, Santa Ana PD Did Not Report

\*In 2017, Santa Ana Police Department Began Reporting to the Central Repository

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	49	49	46	35% (16)	33% (15)	33% (15)
2018	59	65	31	32% (10)	42% (13)	26% (8)
2019	28	28	26	38% (10)	35% (9)	27% (7)
2020	40	40	37	38% (14)	11% (4)	51% (19)
2021	41	45	42	12% (5)	45% (19)	43% (18)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	49	49	32	13% (4)	22% (7)	66% (21)
2018	59	64	24	17% (4)	21% (5)	63% (15)
2019	28	28	21		29% (6)	71% (15)
2020	40	22	13	8% (1)	31% (4)	62% (8)
2021	41	44	35	6% (2)	26% (9)	69% (24)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	49	48	81% (39)	19% (9)
2018	65	31	90% (28)	10% (3)
2019	28	25	84% (21)	16% (4)
2020	40	19	89% (17)	11% (2)
2021	45	42	100% (42)	

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	49	42	2% (1)	98% (41)
2018	64	30		100% (30)
2019	28	25		100% (25)
2020	22	19	5% (1)	95% (18)
2021	44	41		100% (41)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	49	46	59% (27)	30% (14)	7% (3)		4% (2)	
2018	65	28	75% (21)		11% (3)	11% (3)	4% (1)	
2019	28	26	69% (18)	12% (3)			19% (5)	
2020	40	19	58% (11)	16% (3)		5% (1)	21% (4)	
2021	45	41	68% (28)	17% (7)	12% (5)		2% (1)	

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	49	29	45% (13)	41% (12)	14% (4)			
2018	64	22	68% (15)	9% (2)	9% (2)	5% (1)	9% (2)	
2019	28	21	71% (15)	14% (3)			14% (3)	
2020	22	15	40% (6)	40% (6)			20% (3)	
2021	44	33	55% (18)	24% (8)	6% (2)	3% (1)	12% (4)	

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sandoval County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	45	8	18%	28%
2018	21	5	24%	26%
2019	14	3	21%	24%
2020	37	4	11%	32%
2021	22	5	23%	28%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Sandoval County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Sandoval</b>	15%	45%	22%	0%	0%
<b>NM</b>	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Sandoval County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Sandoval</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Sandoval County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Sandoval County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Sandoval County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Sandoval County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Sandoval</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Sandoval County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Sandoval County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Sandoval County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Sandoval County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	16	16		8	6	2
<b>2020</b>	16	12		6	5	1
<b>2021</b>	26	14		7	6	1

## Santa Fe County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	93	121	214
2018	75	109	184
2019	63	58	121
2020	141	57	198
2021	80	123	203

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Santa Fe County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Edgewood Police Department	NR	NR	1	2	2
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	1	2	NR	0	NR
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	23	21	15	11	9
Santa Fe Police Department	69	52	47	59	69
State Police Santa Fe	0	0	0	69	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>80</b>

NR = Edgewood Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	93	93	89	18% (16)	16% (14)	66% (59)
2018	75	75	39	21% (8)	18% (7)	62% (24)
2019	63	63	63	10% (6)	17% (11)	73% (46)
2020	141	140	63	8% (5)	27% (17)	65% (41)
2021	80	82	68	40% (27)	19% (13)	41% (28)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	93	93	36		8% (3)	92% (33)
2018	75	75	8	13% (1)	13% (1)	75% (6)
2019	63	63	26	4% (1)	8% (2)	88% (23)
2020	141	140	33		6% (2)	94% (31)
2021	80	80	37	8% (3)	16% (6)	76% (28)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	93	39	95% (37)	5% (2)
2018	75	27	93% (25)	7% (2)
2019	63	41	88% (36)	12% (5)
2020	140	45	100% (45)	
2021	82	34	91% (31)	9% (3)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	93	66	3% (2)	97% (64)
2018	75	44	7% (3)	93% (41)
2019	63	15		100% (15)
2020	140	60		100% (60)
2021	80	28		100% (28)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	93	0						
2018	75	25	32% (8)	52% (13)	8% (2)		8% (2)	
2019	63	35	26% (9)	66% (23)	6% (2)			3% (1)
2020	140	1	100% (1)					
2021	82	52	31% (16)	62% (32)	6% (3)	2% (1)		

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	93	0						
2018	75	8	13% (1)	50% (4)	13% (1)		25% (2)	
2019	63	23	13% (3)	87% (20)				
2020	140	1	100% (1)					
2021	80	24		96% (23)			4% (1)	

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Santa Fe County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	52	3	6%	26%
2019	19	1	5%	24%
2020	59	10	17%	32%
2021	36	2	6%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Santa Fe County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Santa Fe	NR	0%	NR	5%	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Santa Fe County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Santa Fe	255	246	222	45	83

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Santa Fe County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	255	190	24	48	8	37	3	70
2018	246	206	10	55	4	34	2	75
2019	222	172	21	45	6	29	9	62
2020	45	38	3	5		12	2	16
2021	83	73	8	20	1	14	1	29

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Santa Fe County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	255	250	5	9	3	17	41	175
2018	246	206	3	11	2	12	18	160
2019	222	85	2	4	1	5	28	45
2020	45	40		2		2	6	30
2021	83	79	2	4	2	12	6	53

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Santa Fe County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	135	109	7	18	5	27	2	57
2018	123	110	4	20	1	25	1	59
2019	102	90	11	15	4	16	4	40
2020	22	20		2		7	2	9
2021	39	38		6	1	10	1	20

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Santa Fe County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Santa Fe	175	184	158	100	106

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Santa Fe County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2021	106	106	8	35	1	17	1	44

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Santa Fe County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/ Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	106	104	21%	63%	8%			8%

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Santa Fe County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	106	102	44%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Santa Fe County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	28	23		9	13	1
<b>2020</b>	16	13	2	3	5	3
<b>2021</b>	32	24	2	7	12	3



## Sierra County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	2	2	4
2018	1	5	6
2019	1	0	1
2020	10	2	12
2021	6	6	12

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Sierra County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	NR	NR	NR	8	6
Truth or Consequences Police Department	2	1	1	2	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>

NR = Sierra County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	2	2	2		100% (2)	
2018	1	1	1	100% (1)		
2019	1	1	1			100% (1)
2020	10	10	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2021	6	6	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	2	2	2			100% (2)
2018	1	1	1			100% (1)
2019	1	2	2			100% (2)
2020	10	10	2			100% (2)
2021	6	6	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)
2018	1	1	100% (1)	
2019	1	0		
2020	10	2	100% (2)	
2021	6	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	2	2		100% (2)
2018	1	1		100% (1)
2019	2	2		100% (2)
2020	10	2		100% (2)
2021	6	0		

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2018	1	1		100% (1)				
2019	1	1	100% (1)					
2020	10	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2021	6	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity /Race
2017	2	2	100% (2)					
2018	1	1		100% (1)				
2019	2	2	100% (2)					
2020	10	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2021	6	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Sierra County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Sierra County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Sierra	100%	0%	NR	0%	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Sierra County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Sierra	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Sierra County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Sierra County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Sierra County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2018	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2019	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2020	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2021	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Sierra County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Sierra	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Sierra County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Sierra County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Sierra County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Sierra County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	7	4		4		
<b>2020</b>	6	6		5		1
<b>2021</b>	5	5		4	1	

## Socorro County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	1	12	13
2018	2	6	8
2019	6	3	9
2020	7	11	18
2021	4	9	13

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Socorro County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Magdalena Marshal's Office	0	0	0	0	NR
Socorro County Sheriff's Department	1	1	0	0	3
Socorro Police Department	0	1	6	7	1
State Police Socorro	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>

NR = Socorro County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

\*In 2017, Magdalena Marshal's Office Began Reporting to the Central Repository

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	1	1	0			
2018	2	2	1		100% (1)	
2019	6	6	2	50% (1)		50% (1)
2020	7	7	6	17% (1)	17% (1)	67% (4)
2021	4	4	1			100% (1)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	1	1	0			
2018	2	2	1		100% (1)	
2019	6	6	2			100% (2)
2020	7	8	7		14% (1)	86% (6)
2021	4	4	0			

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	1	0		
2018	2	1	100% (1)	
2019	6	2	100% (2)	
2020	7	6	100% (6)	
2021	4	1	100% (1)	

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	1	0		
2018	2	0		
2019	6	2		100% (2)
2020	8	7		100% (7)
2021	4	1		100% (1)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	1	0						
2018	2	1		100% (1)				
2019	6	0						
2020	7	4	50% (2)		25% (1)		25% (1)	
2021	4	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	1	0						
2018	2	1		100% (1)				
2019	6	0						
2020	8	4	100% (4)					
2021	4	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Socorro County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	7	1	14%	32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Socorro County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Socorro	NR	NR	NR	43%	0%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Socorro County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Socorro</b>	*	*	*	*	4

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Socorro County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	4	1			1			

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Socorro County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	4	1			1			

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Socorro County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	4	1			1			

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Socorro County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Socorro</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Socorro County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Socorro County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Socorro County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Socorro County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	3	5	1	2	1	1
<b>2020</b>	2	2		2		
<b>2021</b>	3	2			1	1



## Taos County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	0	20	20
2018	3	10	13
2019	2	11	13
2020	8	17	25
2021	5	14	19

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Taos County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Questa Police Department	0	0	0	0	NR
Red River Marshal's Office	0	0	1	0	NR
State Police Taos	0	0	0	0	0
Taos County Sheriff's Department				1	5
Taos Police Department	0	3	1	7	0
Taos Pueblo Police Department	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>

NR = Taos Pueblo Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	0	0	0			
2018	3	3	3		33% (1)	67% (2)
2019	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2020	8	9	8	25% (2)	63% (5)	13% (1)
2021	5	7	7	43% (3)	43% (3)	14% (1)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	0	0	0			
2018	3	3	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2019	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2020	8	9	8		13% (1)	88% (7)
2021	5	5	0			

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	0	0		
2018	3	3	100% (3)	
2019	2	2	100% (2)	
2020	9	8	100% (8)	
2021	7	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	0	0		
2018	3	3		100% (3)
2019	2	2		100% (2)
2020	9	8	13% (1)	88% (7)
2021	5	0		

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	0	0						
2018	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				
2019	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2020	9	8	38% (3)	50% (4)	13% (1)			
2021	7	0						

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	0	0						
2018	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2019	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2020	9	8	50% (4)	38% (3)	13% (1)			
2021	5	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Taos County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	2	2	100%	26%
2019	1	1	100%	24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Taos County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Taos</b>	NR	0%	100%	29%	NR
<b>NM</b>	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Taos County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Taos</b>	130	134	140	93	80

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Taos County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	130	120	7	37	3	25		48
<b>2018</b>	134	129	6	33	0	23	4	51
<b>2019</b>	140	127	8	32		24	4	59
<b>2020</b>	93	79	2	19		18	1	39
<b>2021</b>	80	72	4	14		8	4	42

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Taos County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	130	125	6	18	2	19	2	78
<b>2018</b>	134	129	6	15	0	22	5	81
<b>2019</b>	140	62	4	11		5	6	36
<b>2020</b>	93	84	2	9		16	1	56
<b>2021</b>	80	72	2	7		4	6	53

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Taos County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	70	63		13	1	16		33
<b>2018</b>	76	68	3	13	0	12	3	37
<b>2019</b>	71	65	2	8	0	8	1	46
<b>2020</b>	50	42		7		8		27
<b>2021</b>	33	28		3		2	1	22

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Taos County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Taos</b>	13	21	17	19	9

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Taos County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2021	9	9						9

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Taos County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/ Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/ Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/ Ethnicity
2021	9	9	11%	56%	22%			11%

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Taos County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
2021	9	9	22%

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Taos County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
2019	5	11			10	1
2020	16	6		2	4	
2021	12	18	1	3	11	3

## Torrance County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	7	4	11
2018	4	8	12
2019	13	20	33
2020	11	21	32
2021	10	22	32

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Torrance County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Estancia Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
Moriarty Police Department	1	0	1	0	0
State Police Moriarty	0	0	0	0	0
Torrance County Sheriff's Department	6	4	12	11	10
<b>County Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	7	7	7	29% (2)	43% (3)	29% (2)
2018	4	6	6	67% (4)		33% (2)
2019	13	14	14	50% (7)	14% (2)	36% (5)
2020	11	11	11	64% (7)	18% (2)	18% (2)
2021	10	10	9	67% (6)	11% (1)	22% (2)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	7	10	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2018	4	5	5	20% (1)		80% (4)
2019	13	13	11	27% (3)	9% (1)	64% (7)
2020	11	11	11	9% (1)	18% (2)	73% (8)
2021	10	10	6		17% (1)	83% (5)

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	7	7	86% (6)	14% (1)
2018	6	6	67% (4)	33% (2)
2019	14	14	64% (9)	36% (5)
2020	11	11	100% (11)	
2021	10	9	44% (4)	56% (5)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	10	10		100% (10)
2018	5	5	20% (1)	80% (4)
2019	13	12		100% (12)
2020	11	10		100% (10)
2021	10	9		100% (9)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	7	7	43% (3)	57% (4)				
2018	6	6	67% (4)	33% (2)				
2019	14	14	86% (12)	14% (2)				
2020	11	11	64% (7)	36% (4)				
2021	10	9	44% (4)	56% (5)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	10	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2018	5	5	80% (4)	20% (1)				
2019	13	12	67% (8)	25% (3)			8% (1)	
2020	11	11	36% (4)	64% (7)				
2021	10	7	29% (2)	71% (5)				

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Torrance County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	4	3	75%	28%
2018	1	1	100%	26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	11	2	18%	32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Torrance County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Torrance	100%	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Torrance County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Torrance</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Torrance County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Torrance County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Torrance County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2017</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2018</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2019</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2020</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Torrance County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Torrance</b>	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Torrance County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Torrance County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Torrance County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Torrance County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	10	8		3	4	1
<b>2020</b>	8	8			7	1
<b>2021</b>	5	6		1	4	1



## Union County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	3	6	9
2018	2	13	15
2019	1	1	2
2020	1	12	13
2021	3	12	15

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Union County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Clayton Police Department	2	2	1	1	3
Union County Sheriff's Department	1	0	NR	0	NR
County Total	3	2	1	1	3

NR = Union County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	3	3	0			
2018	2	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)	
2019	1	1	0			
2020	1	1	0			
2021	3	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)	

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	3	3	0			
2018	2	2	2			100% (2)
2019	1	1	0			
2020	1	1	0			
2021	3	3	3	33% (1)		67% (2)

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	3	0		
2018	2	1	100% (1)	
2019	1	0		
2020	1	0		
2021	3	0		

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	3	0		
2018	2	0		
2019	1	0		
2020	1	0		
2021	3	3		100% (3)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	3	0						
2018	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2019	1	0						
2020	1	0						
2021	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	3	0						
2018	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2019	1	0						
2020	1	0						
2021	3	0						

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Union County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	NR	NR		28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	NR	NR		24%
2020	NR	NR		32%
2021	NR	NR		28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

#### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Union County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Union	100%	NR	NR	NR	33%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

# **K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Union County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Union	37	38	36	42	51

# **L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Union County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	37	31	1	11		6	9	4
2018	38	38	2	16	2	11	1	6
2019	36	33	6	9		4		14
2020	42	32	3	17		3	3	6
2021	51	39	3	16	1	9	9	1

# **M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Union County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	37	32		9		4	10	9
2018	38	38	2	13	2	8	1	12
2019	36	27	2	2	1	2	4	16
2020	42	33	1	6		3	5	18
2021	51	39	2	4	1	4	10	18

# **N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Union County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	24	14	1	5		3	2	4
2018	36	29	0	13	1	9	1	5
2019	27	26	6	4	0	3	0	13
2020	25	16	3	8		2		3
2021	20	14	1	5	1	6	1	

# **O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Union County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Union	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Union County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Union County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Union County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Union County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	2	5		1	3	1
<b>2020</b>	0	3		3		
<b>2021</b>	2	1			1	

## Valencia County Sex Crimes Trends, 2017-2021

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2017	52	91	143
2018	65	68	133
2019	35	26	61
2020	35	33	68
2021	29	46	75

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency, in Valencia County

Law Enforcement Agency	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Belen Police Department	4	4	6	2	7
Bosque Farms Police Department	0	0	1	1	1
Los Lunas Police Department	10	11	8	7	12
Peralta, Village of	1	0	0	0	1
State Police Los Lunas/D-5 (NMSP Valencia)	8	14	NR	NR	NR
Valencia County Sheriff's Department	29	36	20	25	8
<b>County Total</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>

NR = Los Lunas Police Department, Valencia County Sheriff's Department, and Los Lunas State Police Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2017	52	99	96	43% (41)	24% (23)	33% (32)
2018	65	82	73	55% (40)	34% (25)	11% (8)
2019	35	35	25	32% (8)	32% (8)	36% (9)
2020	35	38	38	34% (13)	39% (15)	26% (10)
2021	29	33	19	21% (4)	53% (10)	26% (5)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2017	52	75	63	6% (4)	29% (18)	65% (41)
2018	65	70	50	10% (5)	20% (10)	70% (35)
2019	35	39	21	10% (2)	14% (3)	76% (16)
2020	35	38	32	22% (7)	22% (7)	56% (18)
2021	29	29	13		8% (1)	92% (12)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2017	99	98	85% (83)	15% (15)
2018	82	79	75% (59)	25% (20)
2019	35	27	89% (24)	11% (3)
2020	38	38	82% (31)	18% (7)
2021	33	20	95% (19)	5% (1)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2017	75	75	4% (3)	96% (72)
2018	70	62	5% (3)	95% (59)
2019	39	26	12% (3)	88% (23)
2020	38	34	3% (1)	97% (33)
2021	29	15		100% (15)

#### G. CSP Victim Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Victims	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	99	87	34% (30)	63% (55)	2% (2)			
2018	82	74	46% (34)	51% (38)	1% (1)			1% (1)
2019	35	26	42% (11)	54% (14)			4% (1)	
2020	38	38	37% (14)	53% (20)	8% (3)			3% (1)
2021	33	19	47% (9)	53% (10)				

#### H. CSP Offender Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Race/Ethnicity
2017	75	62	23% (14)	76% (47)	2% (1)			
2018	70	49	55% (27)	37% (18)		2% (1)	4% (2)	2% (1)
2019	39	26	38% (10)	58% (15)			4% (1)	
2020	38	31	16% (5)	71% (22)	6% (2)			6% (2)
2021	29	15	13% (2)	80% (12)	7% (1)			

#### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement, in Valencia County

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2017	2	1	50%	28%
2018	NR	NR		26%
2019	1	1	100%	24%
2020	25	1	4%	32%
2021	2	1	50%	28%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Valencia County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Valencia	125%	6%	33%	38%	33%
NM	11%	35%	11%	13%	8%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served in Valencia County**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Valencia	44	43	32	32	38

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Valencia County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	44	41	1	11		5	17	7
2018	43	43	0	4	0	4	7	9
2019	32	22		2		2	2	16
2020	32	27	6	6	1	5	3	6
2021	38	30	2	5		3	1	19

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault, in Valencia County**

	Number of Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	44	43	1	5		5	17	15
2018	43	41	0	0	0	2	7	32
2019	32	23		1		1	3	18
2020	32	30	6	6	1	7	3	7
2021	38	30	2	3		4	1	20

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers, in Valencia County**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2017	29	19		7		4	3	5
2018	18	11	0	2	0	2	1	6
2019	21	15	0	0	0	1	0	14
2020	19	16	2	5		4		5
2021	31	25		3		3	1	18

**O. Number of SANE Patients Served in Valencia County 2017-2021**

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Valencia	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**P. Gender and Age of SANE Patients Served in Valencia County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**Q. Race/Ethnicity of SANE Patients Served in Valencia County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number Race/Ethnicity Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic Victims)	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Victims Mixed Race/Ethnicity
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**R. Percent SANE Patients with Injury in Valencia County 2021**

	Number of SANE Patients Served	Number of Patients with Injury Status Documented	Percent SANE Patients with Injury
<b>2021</b>	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**S. Number of New Sex Crime Cases Filed, Number Disposed, and Disposition Outcomes in Valencia County District Court, 2019-2020**

	New Cases Filed	Total Cases Disposed	Acquitted	Conviction	Dismissed	Other Disposition
<b>2019</b>	43	19	1	7	11	
<b>2020</b>	27	19	1	6	11	1
<b>2021</b>	20	36		4	29	3